

Date: 8/1/2018 DDSD-DDW Numbered Memo 2018-15

To:

DD Waiver Providers and Interested Parties

From:

Jim Copeland, Director Developmental Disabilities Supports Division

(DDSD)

CC:

Christina Hill, Developmental Disabilities (DD) Waiver Manager

Subject:

Case Management Monitoring Activities and Pilot of a DDSD Case

Management Monthly Site Visit Tool

DDSD issues a template/tool to case managers to document monthly (bi-monthly for Jackson Class members) site visits. Periodically, DDSD issues revisions to the DDSD Case Management Monthly Site Visit Tool.

DDSD together with input from a Case Management Task Force and other stakeholders has drafted revisions to the DDSD Case Management Monthly Site Visit Tool related to the 2018 DD Waiver Service Standards. A pilot of the proposed changes to the tool is beginning on August 3, 2018. A sample of the revised tool is attached.

The pilot involves documentation in Therap by a sample of case managers from multiple case management agencies across the state. Case managers in the pilot may conduct visits using their mobile devices or may request guest WIFI access if this is possible. At this point, some providers may experience the piloted site visit tool; some may not. Regardless, requirements of case managers to observe, review required documentation, and to interview the individual and direct support personnel on site remain the same.

As always, please cooperate with case managers during site visits and follow up timely with any requested action for the benefit of the person in services.

If you have any questions regarding the pilot of the DDSD Case Management Monthly Site Visit Tool, please contact Christina Hill, DD Waiver Manager at 505-476-8836 or christina.hill@state.nm.us.



on preparatory work.

2. Rights: Did you observe any rights restrictions? (Check all that apply)			
1. Interventions being implemented contain rights restrictions not approved by the HRC 2. Does not have access to all areas of the setting (except based on safety and confidentiality) 3. Limited or denied community inclusion 4. Accessibility issues or concerns	5. Limited or denied access to non-disability specific settings/people 6. Limited or no privacy 7. Use of EPR without HRC approval. 8. Other rights restrictions (explain). 9. No observable rights restrictions		
3. Rights: Do DSP show awareness of important right	s issues for the person?		
 DSP are aware of guardianship status. DSP are aware of applicable DCF. DSP are aware of the person's relationships and desired relationships. DSP are aware of applicable TJF Rights Summary: Based on the above responses ar site visit, did you identify concerns with the person's Yes No Rights Summary: Overall Summary (detail). 	•		
6. Individual Satisfaction: Are there any concerns ide all that apply.)	ntified regarding individual satisfaction? (Check		
 1. Person is not satisfied with living care arrangement or other services or supports. 2. Person is not satisfied with the level of choice offered in shopping for clothes, groceries, or other personal preferences. 3. Person is not satisfied with choice of housemates. 4. Person is not satisfied with level of choice offered in determining personal schedule. 	 5. Person has complaints about providers. 6. Person is not satisfied with level of involvement in meal planning & choice of meals. 7. Person is not satisfied with his/her current relationships. 8. Person is not satisfied with his/her community access and involvement. 9. Person is not satisfied with level of access to personal money. 		

1. Preparation for Visit: Summarize any individual issues which should be addressed at this visit based

10. Person is not satisfied with access to transportation.		12. No concerns were identified during the site visit.	
 11. Other concerns are identified choice or satisfaction (explain). 7. Individual Satisfaction: Is the person 		fied with his/her jo	b status?
1. Currently working and satisfied.	2. Currently i	-	3. Currently not working and does not want to work.
8. Individual Satisfaction Summary: Based on above responses and any additional information gathered, does the balance of evidence indicate that the person is satisfied with current services, choices, providers, relationships, and supports?			
1. Very satisfied			
2. Mostly satisfied			
3. A little satisfied			
4. Not at all satisfied			
5. Cannot determine			
9. Individual Satisfaction Summary: Overall Summary (detail).			
10. Environment: Are there any cond that apply.)	cerns with the env	vironment identifie	d at the site visit? (Check all
1. Unhealthy conditions (e.g., ex stale food, bugs, mold, rodent dropp		4. Setting uns	safe
2. Unsafe medication storage		5. Lack of acc	ess to adequate food
3. Obvious need for environmen	ital	6. Other (exp	lain)
modification		7. No concer	ns identified during the site visit
11. Environment Summary: Based on above responses and any additional information gathered during the site visit, does the balance of evidence indicate that there are any issues with the environment?			
C _{1. Yes} C _{2. No}			
12. Environment Summary: Overall S	Summary (detail).		

Pilot DDSD Case Management Monthly Site Visit Form

	Equipment: Are there any concerns with equipment that were identified at the site visit? (Check hat apply.)
	1. Durable Medical Equipment
	2. Assistive Technology
	3. Augmentive Communication Device
	4. Personal Support Technology
	5. Other (explain)
	6. No concerns identified during the site visit
	Equipment Summary: Based on above responses and any additional information gathered during site visit, does the balance of evidence indicate that there are concerns with the equipment?
0	1. Yes 2. No
15.	Equipment Summary: Overall Summary (detail).
16.	Health: Are there any concerns with the presentation of the person?
	1. Observable unmet physical needs (injury, sickness)
	2. General appearance (clothing, grooming, etc.)
	3. Odor (indicating sickness, hygiene, high blood sugar, etc.)
	4. Signs of pain including dental pain
	5. No concerns identified during the site visit
17.	Health: Has there been a change in health status?
	1. Changes in seizure frequency
	2. Changes in aspiration frequency or new signs/symptoms of aspiration
	3. Changes in sleep patterns
	4. Changes in bowel/bladder function
□ con	5. Changes in activity level, mood, or other typical behavior/routines that may indicate a health cern
	6. Unexpected weight gain or loss
	7. Other (explain)
	8. No noted concerns

18. Health: Are there any missing or outdated health related documents that belong at the setting or are not electronically accessible to DSP?			
1. HCP 2. MERP 3. CARMP 4. Dietary instructions	5. Mealtime a feeding tube proto 6. Oral care pl 7. Hospital displan or physician or	ocols ans scharge order	8. MAR, including PRN medications 9. Other (explain) 10. No plans missing
19. Health Summary: Based on abov site visit, does the balance of eviden	•	•	
1. Yes 2. No			
20. Health Summary: Overall Summa	ary (detail).		
21. Service Delivery: Are DSP interactions with the person appropriate, e.g., dignity and respect for the person are demonstrated?			
1. DSP offer adequate choice in s (e.g., clothes, groceries, other).		5. DSP provide to make informed	e the person with information choices.
2. Person is not adequately involmeal planning and choice of meals.	ved in	6. DSP comm	unicate appropriately with the espectful tone.
3. DSP allow the person privacy.		7. NA- No DSF	present.
4. DSP include the person in development of the person of the	eloping		ctions with the person do not onstrate dignity and respect.
22. Service Delivery: Does the person	n have the level of	support needed at	t the setting?
C _{1. Yes} C _{2. No}			
23. Service Delivery Summary: Based on above responses and any additional information gathered, does the balance of evidence indicate that are there concerns with service delivery?			
C _{1. Yes} C _{2. No}			
24. Service Delivery Summary: Overall Summary (detail).			
25. ISP: Is the complete, current ISP, DSP?	including TSS and	WDSI's, present or	accessible at the site to the
1. Yes 2. No			

26. ISP: Can DSP describe important elements of the	: ISP?		
C 1. Yes C 2. No C 3. NA- No DSP p	resent		
27. ISP: Can DSP describe their role in implementing	; TSS and WDSI's?		
C 1. Yes C 2. No C 3. NA- No DSP p	resent		
28. ISP: Is the person receiving services in an amoun and outlined in the ISP?	at and frequency currently approved on the budget		
C _{1. Yes} C _{2. No}			
29. ISP: Does the balance of evidence gathered during Outcomes are being worked on?	ng the site visit indicate that the person's Desired		
C 1. Yes C 2. No C 3. No with expla	nation		
30. ISP: Explain how work on Desired Outcomes is d	ocumented and demonstrated.		
31. ISP Summary: Are there any significant health, b issues that may suggest the need to revise the ISP?	ehavior or other life changes or other notable		
C _{1. Yes} C _{2. No}			
32. ISP Summary: Overall Summary (detail).			
33. Behavior: Are any applicable behavior plans mis accessible, as applicable to the person?	sing from the service setting or electronically		
1. PBSP	4. PPMP		
2. BCIP	5. No plans missing		
□ 3. RMP			
34. Behavior: Are DSP aware of behavior plans applicable to the person?			
1. Unaware of PBSP	5. DSP aware of all plans		
2. Unaware of BCIP	6. Not applicable - no DSP present or no		
3. Unaware of PPMP	behavior plans apply.		
4. Unaware of RMP 35. Behavior Summary: Are there any observable, d referral to an existing or new BSC is needed?	ocumented or reported behaviors that indicate a		
C 1. Yes C 2. No			

36. Behavior Summary: Overall Summary (detail).

37.	ANE: Are th	ere any reportable inciden	ıts identified	during the site visit?
0	1. Yes	C 2. No		
38.	ANE: Do DS	P know how to report ANE	?	
0	1. Yes	C 2. No		
39.	ANE: Is IASP	being followed (when app	plicable)?	
0000		V responsible provider has i known ANE report	not provided	I IASP
40.	ANE: If an A	NE investigation was open	ned, check al	I that apply since last site visit.
rece	eived, and aners all areas 2. A Decision in the areas 3. A Decision in the areas 2. A Decision in the areas in the area	on Letter: SUBSTANTIATED on IDT meeting was held that in the decision letter. On letter: SUBSTANTIATED on IDT meeting is still needed eas in the Decision Letter. On Letter: SUBSTANTIATED on IDT Meeting minutes wer 0 days of receipt of the lett	was d to was re sent	4. A Decision Letter: UNSUBSTANTIATED was received. No further action needed until closure letter received. 5. No Decision Letter received so far. 6. Not applicable - No open ANE investigation.
41.	ANE: If an A	NE investigation was open	ned, check al	I that apply since last visit.
the 42.	n the closure 2. A Closure outcome fro 3. No Closure 4. Not appl	e letter during this visit. e Letter: UNSUBSTANTIATE om the closure letter during ure Letter has been receive icable- No open ANE invest	ED was receive g this visit. End so far. Etigation. End any	, and I verbally notified the victim of the outcome ved, and I verbally notified the alleged victim of vadditional information gathered during the site are any concerns with ANE?
0	1. Yes	C 2. No	That there	and any concerns many area

43. ANE Summary: Overall Summary (detail).

	KPI: #1- ISP Implementation: Does the balance on plemented?	of the evidence suggest that the ISP is being
0	1. Yes 2. No	
	KPI #2: Medical Appointments: Enter how many ommended?	appointments have been attended as
46.	KPI #2: How many appointments were schedule	d to be completed since last site visit?
	KPI: #3- CCS in Non-Disability Specific Settings- Is ecific setting?	s the person accessing CCS in a non-disability
0	1. Yes 2. No	
48.	Action Taken: What actions were taken during the	he site visit? (Check all that apply.)
	Collaborate, coordinate, and share primation with other DD Waiver Service pointers	7. Make an ANE report (DHI Hotline: 1-800 445-6242) 8. Link or refer to specialty clinic or
	2. Request provider action	community resource
	3. Schedule an IDT meeting	9. Contact MCO Care Coordinator
	4. Contact the agency nurse	10. Provide education about DNR or advanced directives
∟ Ma	5. Follow up with Aspiration Risk nagement activities	11. Other (explain)
	6. Request for Human Rights Committee iew	12. No immediate action taken based on this site visit
	Actions Needed: What follow-up actions are needly.)	eded before the next site visit? (Check all that
	Collaborate, coordinate, and share primation with other DD Waiver Service priders	6. Follow up with Aspiration Risk Management activities
	2. Request provider action	7. Request Human Rights Committee review
	3. Schedule an IDT meeting	8. Make and ANE report (DHI Hotline: 1-
	4. Review / provide SFOCs	800-445-6242)
	5. Contact agency nurse	9. Link or refer to specialty clinic or

community resource	13. Provide education about DNR or	
10. Contact MCO Care Coordinator	advanced directives	
11. File RORA about lack of access to	14. Other (explain)	
specialty services for more than 2 months 12. File RORA for lack of provider action or	15. No further action needed based on the site visit	
technical assistance		

50. Actions Summary: Overall Summary (detail).

51. Quality Assurance: This document received a QA Check.



- 1. Hint: Preparatory work should be documented in daily contact notes and include review of GER, Medicaid portal, contact with providers, ANE reporting status, new plans, MARS, medical appointment history, budget status, HRC approvals, and important annual deadlines (e.g., pre-ISP, ISP, LOC, ISD recertification, etc.).
- 2. Hint: Response must be based on an awareness of what constitutes rights restrictions and HRC review requirements. Refer to DD Waiver Standards Chapter 2 and 3. If the last option is marked, no other options can be marked.
- 3. Hint: Check all that apply and respond based on observations and interviews with those present. If the last option is marked, no other option should be marked.
- 4. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 5. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 6. Hint: If the last option is marked, no other options can be marked.
- 7. Hint: Supported employment activities are a planning priority for all working age adults. Respond based on information you gather at the site visit regarding the person's informed choice about employment. See DD Waiver Service Standards Ch. 4.5 regarding informed choice.
- 8. Hint: This response is the case manager's overall judgement based on the site visit. This response allows for aggregate reporting to be made on areas of concern.
- 9. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 10. Hint: You do not need to be a technical expert in these areas. Base your response on an overall sense of safety and what you notice that causes concern. When in doubt, document and explore further. If the last option is checked, no other options can be marked.
- 11. Hint: This response is the case manager's overall judgement based on observations, interviews and documents reviewed during the site visit. This response allows the CM to document issues acquired around areas of concern. Concerns should be significant enough that they cannot be easily and immediately addressed and resolved during the site visit. This response allows for aggregate reporting to be made on areas of concern.
- 12. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the

responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.

- 13. Hint: Check if concern with presence, ability to use, frequency of use, basic functioning or person's observable needs. If the last option is checked, no other options can be marked.
- 14. Hint: This response is the case manager's overall judgement based on observations, interviews and documents reviewed during the site visit. This response allows the CM to document issues acquired around areas of concern. Concerns should be significant enough that they cannot be easily and immediately addressed and resolved during the site visit. This response allows for aggregate reporting to be made on areas of concern.
- 15. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 16. Hint: Check all that apply, If the last option is checked, no other options can be marked.
- 17. Hint: Check all that apply. If last option is checked, no other options can be marked.
- 18. Hint: Be sure to come to the site visit with a knowledge of the plans and dates of plans that must be present or accessible. Check all that apply. A plan can only be checked as missing if it actually applies to the person i.e., each person does not require each of these plans. If last option checked, no other checks can be marked.
- 19. Hint: This response is the case manager's overall judgement based on the site visit. Concerns should be significant enough that they require formal follow up by the case manager and cannot be easily and immediately addressed and resolved during the site visit. This response allows for aggregate reporting to be made on areas of concern.
- 20. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 21. Hint: Respond based on observations of DSP and whether DSP are engaged in person centered practices and communication that allow the person to learn, gain independence, make choices, and self-direct.
- 22. Hint: Respond based on observation, interview and document review. Consider whether there is sufficient support from DSP to implement the ISP and other applicable plans for the person. Consider staffing ratio as applicable to the person e.g., Is 1: 3 people appropriate at meal time when 3 people have CARMPs? Is person in bed or isolated while others are receiving intensive hands on support? Note that AT and PST may be present to provide support that reduces staff time.
- 23. Hint: This response is the case manager's overall judgement based on observations, interviews and documents reviewed during the site visit. This response allows the CM to document issues acquired around areas of concern. Concerns should be significant enough that they cannot be easily and

immediately addressed and resolved during the site visit. This response allows for aggregate reporting to be made on areas of concern.

- 24. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 25. Hint: Answer yes if documents are physically present or DSP can demonstrate how to access them electronically.
- 26. Hint: Respond based on DSP being able to describe important elements of the ISP for the person such as Vision, Desired Outcomes, Health and Safety concerns and Meaningful Day description.
- 27. Hint: Respond based on DSP awareness of and ability to describe his/her role in implementing the ISP-TSS and WDSI's. Also, use information gathered from documentation and interview of DSP as applicable.
- 28. Hint: Respond based on preparatory work including review of the Medicaid portal and based on documentation and interview with the individual, family, and/or guardian during the visit.
- 29. Hint: Respond based on observation, interview, document review, and your professional judgement as to whether there is enough evidence from this site visit to indicate that Desired Outcomes are being worked on.
- 30. Hint: Explain how work on Desired Outcomes is documented and demonstrated.
- 31. Hint: Consider changes detailed in DD Waiver Services Standards Chapter 6.5.2 ISP revisions.
- 32. Hint: Be sure to come to the site visit with a knowledge of the plans and dates of plans that must be present or accessible. Note presence of ISP action steps and WDSIs are captured elsewhere.
- 33. Hint: Be sure to come to the site visit with a knowledge of the plans and dates of plans that must be present or accessible. Note presence of ISP action steps and WDSIs are captured elsewhere.
- 34. Hint: Respond based on whether DSP are aware of plans as applicable and can demonstrate knowledge of how to implement the plan by describing their role and key components of the plan as applicable. This is a general observation. The BSC trains and monitors exact implementation of behavior plans. If either of the last two options are checked, no other options can be marked.
- 35. Hint: Respond based on knowledge of the person's routine behaviors, whether a medical assessment has been made for non-routine behaviors and whether DSP appear to adequately support the person to prevent to any behaviors of concern.
- 36. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 37. Hint: Respond based on your observations, document review and interviews during the site visit. When in doubt, document and report ANE. DHI Hotline: 1-800-445-6242.

Pilot DDSD Case Management Monthly Site Visit Form

- 38. Hint: Respond based on checking with DSP present and their awareness of their agency policy and DHI hotline.
- 39. Hint: "Yes" or "No" answers are only applicable if an IASP is in place. Otherwise, mark NA.
- 40. Hint: The case manager is not responsible for late letters from DHI, but should reach out to DHI-IMB if aware of an investigation and no letter has been received according to established timelines.
- 41. Hint: The case manager is not responsible for late DHI letters but should reach out to DHI-IMB if aware of an investigation and no letter received according to established timelines.
- 42. (Hint: This response is the case manager's overall judgement based on observations, interviews and documents reviewed during the site visit. This response allows the CM to document issues acquired around areas of concern. Concerns should be significant enough that they cannot be easily and immediately addressed and resolved during the site visit. This response allows for aggregate reporting to be made on areas of concern.
- 43. Hint: Provide detail of any additional concerns, important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. In determining what is important to consider, note what you or any other reviewer may need to know to more fully understand the responses in this section as well as to determine what follow up actions are needed. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 44. Hint: Respond based on "yes" responses to #26 and #27 (if DSP present) and "yes" response to 28, AND a "yes" response to 29.
- 45. Hint: Base the response on the appointments section of the health tracker and an interview of person, guardian and/or natural or paid supports at the site visit. Do not count scheduled appointments which now have a DCF in place.
- 46. Hint: Respond based on review of the appointments which were scheduled to occur since the last site visit.
- 47. Hint: Respond yes only if the person receives CCS and either (1) the person can describe community activities in non-disability specific settings and/or (2) the agency has documentation of community activities in non-disability specific settings.
- 48. Hint: Respond based on any immediate actions taken on site.
- 49. NA
- 50. Hint: Provide detail of any important information which provides evidence for responses, and/or important detail needed to explain responses. List to whom information or requests were made when applicable. If no further explanation is needed, please indicate "NA" for not applicable.
- 51. Hint: If your agency samples site visit forms for QA include date and person completing QA.