

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO VI:**

**An Analysis of 2006 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository  
and *The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico***



*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.*

Funded by:  
Office of Injury Prevention  
Injury and Behavioral Epidemiology Bureau  
Epidemiology and Response Division  
New Mexico Department of Health  
Through the  
New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs  
and the Behavioral Health Services Division; New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation  
Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office; and the  
Violence Against Women Office, Office of Justice Programs, US Dept. of Justice

**October 2007**

## **New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository**

3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6

Albuquerque, NM 87111

Phone (505) 883-8020

Fax (505) 883-7530

email: nmcsaas@swcp.com

Letter from the Director...

In 2006, we conducted further analyses of the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* data. Specifically, data on the prevalence and characteristics of child rape were examined. Some of the findings are particularly disturbing. For example, 72% of victims raped as children were victims of ongoing (serial) sexual abuse rather than a single incident of sexual abuse. Another finding points to future negative outcomes associated with childhood rape: while 1% of child rape victims became pregnant as a result of their victimization, 18% became pregnant during adolescence (before age 18) unrelated to a sexual victimization. This is a glimpse into some of the information offered in this year's report and is presented in Section Two.

As usual, law enforcement reported sex crime incidents are captured, as well as sexual assault reports from mental health centers, rape crises centers and Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Programs throughout the state. Findings on these data are reported in Section One.

In Section Four, you can see at a glance, county trends on 14 important sex crime variables. New to this section are: 1) the number of criminal sexual penetration survivors by gender and age at time of victimization, as reported by service providers; and 2) offender gender in criminal sexual penetration crimes as reported by law enforcement agencies.

On behalf of myself, the New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, Violence Against Women Grants Office, the Department of Health Behavioral Health Services Division and the Injury and Epidemiology Bureau, Office of Injury Prevention, it is an honor to provide you with the findings from the data. We hope that you find it helpful in your work and useful in any efforts you undertake to secure funds for future prevention, intervention, investigation, or prosecution programs.

Sincerely,



Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
Director

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO VI:**

**An Analysis of 2006 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository  
and *The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico***

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

Funded by:  
Office of Injury Prevention  
Injury and Behavioral Epidemiology Bureau  
Epidemiology and Response Division  
New Mexico Department of Health  
1190 St. Francis Drive, Suite N1320  
P.O. Box 26110  
Santa Fe, NM 87505  
505-827-0006

Through the  
New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs and the  
Behavioral Health Services Division; New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation  
Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office; and the  
Violence Against Women Office, Office of Justice Programs, US Dept. of Justice

**OCTOBER 2007**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

On behalf of the Central Repository, I would like to formally thank Governor Bill Richardson and First Lady Barbara Richardson for their unprecedented efforts as state leaders to prevent interpersonal violence in New Mexico and making possible, the first ever statewide survey of interpersonal violence in our state – the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico*.

I would like to formally acknowledge the host of dedicated professionals who have lent their invaluable expertise to this surveillance initiative.

### **Program Guidance and Funding:**

- Kim Alaburda, Executive Director, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Connie Monahan, SANE Program Statewide Coordinator
- Sheila Allen, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission, VAWA Program Manager
- Larry Tackman, Director, State of New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission
- Michael Landen, Deputy State Epidemiologist, Department of Health, Epidemiologist and Response Division

### **Data System Analysts:**

- Cheryl Schmitt, Statistical Applications, Data Analysis Support
- Rayo McCullough, McCullough Consultants, Data Analysis Support
- Larry Compton, Library Information Specialist, Bureau of Business and Economic Research, NM Census

### **Report Publication:**

- Kim Alaburda, Distribution, New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs
- Lisa Meyer, Graphics and Design
- New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission S.T.O.P Violence Against Women Act Grant Office, Printing

*\* A special thanks to the chiefs, sheriff's, marshals, and records department staff of all the participating law enforcement agencies; executive directors and staff of the sexual assault service providers; and all data entry staff of the individual law enforcement agencies without whom this report would not be possible.*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
➤ LETTER FROM THE DIRECTOR	
➤ ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
➤ FACT SHEET	
➤ EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
➤ SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO REPORT:	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
<b>SECTION ONE: <i>Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico</i></b>	
I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY	1
II. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES	
A. Definitions	2
B. Law Enforcement Reported Incidence of Sexual Assault	3
C. Characteristics of Criminal Sexual Penetration Cases	4
1. Victim and Offender Gender	4
2. Victim and Offender Age	4
3. Victim and Offender Ethnicity/Race	5
4. Victim/Offender Relationship	6
5. Sexual Assault Weapon Use and Injury	6
6. Sexual Assault and Alcohol/Drug Use	8
7. Sexual Assault and Children Witnesses	8
8. Suspect Arrests Resulting from Sexual Assault	8
D. Characteristics of Non-Penetration Sex Crimes	
1. Victim and Offender Gender	9
2. Victim and Offender Age	9
3. Victim and Offender Ethnicity/Race	10
4. Non-CSP Weapon Use and Injury	10
5. Non-CSP and Alcohol/Drug Use	10
6. Non-CSP and Children Witnesses	10
7. Suspect Arrests Resulting from Non-CSP Sex Crimes	11
III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND NUMBER OF NEW CLIENTS SERVED	12
A. Survivor Demographics	
1. Survivor Gender	12
2. Age of Survivor at Time of Current Sexual Assault	12
3. Age of Survivor at Presentation for Therapy	15
4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse	17

5. Survivor Ethnicity/Race	17
6. Survivor Disability	19
B. Offender Demographics	
1. Offender Gender	20
2. Offender Age	20
3. Offender Ethnicity/Race	20
C. Sexual Offense Characteristics	
1. Type of Sexual Offense	22
2. Survivor/Offender Relationship	24
3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault	26
4. Type of Coercion Used	28
5. Use of Alcohol/Drugs	30
6. Location and Time of Sexual Offenses	31
7. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault	32
8. Sexually Transmitted Disease and Pregnancy	33
9. Reported Sexual Assault	34
10. Medical Treatment for Sexual Assault	36
11. Rape Kit Evidence Collection	36
IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS	
A. Overview of SANE	39
B. SANE Program Findings	
1. Patient Gender	39
2. Patient Age	39
3. Patient Ethnicity/Race	40
4. Patient Disability	41
5. Offender Gender and Age	42
C. Offense Characteristics	
1. Victim/Offender Relationship	42
2. Number of Offenders	43
3. Type of Coercion	43
4. Location of Sexual Offenses	45
5. Patient Injury	46
6. Time of Sexual Offenses	48
D. SANE Programs Service Characteristics	
1. Referral Source	48
2. Evidence Collection	48
3. Assessment Services	49
4. Reports to Law Enforcement	50
5. SANE Referrals to Other Sources	50
6. Patient County of Residence	50

**SECTION TWO: SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT ON SEXUAL  
ABUSE OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN NEW MEXICO**

I.	INTRODUCTION	51
II.	FINDINGS ON RAPE OF CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS FROM THE SURVEY OF VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION IN NEW MEXICO	
	A. Prevalence and Victim Gender	52
	B. Single Event Rape Incidents or Serial Abuse	52
	C. Those Who Sexually Victimize Children and Adolescents	52
	1. Number of Offenders Per Victimization	52
	2. Victim/Offender Relationship	52
	D. Characteristics of Child and Adolescent Rape Cases	
	1. Alcohol/Drug Use	53
	2. Physical Assault, Weapon Use and Threat of Harm	53
	3. Injury and Medical Treatment	54
	4. Reports to Law Enforcement and Criminal Charges Filed	54
III.	WHAT STATEWIDE SERVICE PROVIDERS TELL US ABOUT CHILD RAPE	
	A. Victim and Offender Demographics	55
	B. Characteristics of Child Rape Cases	56
	1. Number of Offenders	56
	2. Victim Disability, Contraction of STD's and Pregnancy	57
	3. Victim/Offender Relationship	57
	4. Types of Coercion and Location of Child Rapes	57
	5. Medical Treatment and Rape Kit Evidence Collection in Child Rapes	58
	<b>SECTION THREE: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS</b>	61
	REFERENCES	63
	TABLES	64
	APPENDICES	78
	<b>SECTION FOUR: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2002-2006</b>	101

## SECTION FOUR: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES, 2002-2006

<u>County</u>	<u>Page</u>
...Bernalillo	101
...Catron	105
...Chaves	109
...Cibola	113
...Colfax	117
...Curry	121
...Dona Ana	125
...Eddy	129
...Grant	133
...Guadalupe	137
...Hidalgo	141
...Lea	145
...Lincoln	149
...Los Alamos	153
...Luna	157
...McKinley	161
...Mora	165
...Otero	169
...Quay	173
...Rio Arriba	177
...Roosevelt	181
...San Juan	185
...San Miguel	189
...Sandoval	193
...Santa Fe	197
...Sierra	201
...Socorro	205
...Taos	209
...Torrance	213
...Union	217
...Valencia	221



## TABLES

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by Agency, 2006	64
2. Law Enforcement Report Sex Crimes by County, 2006	67
3. Percent CSP Crimes with Known Perpetrators by Law Enforcement Agency	68
4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2006	69
5. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2006	70
6. Number Sex Crimes Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2006	71
7. Percent Male Victims by Service Provider Agency, 2006	72
8. Geographic Location of Sexual Assaults Among Victims Who Sought Services in New Mexico, 2006	74
9. New Mexico County In Which The Sexual Assaults Occurred In 2006	75
10. Percent SANE Patients by SANE Program, 2006	76
11. Percent Referrals to SANE Programs from Each Referral Source, by Victim Age	76
12. SANE Patients' County of Residence	77

# APPENDICES

<u>Appendices</u>	<u>Page</u>
A. Survey Of Violence Victimization In New Mexico: Summary of the Findings, 2005	78
B. New Mexico Sex Crimes Statutes	85
C. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies	91
D. Law Enforcement Data Collection Form	94
E. Number of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents by County	95
F. Participating Sexual Assault Service Providers	96
G. Sexual Assault History Form	97
H. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Programs	98
I. SANE Programs Patient Data Collection Form	99

## FIGURES

### SECTION ONE: ANALYSIS OF 2006 SEX CRIMES DATA FROM THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY

<u>Figures</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Percent Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes	4
2	Victim and Offender Age as Reported by Law Enforcement	5
3	Comparison of Victim and Offender Ethnicity/Race in CSP Cases as Reported by Law Enforcement to State of New Mexico Ethnic/Racial Composition	5
4	Victim/Offender Relationship in CSP Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement: Offender Was . . .	7
5	Using Party in Rapes that Involve Alcohol/Drug Use	8
6	Age of Children Present at CSP Cases, as Reported by Law Enforcement	9
7	Victim and Offender Age in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County, as Reported by Law Enforcement	10
8	Age of Children Present at Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County	11
9	Age of Survivor at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault as Reported by Service Providers	12
10	Age of Survivors at Time of Most Recent Sexual Assault, by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	13
11	Comparison of Victims' Ages by Gender in CSP Crimes as Reported by Service Providers	13
12	Comparison of Victims' Ages by Gender in Non-CSP Sexual Assaults as Reported by Service Providers	14
13	Comparison of Ages of Female Victims at Time of CSP and Non-CSP Sexual Assaults as Reported by Service Providers	14
14	Comparison of Ages of Male Victims at Time of CSP and Non-CSP Sexual Assaults as Reported By Service Providers	15
15	Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy	15
16	Age of Survivor at Presentation of Therapy, by Gender	16
17	Time Lapse from Time of Victimization to Time Seeking Therapy Among Sexual Assault Victims, by Gender	16

<b><u>Figures</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
18 A Comparison of Age at Time of Prior Assault Between Victims of Ongoing Sexual Abuse and Victims Who Experienced an Isolated Prior Event, as Reported by Service Providers	17
19 Survivor Ethnicity/Race and State of New Mexico Ethnic/Racial Composition as Reported by Service Providers	17
20 Male CSP Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	18
21 Female CSP Victims by Age and Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	18
22 Sexual Assault Among Persons with Disability as Reported by Service Providers	19
23 CSP Victims with a Disability by Age as Reported by Service Providers	19
24 CSP Victims with a Disability by Race/Ethnicity as Reported by Service Providers	20
25 Offender Age as Reported by Service Providers	21
26 Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Service Providers and State of New Mexico Ethnic/Racial Composition	21
27 Percent Offenders of Each Race/Ethnicity That Are the Same Race/Ethnicity as Their Sexual Assault Victims	22
28 Type of Sexual Offense as Reported by Service Providers	22
29 Type of Criminal Sexual Penetration as Reported by Service Providers	23
30 Type of Offense, by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	23
31 Stranger-Perpetrated Sexual Assaults by Survivor Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Service Providers	24
32 Number of Known "Related" Offenders by Relationship Category, as Reported by Service Providers	25
33 Number of Known "Non-Related" Offenders as Reported by Service Providers	26
34 Number of Offenders Per Sexual Assault, by Gender of Victim, as Reported by Service Providers	27
35 Number of Offenders Per Assault by Survivor Alcohol/Drug Use, as Reported by Service Providers	27
36 A Comparison of the Percent of Single and Multiple-Offender Victimization Among Survivors, by Survivor Race/Ethnicity	28
37 Type of Coercion Used as Reported by Service Providers	28

<b><u>Figures</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>	
38	Type of Coercion Used by Survivor Age as Reported by Service Providers	29
39	Type of Coercion by Survivor Gender as Reported by Service Providers	29
40	Survivor Use of Alcohol/Drugs by Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Service Providers	30
41	Location of Sexual Offenses as Reported by Service Providers	31
42	Year of Presenting Assault by Decade, as Reported by Service Providers	32
43	Proportion of Survivors with History of Domestic Violence by Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers	33
44	Reported Sexual Assaults by Type of Agency Notified, as Reported by Service Providers	34
45	Sexual Assault Reporting: Agency Notified by Survivor Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers	35
46	Percent of Sexual Assaults Not Reported, by Ethnicity/Race of Survivor, as Reported by Service Providers	35
47	Medical Treatment Sought by Victim Age as Reported by Service Providers	36
48	Percent Seeking Medical Treatment by Survivor Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers	37
49	Percent Rape Kit Evidence Collection by Survivor Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers	37
50	Rape Kit Evidence Collection Among Rape Victims, by Age and Gender as Reported by Service Providers	38
51	Patients Served by SANE Units by Age and Gender	40
52	SANE Programs Patient Ethnicity/Race	40
53	Percent SANE Patients, by Race/Ethnicity and Age	41
54	Distribution of Age and Gender Among SANE Patients with a Disability	41
55	Percent Offender Relationship Among SANE Patients 12 and Under	42
56	Percent Offender Relationship Among Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients	43
57	Use of Physical Force, Physical Intimidation, Verbal Threat and Manipulation as Reported by SANE Patients, by Age	44
58	Use of Knives, Firearms, Other Weapons and Other Unspecified Coercion as Reported by SANE Patients, by Age	44
59	Comparison of Type of Coercion Used by Victim/Offender Relationship Between Adolescent and Adult SANE Patients	45

60	Location of Sexual Offenses by Victim Age	45
----	---	----

<b><u>Figures</u></b>		<b><u>Page</u></b>
61	Percent of Each Type of Injury Found Among Injured SANE Patients, By Age	46
62	Comparison of Victim Injuries, by Victim Offender Relationship	47
63	Comparison of Victim Injuries by Number of Offenders	47
64	Percent Sexual Offenses by Month as Reported by SANE	48
65	Evidence Collection by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	49
66	Assessment Services Provided by SANE Programs, by Patient Age	49
67	SANE Patient Referrals to Other Services, by Patient Age	50

## **SECTION TWO: SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT ON SEXUAL ABUSE OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN NEW MEXICO**

<b><u>Figures</u></b>		<b><u>Page</u></b>
68	Victim/Offender Relationship in Rapes of Children and Adolescents	53
69	Physical Assault and Weapon Use in Child and Adolescent Rapes	54
70	Race/Ethnicity of Child Rape Victims by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	55
71	Race/Ethnicity of Child Rapists by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	56
72	Number of Offenders in Child Rape Cases by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	56
73	Offender Relationship in Child Rape Cases by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	58
74	Type of Coercion Experienced by Male and Female Child Rape Victims, as Reported by Service Providers	59
75	Location of Child Rape Victimization by Gender, as Reported by Service Providers	59

# FACT SHEET: SEXUAL ASSAULT IN NEW MEXICO

## I. Lifetime Prevalence of Sexual Assault Among New Mexicans 18 and Older

▶ Completed Rapes and Attempted Rapes	15% (209,813)
Women	24% (175,184) 1 in 4
Men	5% ( 34,665) 1 in 20
▶ Completed Rapes	13% (183,078)
Women	21% (154,013) 1 in 5
Men	4% ( 29,065) 1 in 25
▶ Incapacitated Rape (Subset of Completed Rapes)	5.5% ( 78,347)
Women	8.5% ( 61,461) 1 in 12
Men	2.5% (16,886) 1 in 40

## II. Rape and/or Attempted Rape Incidence (Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, 2005)

▶ Completed Rape and Attempted Rape Victims		
Adult Women (18 and Older)	5,254	7 per 1000
Adult Men (18 and Older)	<u>2,773</u>	4 per 1000
	8,027	
▶ Completed Rape Victims Only		
Adult Women	4,158	6 per 1000
Adult Men	<u>1,162</u>	2 per 1000
Total Rape Incidents	5,320	
▶ Law Enforcement Reported Rape Incidents 2006		1,337
▶ Law Enforcement Reported Non-Penetration Sex Crimes, 2006		1,640
▶ Service Provider Sexual Assault Victims Served, 2006		1,436
▶ SANE Sexual Assault Patients, 2006		972

## III. Selected Rape Findings by Data Source

### Rape: Victim Gender, 2006

	Survey	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Females	78%	85%	88%	90.5%
Males	22%	15%	12%	9.5%

### Rape: Victim Ages, 2006

	Adults	Adolescents	Children
Law Enforcement 2006	34%	26%	40%
Service Providers 2006	30%	21%	49%
Survey Lifetime	33%	23%	44%

**Rape: Victim Race/Ethnicity, 2006**

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Other	Mixed
Law Enforcement 2006	50%	36%	8%	3%	0%	3	-
Service Providers 2006	40%	42%	11%	2%	-	-	4%
SANE 2006	32%	46%	13%	2.5%	-	1%	4.5%
Survey Lifetime	53%	33%	5%	2%	1%	2%	

**Rape: Offender Gender, 2006**

Gender	Survey Lifetime	Law Enforcement 2006	Service Providers 2006	SANE 2006
Males	85%	92%	97%	98%

**Rape: Offender Ages, 2006**

	Adults	Adolescents	Children
Law Enforcement 2006	66%	26%	8%
Service Providers 2006	81%	15%	4%
SANE 2006	85%	12%	3%
Survey Lifetime	78%	20%	<1%

**Rape: Offender Race/Ethnicity, 2006**

	White (non-Hispanic)	Hispanic	Native American	Black	Asian	Mixed
Law Enforcement	50%	36%	8%	3%	0%	-
Service Providers	39%	43%	11%	3%	-	3%

**Rape: Victim/Offender Relationship, 2006**

	Survey	2006	2006	2006
	Lifetime	Law Enforcement	Service Providers	SANE
Stranger	13%	14%	13%	24%
Known Offender	87%	86%	87%	76%
Family	17%	51%	40%	23.5%
Current or Former Intimate Partner	24%	18%	19%	8%

**Rape: Victim Injury, 2006**

	Survey	2006	2006
	Lifetime	Law Enforcement	SANE
Percent Rape Incidents with Victim Injury	25%	24%	62%



**Rape: Alcohol/Drug Use, 2006**

	Survey Lifetime	Law Enforcement 2006	Service Providers 2006
Percent of Rape Cases Involving Alcohol/Drugs		39%	
Victim	23.5%	-	37%
Offender	45.5%	-	71%

**Rape: Medical Care Sought for Victim Injuries , 2006**

	Percent Rape Victims That Sought Medical Treatment
Survey Lifetime	33%
Service Providers 2006	41%

**Rape: Suspect Arrests, 2006**

	Percent Rapes with a Suspect Arrest
Survey Lifetime	3% males (47% of those reported to police) 7% females (37% of those reported to police)
Law Enforcement 2006	18%

**IV. Selected Survey Findings on Rape in New Mexico**

- ▶ Percent Rapes Reported to Police 17%
- ▶ Percent Rape Victims Filing Criminal Charges 6%
- ▶ Percent Rape Victims Obtaining a Restraining Order 10% (3% males; 11% females)
- ▶ Percent Rape Offenders Violating a Restraining Order 49% (52% males; 49% females)

Dispositions for Offenders of Survey Victims:

- ▶ Percent Charges Dropped 25%
- ▶ Percent Acquitted 6%
- ▶ Percent Convicted 45%
- ▶ Percent Pled Guilty 11%
- ▶ Percent Convicted/Guilty Sentenced to Prison/Jail 88%
- ▶ Average Length Sentence for Rape Conviction 62.5 months
- ▶ Percent Rape Offenders Serving 48 Months or Less 54%

**V. Selected Sexual Assault Findings**

- ▶ **Percent Sexual Assault Victims with a Prior Assault:**  
Service Providers, 2006: 51.5%

**Sexual Assault Survivors with a Disability:**

	Percent Sexual Assault Survivors with a Disability
Service Providers 2006	22%
SANE 2006	21%

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct a statewide violence victimization survey. The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico.

Preliminary findings from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV) were published in a report released earlier this year: *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. A summary of the findings from the SVV reported in the previous report, is found in **Appendix A** of the full report. It offers statewide rates of the incidence and prevalence of rape and attempted rape, together with a discussion of the findings on the experience of rape among males and females. Selected findings from the survey can be found in the *Fact Sheet* of this report, as well.

This summary includes an analysis of 2006 sex crimes data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository (which includes findings from law enforcement, service providers and statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner [SANE] units); and new findings from the SVV on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico.

## II. SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

### A. Highlights on Child Rape Victimization

- ▶ From 2002-2006, children comprised an average of 41% of law enforcement reported sexual assaults. Over the same time period, half of the sexual assault victims of all ages that sought therapeutic services in New Mexico were victimized as children.
- ▶ The rate of rape among children and adolescents in New Mexico (66%) found in the *Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico* (SVV) is significantly higher than that found nationally (54%), as reported in the National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS).
- ▶ Almost three-quarters (72%) of those abused as children were victims of ongoing or serial abuse rather than a single incident of rape. (Unfortunately, ongoing sexual abuse is a problem for one-third of *female adolescent* rape victims, as well).
- ▶ Data provided by statewide service providers are consistent with the SVV finding that only 3% of child rape cases are perpetrated by a *stranger*. *Relatives* rape children at almost twice the rate of other *known* offenders, as reported by the SVV and almost three times the rate as reported by statewide service providers. The worst offenders by far, are *fathers* (22%); and service providers report fathers commit rape at almost twice the rate as *uncles* (12%) who commit the second highest rate of child rapes.
- ▶ Most child rape, (81% [service providers] and 93% [SVV]) is perpetrated by *one* offender, who is *male* (97% [service providers] and 95% [SVV]) and of the *same ethnicity* as the victim, 86% (SVV).
- ▶ Findings from the SVV revealed that 40% of child rape cases involved physical assault of the victim, as well, mostly in the form of *grabbing and pushing* (27.5%) and *slapping or hitting*

(16%). However, 4% respectively, of child rape victims were *choked or victims of an attempted drowning, threatened with a gun, and threatened with a knife or other weapon.*

▶ The SVV reported that almost one-quarter (22%) of child rape victims were physically injured during their sexual assault and 4% received medical treatment for their injuries. Reports from statewide service providers found that one-third (31%) of those who sought services for child rape sought medical treatment as a result of their victimization.

▶ Of 972 sexual assault victims that sought Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) services in 2006, nearly one-quarter (23%) were children. One-third (35%) of child SANE patients incurred injuries in conjunction with their sexual assaults. *Vaginal* (60%) and *rectal* (34%) injuries comprised the injuries most incurred by child SANE patients. Indeed more *rectal* injuries were observed among child victims than among victims of any other age group. Additionally, 15% of child patient injuries were *body torso* injuries, 10% were *head and neck* injuries and 2% were injuries from *strangulation*.

▶ Service provider reports demonstrate that victim vulnerability and access make children prime targets for sexual victimization. Most child rape offenders are adults (73%) and most often use *manipulation* (37%), *physical force* (31%) and *verbal threat* (30%) to facilitate the rape of children. Over one-third (39.5%) of child rape victims were threatened by the offender that they or someone close to them would be *harmed or killed*; and nearly half (48%) of the child rape victims *believed* their offender would carry out their threat. Most often female children were victimized in their *own homes* (56%), while male children were victimized in the *offenders' homes* (42%). While the reasons are unclear, more male children (15%), than female children (3%) were victimized in *multiple locations*. Perhaps most egregious in their assault of the vulnerable, is that 17% of the children chosen by their offenders to be victimized were children with a mental, emotional or physical disability.

▶ Reports from statewide service providers reveal that 2% of child rape cases resulted in the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease, and 1% of child rape victims became pregnant as a result of the victimization. More disturbing however, is that 18% of females raped as children became pregnant during adolescence (before the age of 18), unrelated to a sexual victimization.

▶ Nationally, only 16% of rape victims reported their victimization to law enforcement (NVAWS). In New Mexico, 17% of rape victims reported their victimization to statewide law enforcement agencies (SVV). As poor as these reporting rates are, when examined by age of respondent at the time of their victimization, only 9% of those raped as children reported their rape to law enforcement. Perhaps not surprisingly, criminal charges were filed in only 9% of child rape cases.

## **B. Highlights on Adolescent Rape Victimization**

▶ One-quarter (27%, respectively) of law enforcement reported and service provider reported sexual assaults of all types were perpetrated upon adolescents (ages 13-17). Of these, significantly more female victims (28%) than male victims (19%) were victimized as adolescents. In *criminal sexual penetration* crimes however, only slightly more female victims (28%) than male victims (26%) were victimized as *adolescents*. Similarly, adolescents comprised one-quarter (24%) of SANE patients treated.

▶ Slightly over one-quarter of those sexually abused as adolescents were victims on *on-going* abuse. However, when examined by gender, it is clear that on-going abuse among adolescents is largely a problem for *female* victims, as 32% of *female* adolescents compared to 1% of *male* adolescents were victims of on-going abuse.

▶ *Physical force* was the type of coercion used in most adolescent rape victimizations, as reported by service providers, followed by *verbal threats* (21%). An equal proportion of adolescents and adults (6%, respectively) were *intentionally drugged by their offenders*. Similarly, *physical force* was the type of coercion reported most among adolescent SANE patients, but at a significantly higher rate (72%), followed by *physical intimidation* (43%), *verbal threat* (26%) and *alcohol/drug use* (20%).

▶ Among SANE patients, two-thirds (67%) of adolescent rape victimizations occurred in a residence, one-third of these in the *offenders' home*.

▶ Rape kit evidence collection differs greatly between male and female adolescent rape victims. Female adolescent rape victims (40%) were twice as likely to have rape kit evidence collected as male adolescent rape victims (20%).

▶ Ten percent of adolescent rape victimizations were perpetrated by *strangers*. Of the 90% of victimizations by *known offenders*, 49% were perpetrated by an *acquaintance* (other than family, an intimate partner or someone else in their household).

▶ Forty-percent of adolescent rape victimizations involved *offender* use of alcohol/drugs and 18% involved *victim use* of alcohol/drugs.

▶ Two-thirds of adolescent rape victimizations involved *physical assault* in addition to the sexual assault: over half (57.5%) were *grabbed and pushed*, approximately one-quarter (23%) were *slapped or hit*, 15% were *beat up* and 12% were *choked or victims of an attempted drowning*. Additionally, 8% were *threatened with a gun* and 11% *threatened with a knife*.

▶ One-quarter of adolescent rape victims (23%) reported being *physically injured* during their sexual assault incidents and 6% *received medical care* for their injuries.

▶ Only 15% of adolescent rape victims reported their victimization to law enforcement, 7% obtained a restraining order and 8% resulted in criminal charges being filed.

### **C. Comparing Findings from Law Enforcement, Service Providers and Sane Programs**

▶ There were 98 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2006 (see **Appendix C**). Presently, these agencies represent 95% of the New Mexico population. There were 2,977 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies. During the same calendar year, service providers from rape crisis centers and mental health centers served 1,436 victims of sexual assault (as reported on the standardized *Sexual Assault History Form*). SANE Programs served 972 sexual assault victims/patients.

▶ The victim in 85% of law enforcement reported *criminal sexual penetration* crimes was *female*. The victim was female in 82% of law enforcement reported *non-CSP* sex crimes, 88% of those who sought help from service providers and 90.5% from SANE Programs.

▶ Males were the perpetrators in 92% of law enforcement reported *criminal sexual penetration* crimes, 90% of law enforcement reported *non-CSP* sex crimes, 97% of sexual assault cases reported by service providers and 98% of sexual assaults reported by SANE Programs.

▶ Service providers (18%) and law enforcement (14%) reported less *stranger-perpetrated* rapes than that reported by SANE Programs (24%). As reported by service providers, *stranger-perpetrated* rape was two times more likely among *victims who used alcohol/drugs* than among victims who did not use alcohol/drugs.

▶ Among the 86% of offenders known to the victim (as reported by law enforcement), 51% were *related to the victim*. Similarly, of the 82% of known offenders reported by services providers, 37% were *related to the victim*. By contrast, of 791 SANE patients known to the offender, only 18% were *related to the victim*.

▶ *Acquaintances* were the categories comprising the greatest proportion of *known* offenders as reported by both law enforcement and service providers (25% and 22%, respectively), while *parents* (9%) as reported by law enforcement, and *fathers* (25%), *uncles* (18%) and *step-fathers* (11%), as reported by service providers, comprised the greatest proportion of *related* offenders.

▶ *Non-personal weapons* (knives, guns, and other deadly weapons) were involved in 2% of sex crimes as reported by law enforcement, 5% of sex crimes as reported by service providers and 12% of sex crimes as reported by SANE Programs. A knife (5%) or gun (3%) was involved in more sex crimes against adults, as reported by service providers. Similarly, SANE providers reported that a *gun* was involved in more sex crimes against *adults* (8%), but slightly more sex crimes among *adolescents* (6%) than *adults* (5%), involved a *knife*.

▶ As reported by law enforcement, 24% of *criminal sexual penetration* cases, and 3% of *non-CSP* cases involved injury to the victim. SANE Programs however, reported that 62% of their sexual assault patients experienced one or more injuries.

▶ Slightly less than one-quarter of victims seeking services from service providers (22%) and SANE programs (21%) had a disability prior to their assault. When those with a disability were examined by victim age, significantly more of the victims with a disability were adults (35% service provider reports; 70% SANE reports) than were *adolescent* or *child* victims.

▶ In New Mexico, 27% of sex crimes as reported by service providers and 13% as reported by SANE Programs were perpetrated by *multiple-offenders*. This is significantly higher than the 9.4% of multiple-offender sex crimes reported nationally.

## **D. Findings Unique To Service Providers**

▶ There were 381 cases of criminal sexual penetration as reported by service providers that actually specified the type of penetration incident. Of these 59% were *incest* cases, 15% *date rapes* and 13%, respectively *gang* and *spousal rapes*.

▶ As reported by service agencies, more *males* (31%) than *females* (15%) experienced *fondling*, while more *females* than *males*, experienced *criminal sexual penetration* (73% vs. 55%).

▶ The type of coercion used most often among all victims was *physical force* (38%), followed closely by *manipulation* (25%) and *verbal threats* (22%). *Intentional drugging* was reported in

5% of the sexual assault cases reported by service providers; and *adults* and *adolescents* (6%, respectively) were intentionally drugged more often than *children* (1%).

▶ Survivors using alcohol/drugs (33%) were twice as likely to be assaulted by *multiple offenders* as those not using alcohol/drugs (17%). Similarly, survivors using alcohol/drugs (25%) were almost twice as likely to be victims of *stranger-perpetrated* rape as survivors not using alcohol/drugs (14%). Further survivors using alcohol/drugs (12%) were six times more likely to contract a *sexually transmitted disease* than survivors who did not use alcohol/drugs (2%).

▶ One-half (51.5%) of sexual assault victims were victims of a *prior* sexual assault. Among those previously victimized, 57% were victims of *on-going sexual abuse* and 43% were those who experienced an *isolated prior assault*.

▶ Among victims of *on-going* sexual abuse, 88% were victimized by age 12 (43% of which, by age 5), compared with 56% victimized by age 12 among those who experienced an *isolated* assault.

▶ Pregnancy resulting from the current sexual assault was documented in 737 cases. Of these, 27 (4%) resulted in a pregnancy.

▶ Forty-seven percent of survivors and 71% of offenders had a history of domestic violence. However, a survivor with a *history of domestic violence* was almost two times (1.7) more likely to be offended by someone with a *history of domestic violence*, as a survivor with no history of domestic violence.

▶ While most male (65%) and female (45%) victims of sexual assault seek therapeutic services within one-year of the assaults, significantly more male victims (22%) than female victims (13%) waited over 20 years to seek services.

▶ Slightly over half (55%) of sexual assault victims, as reported by service providers, sought medical treatment and one-third (34%) had rape kit evidence collected.

### **III. IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS**

The rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico is disproportionate among rape victims and must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts. Findings from the SVV, law enforcement, service providers, and SANE Programs demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female and are most often victimized by age 12. Even when males are sexual assault victims, most are also victimized by age 12. When one considers that parents and step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of this abuse, it is imperative that parents, guardians and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach, to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, and judges) who must respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child's disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. To be sure, sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Half of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in the year 2006, had experienced a prior sexual assault. Further, while sexual abuse prevention instruction is recommended for

students throughout elementary school, when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the shocking reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships and normal sexual developmental must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children.

Few service providers (18%) and law enforcement (14) reported sexual assaults involve a *stranger* offender, while SANE Programs reported 24% of their sexual assault patients were offended by a *stranger*. Similarly, a significant proportion of *known offenders* as reported by service providers (37%) and law enforcement (51%) were related to the victims, while SANE Programs reported only 18% of their sexual assault patients were related to their offender. These findings suggest that sexual assault victims who are victimized by a *stranger* are more likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a *relative* are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimization. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services. Since family members comprise a disproportionate number of all perpetrators of reported sexual assaults in our state, it is imperative to provide education and outreach in schools and communities to inform those at risk about services available to them.

Sexual victimizations of adolescents and adults more often involved a gun, knife and intentional drugging than victims of other ages. Adolescents and young adults are vulnerable to date rape and rape by new and/or social acquaintances. Further, alcohol and drug use is associated with a greater vulnerability to stranger rape, multiple offender rape, and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease. These findings have implications for personal safety instruction and alcohol and drug prevention education programs for high school students.

Slightly less than one-quarter of victims who sought assistance for a sexual assault had some type of disability before the sexual assault. Most of these victims had a mental and/or emotional disability. This speaks to the need for education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of their residents with disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities.

Only 34% of sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers were reported to law enforcement. Additionally, over 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims and 9% of child victims in the SVV reported their victimizations to law enforcement. There are several implications: 1) training for healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault; 2) training of law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and 3) accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

Survivors with a history of domestic violence were two times more likely to be sexually assaulted by someone with a history of domestic violence than survivors who were not exposed to domestic violence in their past. Experiencing domestic violence as a child increases one's vulnerability to abuse and sexual assault as an adult. This finding implies that greater efforts should be made by those in law enforcement and in collaboration with those in the helping professions to identify children from violent homes and provide appropriate counseling services.

Law enforcement reported that 24% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 3% on non-CSP sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 62% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who believe they are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who do not believe they are injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury. All this said, there may be an implication for officer training regarding victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports.



# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO VI:**

**An Analysis of 2006 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository  
and *The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico***

*Developed by Betty Caponera, Ph.D.  
For the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.*

Funded by:

State of New Mexico: Department of Health — Office of Injury Prevention; Behavioral Health Services Division; New Mexico Crime Victims Reparation Commission — Violence Against Women Act Grants Office; and the Violence Against Women Office,  
Office of Justice Programs, US Dept. of Justice  
Through the New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.

**OCTOBER 2007**

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

In 2005, the Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention obtained funds to conduct a statewide violence victimization survey. The survey was conducted by Schulman, Ronca and Bucuvalas, Inc. (SRBI) a national research organization with over 25 years experience conducting national and statewide surveys on health and trauma issues.

The purpose of the survey was to obtain state estimates of the prevalence and nature of victimization among adults in New Mexico. The sample for the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico was drawn from a statewide sample of telephone households developed by random digit dialing (RDD). A statewide random sample of 4,000 adults aged 18 and older: 2000 males and 2000 females, were interviewed. Interviewing for the survey was conducted between December 6, 2005 and January, 22 2006. Comprehensive information regarding the survey methods used (sample construction, instrument design, programming, testing, interviewer selection, training, monitoring, conducting the interviews, response rates, field outcomes, data preparation and processing) is found in the *Survey Methods Report* available upon request from the Central Repository.

Preliminary findings from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico were published in a report released earlier this year: *Sex Crimes In New Mexico V*, January 2007. Due to cost and other factors, statewide victimization surveys, like national victimization surveys, are not conducted each year, rather survey data is analyzed over a period of several years. A summary of the findings from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico reported in the previous report, is found in **Appendix A**. It offers statewide rates of the incidence and prevalence of rape and attempted rape, together with a discussion of the findings on the experience of rape among males and females. Selected findings from the survey can be found in the *Fact Sheet* of this report, as well.

This report has four sections: Section One presents an analysis of 2006 sex crimes data from the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository, which includes findings from law enforcement, service providers and statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) units. Section Two presents a special supplement on the prevalence and nature of rape victimizations among children and adolescents in New Mexico. Section Three presents a discussion of the implications of the findings. Section Four offers county tables to present important trends information specific to each county.

## **SECTION ONE: ANALYSIS OF 2006 SEX CRIMES DATA FROM THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY**

### **I. ABOUT THE CENTRAL REPOSITORY**

The Central Repository is supported by the State of New Mexico Department of Health, Office of Injury Prevention and Behavioral Health Services Division and the Violence Against Women Act. It was established in 1998 to house data submitted from a variety of agencies statewide (law enforcement, district and magistrate courts, and domestic violence service providers) that deal with the issue of domestic violence. In 2001, the Central Repository began capturing statewide sexual assault data, as well. To this end, sexual assault data from law enforcement agencies and the courts, as well as data from rape crisis centers, mental health

centers, and SANE Programs that provide services for sexual assault victims, are submitted to the Central Repository.

Currently, standardized data from each aforementioned discipline, are submitted to the Central repository on a quarterly basis. The data analyzed for this report covers sexual assault law enforcement, service provider and SANE data for the period 1/1/06 – 12/31/06.

## **II. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES**

### **A. DEFINITIONS**

Sexual assault incidents captured in New Mexico include the following statutes regarding sexual offenses. These statutes are presented in brief. Full definitions are found in **Appendix B**.

#### **30-9-11 Criminal sexual penetration**

A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.

#### **30-9-12 Criminal sexual contact**

A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.

#### **30-9-13 Criminal sexual contact of a minor**

A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing of a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

#### **30-9-14 Indecent exposure**

A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.

#### **30-10-3 Incest**

A. Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

### **30-9-1 Enticement of child**

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. Enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 (30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978) of the Criminal Code; or
- B. Having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

### **30-6A-2 Sexual Exploitation of Children**

- A. and B. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally *possess* or *distribute* any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.
- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.

## **B. LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED INCIDENCE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT**

There were 98 law enforcement agencies that submitted sexual assault data to the Central Repository during 2006 (see **Appendix C**). Presently, these agencies represent 95% of the New Mexico population. Data from each participating agency was extracted from police offense incident reports and submitted in aggregate form on the standardized *Law Enforcement Sexual Violence Data Collection Form* (see **Appendix D**).


### **1. All Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes**

In 2006, there were 2,977 sex crimes reported by participating law enforcement agencies. For a list of sex crime reports by law enforcement agency, see **Table 1**. For a list of sex crime reports by county, see **Table 2**. Of the reported sex crimes, 45% (1,337) were cases of *criminal sexual penetration*, 27% (806) *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, 10% (300) *criminal sexual contact*, 8% (250) *indecent exposure*, 8% (236) *child enticement* and 2% (48) *sexual exploitation*, see **Figure 1**.

### **2. Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration (Rape) Incidents**

The number of criminal sexual penetration (rape) cases per county that were reported to law enforcement in 2006 is shown in **Appendix E**. As expected considering the size of their respective populations, the *rate* of law enforcement reported *criminal sexual penetration* (LER-CSP) incidents in New Mexico was calculated based on counties with complete reporting (those

counties with the law enforcement agency from the largest city(s) reporting). The rate of law enforcement reported criminal sexual penetration for New Mexico is .70 per 1000 persons, which is similar to the .76 rate reported in 2005.



## C. CHARACTERISTICS OF CRIMINAL SEXUAL PENETRATION CASES

### 1. Victim and Offender Gender

There were 1,404 criminal sexual penetration victims identified from the 1,337 law enforcement sexual assault reports. Victim *gender* was documented in 1,181 cases. Of these, 1,009 (85%) were *female* victims and 172 (15%) *male* victims.



Of the 1,337 cases of criminal sexual penetration, 1,195 offenders were identified. Offender gender was documented in 1,008 reports. Of these, 92% (932) had a *male* offender.

### 2. Victim and Offender Age

Of the 846 reports of criminal sexual penetration that identified *victim age*, the greatest proportion of all victims were in the age group *13-18* (26%), followed by victims age *7-12* (21%) victims *0-6* (19%) and *19-25* (14%). Conversely, of the 516 reports that identified *offender age*, the greatest proportion of all offenders were in the age group *19-25* (23%), followed by offenders *26-35* (22%) and *13-18* (18%). See **Figure 2**.

### 3. Victim and Offender Ethnicity/Race

Of the 1,132 criminal sexual penetration cases that identified *victim ethnicity/race*, 50% (568) were *White (non-Hispanic)*, 36% (406) were *Hispanic*, 8% (90) *Native American*, 3% (35) *Other*, 3% (29) *Black*, and 0% (5) *Asian*. Likewise, of the 942 CSP cases that identified *offender ethnicity/race*, 44% (411) were *White (non-Hispanic)*, 39% (366) *Hispanic*, 5% (50) *Native American*, 7% (65) *Black*, 5% (48) *Other*, and 0% (2) *Asian*. For a comparison of victim and offender ethnicity/race to ethnic/racial compositions in New Mexico, see **Figure 3**.



#### 4. Victim/Offender Relationship

The *victim/offender relationship* was not documented in 70% (942) of the 1,337 reported cases of criminal sexual penetration. Of the 395 cases of CSP where *victim/offender relationship* was documented, 14% (55) were perpetrated by a *stranger* to the victim and 86% (340) were perpetrated by someone *known* by the victim.

There were 245 cases which documented whether or not the known perpetrator was a *relative*. Of these, 51% (126) were *relatives*.

While law enforcement agencies report whether the offender was a stranger or known to the victim, they do not further report the *type of relationship* among *known* offenders. However, such documentation is available from Bernalillo County in the reported cases of criminal sexual penetration submitted by the *Albuquerque Police Department* and the *Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office*. Of the 517 cases of criminal sexual penetration reported by law enforcement agencies in Bernalillo County, the *victim/offender relationship* was documented in 438 cases. Of these, 34 (8%) were perpetrated by a *stranger* and 404 (92%) by *someone known* to the victim. Relatives comprised 32% of the *known* offenders or 29% (128) of all victim/offender relationships documented. *Other* unspecified offenders, 16% (72) comprised the largest category of known non-family offenders, followed by *acquaintances* 25% (111) and *friends* 8% (35). **Figure 4** illustrates the number and percent of each type of *victim/offender relationship* identified.


#### 5. Weapon Use and Injury

There is a parallel between weapon use in sexual assault incidents and its use in domestic violence incidents, though there is a reluctance among professionals in the field of sexual violence prevention and prosecution to report this observation. Because the intent in a domestic assault or battery is to physically harm the victim, an offender's fists and feet used in kicking, slapping, or punching a victim are considered "personal weapons". Applying this liberal definition of a *weapon* to CSP crimes would result in 63% or 386 of 613 cases in New Mexico, involving a weapon. However, while this demonstrates comprehensive and accurate reporting, there is great reluctance in reporting such high rates of weapon use in sexual assault incidents. Sexual assault advocates and prosecutors have been working for years to dispel the long held social myth that unless there is a "non-personal" or deadly weapon involved, the victim consented too easily and must have freely engaged in the sexual activity. In truth, a very small proportion of CSP cases nationally, involve a "non-personal" weapon, such as a gun, knife, bat, etc. The same is true in New Mexico, as only 2% or 10 of 481 cases documenting *type of weapon used* involved "non-personal" weapons.

While it is most appropriate to include "personal weapons" in a weapon count in sex crimes and in domestic violence crimes, it is crucial to argue that sexual assault victims are raped, i.e. forced against their will, even without the presence of a weapon of *any* kind. The law states clearly, that just the presence of a *threat* of physical harm that the victim believes can be presently executed, is all that is required to demonstrate force or coercion. The requirement of "personal", "non-personal" and/or deadly weapon-use to justify that victim resistance occurred during a sexual assault incident must be eliminated.

Of the 789 cases that documented whether the victim was injured, victim injury occurred in 24% (192) of the cases.


**Figure 4. Victim/Offender Relationship in CSP Sex Crimes in Bernalillo County as Reported by Law Enforcement: Offender Was . . .**





## 6. Alcohol and Drug Use

There were 819 cases of criminal sexual penetration where *alcohol/drug use* was documented. Of these, alcohol and/or drugs were used in 39% (316) of cases. Of the 316 cases where alcohol or drugs were used, 276 documented the using party(s). *Offender-only* use of alcohol/drugs was reported in 56% (154) of cases. *Victim-only* use was found in 15% (41) of cases, and both the *victim* and *offender* used alcohol/drugs in 29% (81) of cases. See **Figure 5**. The total cases then, in which *offenders* used alcohol/drugs was 85% compared to the total cases in which *victims* used alcohol/drugs (44%).



## 7. Children Witnesses to Criminal Sexual Penetration


When analyzing data regarding children, two variables are analyzed: 1) the *number of incidents* that at least one child was present; and 2) the total *number of children* present. In 2006, 98 law enforcement agencies reported to the Central Repository. Of these, 75 reported at least one case of criminal sexual penetration but 69 of these agencies did not report how many incidents had children present, or how many total children were present during their reported CSP incidents. There were 131 cases that documented the *number of incidents* where at least one child was present. Of these, 35 (27%) cases had at least one child present. There were 43 children present at these 35 incidents, or 1.4 children per incident. There were 770 reports that documented the *total number of children present*. Of these, the total number of children present was 175 (a number that represents 23% of the number of CSP reports).

Of the 175 children present during the CSP incidents, the age of the child was documented in 161. Of these 17% (27) were age 0-5; 16% (26) 6-9; 9% (14) 10-12; 27% (43) 13-17; and 32% (51) 18-21. See **Figure 6**.

## 8. Suspect Arrests for Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents

There were 754 cases of criminal sexual penetration that documented whether there was a suspect arrest. Of these, 136 (18%) cases had a suspect arrest. Among agencies with 10 or more

reported CSP cases, the *Hobbs Police Department* had the most incidents with a suspect arrest at 36% or 5 of 14 reported cases, followed by the *Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department*, 35% or 6 of 17 cases, and the *Farmington Police Department*, 27% or 13 of 49 cases. See **Table 3**.



#### **D. CHARACTERISTICS OF OTHER (NON-PENETRATION) LAW ENFORCEMENT REPORTED SEX CRIMES**

While participating law enforcement agencies throughout the state report on the number of *non-penetration* sex crimes, including *criminal sexual contact*, *criminal sexual contact of a minor*, *indecent exposure*, *sexual exploitation*, and *child enticement* cases, they do not provide *details* about the nature of these crimes. However, data are available from the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office that assist in characterizing these *non-penetration* crimes.


##### **1. Victim and Offender Gender**

There were 594 *non-penetration* sex crimes in Bernalillo County. There were 633 victims identified in these crimes. Victim *gender* was documented in 612 of the victims identified. Of these, 82% were female. This is less than the 85% of female victims in law enforcement reported criminal sexual penetration (CSP) crimes. There were 477 offenders identified in the *non-penetration* sex crimes. The suspect *gender* was documented in 473. Of these, 90% (427) were male. This is similar to the 92% of male offenders in CSP crimes.

##### **2. Victim and Offender Age**

The *victim age* was documented in 359 of the 633 victims identified in Bernalillo County sex crimes. Of these, 86% were not yet adolescents: 41% were ages 0-6 and 45% were ages 7-12. Another 6% of victims were ages 13-18, 3% respectively, were ages 19-25, ages 26-35. See **Figure 7**. *Offender age* was documented in 93 of the 477 offenders identified. Of these, 23% were ages 7-12, and 10% were 13-18. Offenders 19-25 comprised 14% of all offenders of *non-*

penetration crimes. Offenders 26-35 and 36-45 comprised 13% respectively, of all offenders of non-penetration crimes. Offenders 66 and over comprised 12% percent of all offenders, followed by offenders 46-55 (7%). Offenders 0-6 and 56-65 comprised 4% respectively, of offenders of non-penetration sex crimes, respectively. Refer to Figure 7.



### 3. Victim and Offender Ethnicity/Race

Victim and offender *ethnicity/race* variables from the Albuquerque Police Department and the Bernalillo County Sheriff’s Office shared records database were unreliable. Since this shared database is the only one that captures specifics on *non-penetration* sex crimes, no other ethnicity/race data on these crimes were available for analysis.

### 4. Weapon Use and Injury


Bernalillo County law enforcement agencies reported 81% or 480 cases out of 594 *involved a weapon*. However, among these non-penetration cases, there were only 2 reports which included a “non-personal” or deadly weapon: gun (1) and knife (1). Although 24% of CSP cases involved injury, only 3% (19) of *non-penetration* sex crimes involved injury.

### 5. Alcohol/Drug Use

Similar to the injury findings, while 39% of CSP cases involved alcohol/drug use, only 9% of *non-penetration* cases involved alcohol/drug use.

### 6. Children Witnesses to Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

There were 42 children who witnessed an unknown number of the reported 594 non-penetration sex crimes (the number of *incidents* which had a child present was not documented, only the total number of children present for all incidents). Of these, 17% (7) were age 0-5, 52% (22) were 6-9, 7% (3) were age 10-12, 14% (6) were age 13-17 and 10% (4) were age 18-21. See **Figure 8**. A comparison of the age of children present at CSP crimes versus non-penetration sex crimes, illustrates that more (76%) young children (< 13 years of age), were present during *non-penetration* crimes compared to the proportion (42%) present during *criminal sexual penetration* crimes. Refer to Figure 6.



#### 7. Suspect Arrest in Non-Penetration Sex Crimes

Of the 594 *non-penetration* sex crimes in Bernalillo County, there was no arrest made in 537 or 90%. This is higher than the 82% of *CSP* crimes where no arrest was made.

### III. SEXUAL ASSAULT SERVICE PROVIDERS AND REPORTED SEX CRIMES

There were 25 sexual assault service provider agencies that submitted data to the Central Repository in 2006 (see **Appendix F**). Therapists from participating agencies complete the *Sexual Assault History* form, a standardized data collection instrument used by mental health and rape crisis centers throughout New Mexico to capture information on each client who presents for therapy for a recent or past sexual offense (see **Appendix G**). The data presented herein represent clients who presented for therapy between 1/1/06 and 12/31/06 for a recent or past sexual assault. Completed *Sexual Assault History* forms are submitted to the Central Repository on a monthly basis. There were 1,436 clients who received services for a sexual assault victimization. This represents a 7% decrease from that reported in 2005. Completed forms on these survivors were analyzed for this report.

The *Rape Crisis Center of Central New Mexico* served 32% (460) of all sex crimes survivors seen in 2005, followed by *La Pinon Sexual Trauma Recovery (Las Cruces)* 289 (20%), *Santa Fe Rape Crisis Center* 116 (8%) and *Sexual Assault Services of North West New Mexico* 110 (8%). See **Table 4**. For an examination of survivors served by county, see **Table 5**.

It is difficult to make a meaningful comparison between the number of sexual assault cases reported by *service providers* per county and the number of sex crimes reported by *law*

*enforcement* per county because only 58% (832) of all survivors who sought treatment in 2006 experienced the sexual assault in 2006. However, one may make a comparison simply based on a 12 month interval basis, i.e. in the same period of time that law enforcement reported 2,977 sex crimes, therapists served 1,436 survivors. See **Table 6**. The number of survivors seen represents 48% of the number reported by police in the same time frame.


**A. SURVIVOR DEMOGRAPHICS**


**1. Gender of Survivor**

Of the 1,436 sexual offense reports, 1,410 documented the *gender of the survivor*, 88% (1,242) of which were *female*. This is lower than the 91% of female survivors of sexual offenses reported nationally (Bureau of Justice Statistics, Sex Offenses and Offenders, 1997). *Border Area Mental Health* served the most males among survivors served (44%), followed by *Mental Health Resources-Clovis* (39%) and *Southern New Mexico Human Development, Inc.* (36%). See **Table 7**.

**2. Age of Survivor At Time of Current (Presenting) Sexual Assault**


Of the 1,383 reports where *age of survivor at the time of the current assault* was documented, the greatest percentage of victimizations occurred between the ages of 13 and 17 (27%), followed closely by victimizations occurring from ages 18-24 (20%). See **Figure 9**. A comparison of *age at the time of the current sexual assault* between males and females reveals that more *males* (74%) of all males assaulted, were victims before age 18, compared to the percent of females among female survivors who were assaulted before age 18 (50%). See **Figure 10**.






Since there is a significant difference between genders with regard to the age of the survivors at the time of the most recent assault when examining all types of assault, an analysis was conducted to compare the age of survivors for males and females between *criminal sexual penetration* crimes and *non-penetration* sex crimes.


In *criminal sexual penetration crimes*, the proportion of *male children* raped (46%) among all males who were raped is significantly more than the proportion of *female children* raped (24%) among all females who were raped. Beyond age 12, and with the exception of ages 45-54, a greater proportion of *females* than males were raped in every age group. See **Figure 11**.




Similarly, in *non-penetration sex crimes* (criminal sexual contact, criminal sexual contact of a minor, sexual exploitation, child enticement, indecent exposure) the proportion of *male children* victimized (72%) among all males victimized is also significantly greater than the proportion of female children victimized (44%) among all females victimized. See **Figure 12**.



An examination of *female* sexual assault victims of *criminal sexual penetration* and *non-penetration sex crimes* shows that a greater proportion of female victims experience *non-penetration sex crimes* as children (age 12 and under) 44%, than *criminal sexual penetration* (22%), but they experience *criminal sexual penetration* at higher rates in all other age groups, save 45-54. See **Figure 13**.




An examination of *male* sexual assault victims of *criminal sexual penetration* and *non-penetration sex crimes* shows that a greater proportion of male victims experience *non-penetration sex crimes* before the age of 13 (72%), than *criminal sexual penetration* (46%), but they experience more *criminal sexual penetration* at higher rates in all other age groups, save 55-64. See **Figure 14**.




### 3. Age of Survivor At Presentation For Therapy

The *age of the survivor when presenting for therapy* was documented in 1,361 of the sexual offenses reported. Of these cases, the age groups with the most presentations was *18-24* (21%) closely followed by the age groups *13-17* and *25-34* (19%, respectively). See **Figure 15**.




*Males* presented for therapy most often during the ages of *6-12* and *25-34* (17%, respectively), followed closely by males ages *0-5* and *35-44* (15%, respectively). *Females* presented for therapy most often between the ages of *18-24* (22%) followed closely by *13-17* (20%) and *25-34* (19%). See **Figure 16**.






The sexual assault reports from service providers in 2006, were analyzed to determine the proportion of victims who sought therapeutic services for their sexual assault within one year of the assault, and the proportion of victims who waited longer than one year. Almost two-thirds (65%) of *female* victims and nearly half (45%) of *male* victims sought therapy within one year of their sexual assaults. After one year, significantly more *males* (22%) than *females* (13%) waited over 20 years to seek services. See **Figure 17**.




#### 4. Survivor History of Prior Sexual Assault/Abuse

Prior sexual assault/abuse was documented in 697 service provider reports. Of these, 329 (51.5%) were sexually assaulted prior to the current assault. The age of the survivor at the time of the prior assault was documented in 273 of the 329 cases. Of these, 155 were survivors who were victims of *on-going sexual abuse*. The age at onset of abuse is shown in **Figure 18**. Eighty-eight percent of these prior victimizations occurred by age 12, with the greatest proportion occurring between the ages of 6 and 12 years (45%). Of the 117 cases of *isolated prior sexual assault incidents*, 56% occurred by age 12, 26% between the ages of 13 and 17, and 18% were individuals 18 and older. Refer to Figure 18.




#### 5. Ethnicity/Race of Survivor


*Ethnicity/race of the survivor* was documented in 1,284 of reported sexual offenses. Forty-two percent of the reported survivors were *Hispanic*, 40% were *White (non-Hispanic)*, 11% were *Native American*, 4% were of *mixed race/ethnicity*, and 2% were *Black*. For a comparison of these percentages to the ethnic/racial composition of New Mexico, see **Figure 19**.



When *race/ethnicity* was examined among *male rape victims seeking services*, there were too few *Native American* (1), *Black* (1), *Mixed* (1) and *Other race/ethnicity* (1) male rape victims to examine. Among *White (non-Hispanic)* male rape victims, significantly more were children (40%), than adolescents (31%) or adults (29%). Among *Hispanic* male rape victims, 63% were children, 17% adolescents, and 20% adults. See **Figure 20**.




When *race/ethnicity* was examined among *female rape victims seeking services*, slightly more *Hispanic* female victims (54%), *White (non-Hispanic)* female victims (51%) and female victims of *mixed race/ethnicity* were children and adolescents. Slightly more *Native American* female victims (51%) and *Black* female victims (57%) were *adults*. However, the number of *Black* female rape victims is so few (14), this proportion should be viewed with caution. See **Figure 21**.




## 6. Survivor Disability


Of the 949 reports that documented *disability of the survivor*, 22% (207) had a disability. The highest reported disability among the 207 survivors with a disability was *emotional/mental disability prior to the sexual offense incident*, accounting for 131 (63%) of the 207 documented cases of disability. One-third (37%) of cases reported a *physical disability*: 5% hearing, 5% visual, 9% mobility and 18% a *non-specified physical disability*. See **Figure 22**.



When *disability* was examined among *rape victims*, by *age*, more *adult* (18 and older) rape victims (35%) had a disability than *adolescent* victims 13-17 (16%) or *child* (12 and under) victims (15%). See **Figure 23**.



When *race/ethnicity* was examined among rape victims with a disability, slightly more *White (non-Hispanic)* rape victims (26%) had a disability than *Native American* rape victims (23%) and *Hispanic* rape victims (21%). While 31% of *Black* rape victims and 17% of rape victims of *mixed race/ethnicity* had a disability, these numbers should be viewed with caution as there were too few (13) *Black* rape victims and of *mixed race/ethnicity* victims (6) where disability was documented. See **Figure 24**.



**B. OFFENDER DEMOGRAPHICS**

**1. Gender of the Offender**



Of the 1,280 sexual offense cases where *gender of the offender* was documented, 1,238 (97%) were *male* and 43 (3%) *female*. Similarly, among 753 rape cases where offender gender was documented, 734 (97%) were male.

**2. Age of Offender**

*Age of the offender* was documented in 615 of the offense reports submitted. The age groups with the greatest number of offenders were *18-24* and *25-34* (24%, respectively), followed by the *35-44* age group (18%). See **Figure 25**. The 19% of offenders represented in the <18 age groups is significantly higher than the percents of *single offenders* (10.9%) and *multiple offenders* (4.4%) *younger than 18*, that were reported nationally (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 1997).


**3. Offender Ethnicity/Race**

Of the 1,337 reported sexual assault cases, there were 650 cases where *offender ethnicity/race* was documented. Of these, 39% were *White (non-Hispanic)*, 43% *Hispanic*, 11% *Native American*, 3% *Black*, and 3% *mixed ethnicity*. See **Figure 26**.



Similarly the proportion of offenders of each race/ethnicity among *rape* cases showed negligible differences in the proportion of each compared to *non-penetration* cases: *White (non-Hispanic)* 42%; *Hispanic* 41%; *Native American* 10%; *Black* 3%; and offenders of *mixed race/ethnicity* 3%. Refer to Figure 26.


It is interesting to note that in 80% (526 of 655) of documented cases, the offender was the *same ethnicity/race* as the victim. However, the proportion of offenders of each race/ethnicity that were the same race/ethnicity as the victim differs significantly. Ninety-one percent of *Native American* offenders were the same ethnicity as their victims (64 of 70) compared to 83% of *White (non-Hispanic)* offenders (209 of 253), 80% of *Hispanic* offenders (216 of 269), and 50% of *Black* offenders (10 of 20). Additionally, 44% of offenders (7 of 16) of *mixed race/ethnicity* offended victims of *mixed race/ethnicity*. See **Figure 27**.




### C. SEXUAL OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS

#### 1. Type of Sexual Offense


The type of sex offense was documented in 1,062 of the reported 1,436 cases reported by service providers. Of these 71% (754) were *criminal sexual penetration* (CSP – oral, anal, and/or vaginal penetration), 17% (185) *fondling*, 2.5% (27) *sexual harassment*, 1% (13) *stalking*, 5% (56) *criminal sexual contact* and 2.5% (27) *indecent exposure*. See **Figure 28**.



Of the 754 cases involving CSP, 373 did not specify the circumstance of the rape. In the 381 cases of CSP that specified the assault circumstances, *incest* accounted for 59% (228). *Date/Acquaintance rape* comprised 15% (56) of the specified CSP cases. *Spousal rape* comprised 13% (48) of the specified assaults and *gang rape*, 13% (49). See **Figure 29**.



There were 1,044 sexual assault cases where both the *survivor gender* and *type of offense* were known. When examined by *gender*, a significantly greater proportion of *females* (73%) among female survivors experienced CSP than *males* experienced CSP (55%) among male survivors. Conversely, a significantly greater proportion of *males* among male survivors experienced *fondling* (31%), *sexual harassment* (4%) and *indecent exposure* (4%), than females experienced *fondling* (15%), *sexual harassment* (2%) and *indecent exposure* (2%) among female survivors. See **Figure 30**.






## 2. Survivor/Offender Relationship

*Survivor/Offender relationship* was documented in 1,226 of the reported cases of sexual assault. In general, 18% (222) were perpetrated by a *stranger* and 82% (1,004) by someone *known to the victim*.

There were 1,205 cases that documented both *stranger-perpetrated* sexual offenses and the *ethnicity/race of the survivor*. Of the 492 cases with *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors, 15% (75) experienced *stranger-perpetrated* sexual assault. Likewise, of the 502 cases with *Hispanic* survivors, 19% (94) experienced *stranger-perpetrated* sexual assault. *Stranger-perpetrated* sexual assault was experienced by 17% (23) of the 134 *Native American* survivors, and by 18% (8) of the 45 survivors of *mixed race ethnicity*. There were 32 reports on *Black* survivors that documented the relationship of the offender. Of these, 31% (10) were *stranger-perpetrated* rapes. See **Figure 31**.




There were 1,271 cases that documented both *stranger-perpetrated* sexual offenses and *survivor gender*. Of these documented cases, 158 were *male* survivors and 1,113 *female* survivors. Of the cases with *male* survivors, 14% (22) were *stranger-perpetrated*, compared to 18% (197) of the cases of with *female* survivors.

Of the sexual offenses reported to service providers, 82% (1,004) were committed by someone known to the survivor. Of those offenders *known to the survivor*, 37% (374) were *family members/relatives*.


Of the sexual offenses committed by *family members/relatives*, *fathers* were the highest group of reported offenders, committing 94 (25%) of all *family member* sexual offenses. *Uncles*, 67 (18%) and *step-fathers*, 40 (11%). *Brothers*, 34 (9%) and *cousins*, 35 (9%) represented the relatives next most likely to offend, followed by *current spouses*, 30 (8%), *other unspecified relatives*, 22 (6%) and *grandfathers*, 20 (5%). See **Figure 32**.

**Figure 32. Number of Known "Related" Offenders by Relationship Category, as Reported by Service Providers**



Of the 630 *non-family offenders known to the survivor*, friends 106 (17%), *new acquaintances* 76 (12%), *boyfriends* 71 (11%) and *social acquaintances* 64 (10%) comprised half (50%) of these offenders. *Therapists* comprised the next highest reported category of *known offenders*, committing 41 (7%) of *known offender sexual offenses*. See **Figure 33**.


**Figure 33. Number of Known "Non-Related" Offenders as Reported by Service Providers**




### 3. Number of Offenders Involved Per Sexual Assault

The *number of offenders* per sexual assault was documented in 1,425 of reported sexual offenses. Of the documented reports, 73% (1,039) involved *one* offender. The 27% of cases involving *multiple offenders* as reported by therapists, is higher than the 9.4% of *multiple-offender* sexual assaults reported in national victimization surveys (Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1994). Of the *multiple-offender* assaults reported, 7% (97) involved *two offenders*, 2% (28) involved *three offenders*, and 18% (261) involved *four-or-more offenders*.


An examination by *gender* found a greater proportion of *male* victimizations (79%) than *female* victimizations (72%) involved *one* offender. Similarly, slightly more *male* victimizations (3%) than *female* victimizations (2%) involved *three* offenders. Conversely, more *female* victimizations (19%) than *male* victimizations (14%) involved *four or more* offenders and more *female* victimizations (7%) than *male* victimizations (5%) involved *two* offenders. See **Figure 34**.



Survivors using alcohol/drugs were twice (33%) as likely to be victimized by *multiple* offenders as survivors not using alcohol/drugs (17%). There were 1,056 cases that documented both *survivor use of alcohol* and *number of offenders*. Of these, 390 survivors used alcohol and 666 did not. *One-offender* sexual assaults were significantly more common among *non-using alcohol/drug survivors* (83%), than alcohol/drug using survivors (67%). Conversely, *multiple-offender* sexual assaults were more prevalent among *alcohol/drug users* than non-alcohol/non-drug users when *two offenders* were involved (9% and 7%, respectively), *three offenders* (5% and 1%, respectively) and *four-or-more offenders* (19% and 9%, respectively). See **Figure 35**.




An examination of the *number of offenders* involved in an assault and the *ethnicity/race of the survivor* found that significantly more survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* were victimized by *one* offender (91%), while more *Black survivors* were victimized by *two* offenders (12.5%) and more *Native American survivors* were victimized by *four or more* offenders (19%). See **Figure 36**.




#### 4. Type of Coercion Used


Because more than one type of coercion was used with some survivors, there were 1,137 types of coercion reported in 981 sexual assaults that documented this variable. Of these, the *type of coercion* used most was *physical force* (38%), followed by *manipulation* (25%), and *verbal threat* (22%). *Weapons* accounted for 6% of the types of coercion used: *knives* (3%), *guns* (2%) and *other weapons* (1%). *Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator* accounted for 5% of the total types of coercion used and 4% of the types of coercion used were *other, unspecified means*. See **Figure 37**.



*Physical force* (41%) was used on *adult* victims (ages 18 and older) and *adolescent* victims (ages 13-17) (40%) more than any other type of coercion. *Manipulation* (39%) was used on *child* victims (ages 12 and under) more than any other type of coercion, followed by *verbal threat* (29%). *Intentional drugging of the victim by the perpetrator* was used equally and most often on *adolescents* (ages 13-17) and *adults* (6%, respectively). *Guns* (3%), *knives* (5%) and *other weapons* (3%) were used most on *adult* victims. See **Figure 38**.



When examined by gender, significantly more *females* (39%) experienced *physical force* than *males* (30%). Similarly, more *females* experienced *gun use* (2%), than *males* (0%) and more *females* were *intentionally drugged* (5%) than *males* (3%). *Males* were more likely to experience *manipulation* (35%) and *verbal threat* (24%) than *females* (23% and 22%, respectively). *Males* and *females* were equally likely to experience *knife use* (3%, respectively) and *other* unspecified types of coercion (4%, respectively). See **Figure 39**.




## 5. Use of Alcohol/Drugs

### a. Survivor

Of the 1,062 reports that documented *alcohol/drug use*, 37% (393) of survivors used alcohol or other drugs during the reported (current) *assault*. There were 377 of these cases that documented the survivor/offender relationship. Of these 377 cases involving *survivor alcohol/drug use*, 25% were committed by a *stranger*. Conversely, of 669 cases where the survivor did not use alcohol/drugs, there were 632 that documented the survivor/offender relationship. Of these, 14% were committed by a *stranger*. This suggests that *alcohol/drug use* presents a vulnerability to *stranger* rape: those who use alcohol/drugs are almost *two times* more likely to experience rape by a stranger than those who avoid alcohol/drugs.

There were 1,020 sexual assault cases where both *survivor alcohol/drug use* and *ethnicity/race* were documented. Of these, *Native American* survivors were most likely to use alcohol or other drugs at the time of their sexual assault, with 50% *Native American* survivors reporting *alcohol/drug use*. Over one-third (32%) of *Black* survivors used alcohol/drugs at the time of their sexual assault, followed by *Hispanic* survivors (34%), *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors (38%) and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (27%). Both *ethnicity/race* and *survivor alcohol/drug use* was documented in too few cases (4) with *Asian* survivors to allow for meaningful analysis. See **Figure 40**.




### b. Offender

*Use of alcohol or other drugs by offenders* was documented in only 474 of the 1,436 sexual offense reports. Of these, 71% (335) of offenders *used alcohol or other drugs* during the reported (current) assault.

**6. Location and Time of Sexual Offenses**

a. Physical Location

Of the 935 reports from therapists that documented *location of the sexual assault*, 38% (282) were committed in the *survivor's home*. This is higher than the reported 34% of sexual assaults committed in the survivor's home as reported in national victimization surveys (Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1994). The *offender's home* represented the location of the second highest category of reported offenses, 24% (179) followed by a *residence other than the survivor or offender's home* 11% (81). Four percent (31) of the assaults occurred in a *vehicle* and 3% (23) in *multiple locations*. See **Figure 41**.



b. Geographic Location

The geographic location of the sexual assault as reported by therapists was documented in 1,084 cases. This includes 1,065 cases from 36 states, and 19 cases from 7 countries outside of the United States. Of all the cases reported, 86% (914) took place in *New Mexico* and another 10% (151) in surrounding states. Those states with the most sexual assaults for which the victims sought services in New Mexico include: *Texas* (28), *Arizona* (15), *California* (27) and *Colorado*




(17). See **Table 8**. Victims from the following countries outside the United States sought help in New Mexico: *Mexico* (13) and one each from *Africa, France, Japan, Poland, Portugal and Guam*.

There were 801 survivor reports from New Mexico that documented the county in which the sexual assault occurred. As expected, the greatest proportion of sexual assaults occurred in *Bernalillo County* (42%), followed by *Dona Ana County* (15%) and *San Juan County* (13%). See **Table 9**.

c. Year of Current (Presenting) Sexual Assault Incidents


There were 1,338 reports from service providers that documented the year that the sexual assault incident occurred. Almost two-thirds (62%) of those who sought therapeutic services in 2006 for a sexual assault actually experienced the assault in 2006. Those seeking services in 2006 that experienced the assault from 2000-2005 comprised 13% of victim reports. An examination of the data by date of the presenting assault by decade, shows that 8% occurred in the 1990's, 7% in the 1980's, 5% in the 1970's, 3% in the 1960's, 1% in the 1950's, 0% in the 1940's and <1 in the 1930's. See **Figure 42**.



7. Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault

*Domestic violence history among survivors* was documented in 732 of the sexual offense reports. Of the cases where *domestic violence among survivors* was documented, 47% (344) of survivors reported a *history of domestic violence*.

There were 714 reports that documented both *survivor ethnicity/race* and *survivor history of domestic violence*. When examined by *survivor ethnicity/race*, 50% respectively, of *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors (163), and *Native American* survivors (34) reported a history of domestic violence, followed by 45% (121) of *Hispanic* survivors, 42% (8) of *Black* survivors and 32% of survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity*. See **Figure 43**.



*Domestic violence history among offenders* was understandably reported in a fewer number of cases, since many survivors of assault do not know this information about their offender. However, of the 131 cases where survivors knew and reported the *domestic violence history of the offender*, 71% (93) of offenders had a *history of domestic violence*.

Of the 131 cases where the domestic violence history of the *offender* was known, there were 125 cases that also documented the *survivor's* history of domestic violence. Of 48 survivors with *no history* of domestic violence, 50% (24) were offended by someone *with a history* of domestic violence. Conversely, of 77 survivors *with a history* of domestic violence, 87% (67) were offended by someone *with a history* of domestic violence. This suggests that a survivor *with a history* of domestic violence is significantly more likely to be offended by someone *with a history* of domestic violence than a survivor who does not have a history of domestic violence.

## 8. Sexually Transmitted Disease, Pregnancy, and Sexual Assault

### a. Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD's)

Of the 478 cases where *contraction of a sexually transmitted disease* was documented, 19 (4%) of the survivors contracted a sexually transmitted disease during the reported (current) sexual assault.

There is a significant correlation between *survivor use of alcohol* and the likelihood of *contracting a sexually transmitted disease*. Those survivors who *used alcohol/drugs* were *six times* more likely to contract an STD than those who did not use alcohol/drugs. Of the total reported sexual assaults that documented whether *alcohol/drugs* were used and whether there was *the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease*, 12% or (10 of 74) of those survivors who did use alcohol contracted an STD, compared to 2% or (6 of 358 ) among survivors who did not use alcohol.


b. Pregnancy

There were 737 cases that documented whether or not a pregnancy resulted from the presenting sexual assault incident. Of the 737 cases, 27 (4%) resulted in a pregnancy.

9. Reported Sexual Assault

Of the 1,436 sexual assault offenses, there were 1,274 which documented whether the assault was reported to a professional agency. Of these, 255 (20%) were *not reported*. Of the 1,019 that were reported, 81% (824) were reported by the *survivor*, 1% (8) by the *therapist*, and 18% (186) by *others* not specified.


There were 1,519 reports made on 886 sexual assaults, as each assault may have been reported to more than one type of agency. Of the 1,519 reports made, 518 (34%) were reported to *law enforcement*, 477 (31%) were reported to a *rape crisis center*, 320 (21%) to an *ER or SANE*, 131 (9%) to a *social service agency*, and 73 (5%) to *other agencies* not specified. See **Figure 44**.



The 34% of reports made to *law enforcement* mirrors the 33% reported nationally (Bureau of Justice Statistics, 1997). However, this data may be skewed as those survivors who seek treatment for sexual assault may be more likely to report than those survivors who do not seek treatment.


More *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors (36%), *Hispanic* survivors (34%), *Native American survivors* (39%) and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (35%) reported their sexual assaults to *law enforcement* than to other agencies. Conversely, more *Black* survivors (38%) reported to an *emergency department or SANE* than to law enforcement (31%). However, there were few (16) *Black* survivors so these findings should be viewed with caution. A greater proportion of *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors (25%) and *Hispanic* survivors (31%) were more likely to report to a *rape crisis center* than to either an *emergency department* or to *social services*. Conversely, more *Native American* survivors (26%), *Black* survivors (38%) and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* were more likely to report to an *emergency department* than to a *rape crisis center* or to *social services*. See **Figure 45**.

**Figure 45. Sexual Assault Reporting: Agency Notified by Survivor Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers**



There were 1,138 cases that documented both, whether a report was made and the ethnicity/race of the survivor. White (non-Hispanic) survivors had the greatest percentage of unreported sexual assaults (27%), followed closely by survivors of mixed race/ethnicity (22%), and Hispanic and Black survivors (19%, respectively). Native American survivors had the fewest unreported sexual assaults (10%). See Figure 46.


**Figure 46. Percent of Sexual Assaults Not Reported, by Ethnicity/Race of Survivor, as Reported by Service Providers**



## 10. Medical Treatment Sought

There were 1,041 sexual assault offenses that documented whether medical treatment was sought by the survivor. Of these, 53% (555) *sought medical treatment*. There were 1,028 reports that documented *medical treatment sought and survivor gender*. One-third (56%) of *female* sexual assault survivors and 33% of *male* sexual assault survivors *sought medical treatment*.

An examination of medical treatment sought by *survivor age* revealed that *adult survivors* (ages 18 and older) were most likely to seek medical treatment (71%), followed by *adolescent survivors* (50%), *child survivors 0-5 years* (43%), and *child survivors ages 6-12 years* (20%). See **Figure 47**.




An examination of *medical treatment sought by survivor ethnicity*, revealed that 68% of *Native American* survivors sought medical treatment. This represents the ethnic group/race with the greatest proportion of survivors seeking medical treatment. Over half of *Black* survivors (58%) and *Hispanic* survivors (54%) sought medical treatment. Slightly less than half of *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors (47%) and survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (42.5%) sought medical treatment. See **Figure 48**.

## 11. Rape Kit Evidence Collection


There were 815 service provider reports that documented whether *rape kit evidence was collected* (within 5 days of the assault). Of these, 34% (278) reported rape kit evidence collection. When examined by *gender*, significantly more *female survivors* (37%) obtained rape kit evidence collection, than *male survivors* (18%)

An examination of *rape kit evidence collection* (within 5 days of the assault) by *survivor ethnicity/race* revealed that *Native American* survivors (55%) were significantly more likely to have rape kit evidence collected, than survivors of any other race/ethnic group: survivors of *mixed race/ethnicity* (39%), *Hispanic* survivors (32%), *White (non-Hispanic)* survivors (30%) and *Black* survivors (29%). However, with so few *Black* survivors (14) this finding on *Black* survivors seeking rape kit evidence collection should be viewed with caution. See **Figure 49**.


**Figure 48. Percent Seeking Medical Treatment by Survivor Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers**



**Figure 49. Percent Rape Kit Evidence Collection by Survivor Ethnicity/Race, as Reported by Service Providers**



An analysis was conducted to compare *rape kit evidence collection* among male and female *rape victims* by *gender* and *age*. Significantly more male (37.5%) and female (51%) *adult* rape victims (age 18 and older) obtained rape kit evidence collection than male and female rape victims of other age groups. Similarly, a greater proportion of *female adolescent* survivors (40%) obtained rape kit evidence collection than *male adolescent* survivors (20%). See **Figure 50**.



## IV. SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINER (SANE) PROGRAMS

### A. OVERVIEW OF SEXUAL ASSAULT NURSE EXAMINERS (SANE)

The purpose of a SANE program is to provide medical treatment to sexual assault victims of all ages and genders. The value of a SANE program is the use of advanced trained nurses who provide prompt, professional medical treatment and care in a private setting, objectively document injuries using special equipment, ensure that evidence is collected properly and backed by chain of custody, and provide quality testimony through legal proceedings – all at no cost to the victim.

All New Mexico SANE Programs use the New Mexico Sexual Assault Evidence Kit (SAEK) within five (5) days of an assault. Overarching principles of SANE include patient confidentiality and informed consent. SANE services are presented as options so that the patient has control over what happens. For example, services offered by SANE programs may include comfort care, medications to prevent sexually transmitted diseases, emergency contraception, evidence collection, documentation and photography of injuries, and referrals for aftermath care. One distinct advantage of the SANE response is its physical environment. SANE units offer a safe, private and quiet environment where the sexual assault victim can influence the pace of the exam and has the time to have services presented as options, both of which are effective tools in re-powering the patient.

One key component of any SANE exam is collaboration with co-responding partners. A coordinated or multi-disciplinary team approach recognizes the dual purpose of the sexual assault exam to address the patient needs and the justice system needs. In New Mexico, every SANE unit actively coordinates with law enforcement, district attorney offices, crime lab, and crisis services/advocacy. See **Appendix H** for a list of statewide SANE Programs.

The standardized individualized data collection form used by SANE Programs is found in **Appendix I**. The data analyzed for this report covers the 12-month period 1/1/06 to 12/31/06.

### B. SANE PROGRAM FINDINGS

There were 972 patients served by SANE Programs in fiscal year 2006. As expected, most (38% or 373) of all SANE patients were served by the *Albuquerque SANE Collaborative*, followed by the *Santa Fe St. Vincent SANE Program* 24% (230). The number of patients served by each SANE Program is found in **Table 10**.


#### 1. Patient Gender

Of all the patients served by SANE Programs in fiscal year 2006, 90.5% (877) were females.

#### 2. Patient Age


There were 972 records documenting *patient age*. Most (53%) patients served were *adults* (ages 18 and older). *Adolescents* (ages 13-17) comprised 24% of all patients served. *Children* (ages 12 and under) comprised 23% of all patients served. When examined by *gender*, most (55%) *male* SANE patients were *children*, while most *female* SANE patients (55%) were *adults*. See **Figure 51**.






### 3. Patient Ethnicity/Race

Of 965 records documenting *patient ethnicity/race*, 46% were *Hispanic*, 32% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 13% *Native American*, 2.5% *Black*, 4.5% *mixed ethnicity/race*, and 1% *Other*. *Whites (non-Hispanics)* are represented significantly more in the New Mexico population than among patients seen at SANE Programs. See **Figure 52**.




When ethnicity/race was examined by age of the SANE patient, most (49%, respectively) *Hispanic* patients and patients of *mixed ethnicity/race* were children (12 and under), while most

Black patients (62%), White (non-Hispanic) patients (57%) and Native American patients (56%) were adults (age 18 and older). See Figure 53.



#### 4. Patient Disability

Patient disability was known/documentated in 951 SANE reports. Of these, 21% (199) had a disability. An equal proportion of male and female SANE patients (21%, respectively) had a disability. *Adult* patients (18 and older) comprised the highest proportion of those with a disability (75%) compared to *adolescents* (ages 13-17, 18%) and *children* (12 and under, 7%). Among adolescents with a disability, there were twice as many *males* (32%) than *females* (16%). Of adults with a disability, there were significantly more *females* (77%) than *males* (63%). See Figure 54.



Of the 199 patients with a disability, 66% (132) had a *mental/cognitive* disability, 20% (39) an unspecified *physical* disability, 26% (51) a *visual* disability, 9% (17) a *hearing* disability and 4% (8) reported *other* unspecified impairment. Percents exceed 100 as some patients (48) had more than one disability.


**5. Offender Gender and Age**

Of 859 individual reports where *gender of the offender* was documented, there was a *male* offender in 839 (98%). The *age of the offender* was documented in 730 of the individual reports submitted. Of these, 85% were *adults* (ages 18 and older), 12% were *adolescents* (ages 13-17) and 3% were *children* (12 and under).


**C. OFFENSE CHARACTERISTICS**

**1. Victim/Offender Relationship**

Of 226 SANE *child* patients (ages 12 and under), the victim/offender relationship was documented in 182. Two-thirds (65% or 119) were victimized by a *family member*, 20% (36) by an *acquaintance*, and 5% (9) by a stranger. Ten-percent (18) of the cases involving children were committed by *others unspecified*. See **Figure 55**.



By contrast, SANE patients *over age 12* were significantly more likely to be victimized by an *acquaintance* or a *stranger*, than by a *family member*. *Family* offenders comprised 11% of SANE adolescent (ages 13-17) patients and 3% of SANE adult (18 and older) patients. *Stranger* offenders comprised 20% of adolescent SANE patients and 33% of adult SANE patients. *Acquaintance* offenders comprised 50% of adolescent SANE patients and 41% of adult SANE patients. See **Figure 56**.



## 2. Number of Offenders

Of 825 reports that documented the number of offenders per sexual assault, 715 (87%) sexual assaults were perpetrated by *one* offender, 78 (9%) by *two* offenders, 18 (2%) by *three* offenders, and 14 (2%) were perpetrated by *four or more* offenders.

## 3. Type of Coercion


The *type of coercion* used was examined by victim age for 632 patients. In 73 cases involving *child* SANE patients (ages 12 and under), most (45%) were coerced by *physical intimidation*, followed by *physical force* (38%), and *verbal threat* (20%). See **Figure 57**.

In 158 cases involving *adolescents* (ages 13-17), most (72%) were coerced by *physical force*, followed by *physical intimidation* (43%), *verbal threat* (26%) and *alcohol/drugs* (20%). Refer to Figure 57.


In 401 cases involving *adult* patients (18 and older) most (81%) were coerced by *physical force*, followed by *physical intimidation* (46%), *verbal threat* (27%) and *alcohol/drugs* (14%). Refer to Figure 57.

Weapons of all types were used most on *adult* SANE patients (18 and older): *knives* (8%), *firearms* (5%) and *other unspecified weapons* (4%); and used least on *children* (ages 12 and under): *knives* (0%), *firearms* (1%) and *other unspecified weapons* (1%). See **Figure 58**.

**Figure 57. Use of Physical Force, Physical Intimidation, Verbal Threat and Manipulation on SANE Patients, by Age**




**Figure 58. Use of Knives, Firearms, Other Weapons and Other Unspecified Coercion on SANE Patients, by Age**




The type of coercion used on *adolescent* and *adult* SANE patients was examined by *offender relationship to the victim*, either *family*, *other known offender* or *stranger*. Twelve percent of offenses committed by *strangers* involved a knife, compared to 3% of those committed by *family* and 6% of those committed by *other known offenders*. Ten percent of *family* offenders used *firearms* during their offenses. *Strangers* used *firearms* in 9% of cases, while *other known offenders* used *firearms* in 3% of cases. More *strangers* used physical force (88%) compared to *family* offenders (76%) and *other known offenders* (82%). Conversely, significantly more sexual offenses committed by a *family member* involved *physical intimidation* (65%) compared to 50%

of those committed by a *stranger* and 46% committed by *other known offenders*. Interestingly, *other known* offenders used *alcohol/drugs* in their sexual offenses at twice the rate (19%) as *strangers* and *family members* (10%, respectively). See **Figure 59**.



#### 4. Location of Sexual Offenses

Almost half (44%) of SANE *child* patients (12 and under) were victimized in the *victim's home*. Over one-third (37%) were victimized in the *offender's home* and another 9% in some *other residence* (babysitter's, foster parent's or friend's). An equal proportion were victimized in a *public building, at school and outdoors* (3%, respectively) and 1% respectively, were victimized in a *motel/hotel, vehicle* and at *daycare*. See **Figure 60**.




Most *adolescent* (age 13-17) SANE patients were victimized in a residence: *own home* (16%), *offender's home* (32%) or *other residence* (19%). An equal proportion of adolescents were victimized in a *vehicle* and *other unspecified* locations (11% respectively). Similarly, an equal proportion were victimized at *school* and a *hotel/motel* (3% respectively). Refer to Figure 60.

Almost two-thirds of *adult* (18 and older) SANE patients were victimized in a residence: *own home* (29%), *offender's home* (23%) or *other residence* (10%) Another 11% were victimized

in a *vehicle*. Slightly more were victimized outdoors (7%) than in a *hotel/motel* (4%) and 9% were victimized at *other* unspecified locations. Refer to Figure 60.


## 5. Patient Injury

Victim injury was observed in 62% of SANE patients. When examined by *age*, victim injury occurred in 71% of *adult* (18 and older) SANE patients, 69% of *adolescent* (ages 13-17) SANE patients, and 35% of SANE *child* patients (ages 12 and under). *Vaginal* injuries (60% and 65%, respectively) were the type of injuries most observed by SANE practitioners for children (age 12 and under) and adolescent victims, and second most often observed (58%) in adult victims, exceeded among adults only by injuries to *body extremities* (66%). See **Figure 61**. *Rectal* injuries comprised 34% of the child patient injuries, 15% of *adult* victim injuries and 11% of *adolescent* victim injuries. *Head and neck injuries* comprised 52% of adult injuries, 44% of injuries to *adolescent* and 10% of injuries to *child* victims. Similarly, *body torso* injuries comprised 54% of adult victim injuries, 43% of adolescent victim injuries and 15% of child victim injuries. Injury from *strangulation* comprised five times as much *adult* victim injuries (11%), than *adolescent* and *child* victim injuries (2%, respectively). Refer to Figure 61.




When *adolescent* and *adult* victim injury was examined by *victim/offender relationship*, sexual offenses committed by *strangers* involved the highest rate of victim injury (78%), followed equally by sexual offenses committed by *known non-family offenders* and *family members* (67%, respectively). The proportion of sexual offenses involving *vaginal* injury were similar among *strangers* (61%), *other known non-family offenders* (60%) and *family offenders* (59%). See **Figure 62**. Injury to *extremities* were experienced at twice the rate with *stranger* offenders (66%) and *known non-family* offenders (60%) as *family* offenders (30%). *Head injuries* were experienced significantly more in *stranger-perpetrated* victimizations (60%), than in *known non-family-perpetrated* victimizations (46%) and *family-perpetrated* victimizations (33%). Refer to Figure 62.

**Figure 62. Comparison of Victim Injuries, by Victim Offender Relationship**



Of 110 SANE patients who were victimized by *multiple* offenders, 73% were injured compared to 62% of the 442 SANE patients that were victimized by *one* offender. The proportion of patients with any specified injury was greater among those victimized by *multiple* offenders compared to those victimized by *one* offender. The proportion of SANE patients with *head and neck* injuries (61%), *torso* injuries (60%) and injuries of the *extremities* (69%) that were victimized by *multiple* offenders was significantly greater than the proportion of SANE patients with these injuries that were victimized by *one* offender: *head and neck* (43%); *torso* (45%) and *extremities* (52%). The proportion of patients with *strangulation* injuries victimized by *multiple* offenders (49%) is 49 times the proportion of those with *strangulation* injuries victimized by *one* offender (1%). See **Figure 63**.


**Figure 63. Comparison of Victim Injuries by Number of Offenders**





## 6. Time (Month) of Sexual Offenses

An examination of sexual assault by *month of occurrence*, found that an equal proportion of sexual assaults occurred in *May, June and August* (10%, respectively), followed closely by *January, April, July and September* (9%, respectively). *November* was the month with the fewest reported sexual assaults (5%), followed closely by *October* (6%). See **Figure 64**.



## D. SANE PROGRAMS SERVICE CHARACTERISTICS


### 1. Referral Source

Referral sources were documented for 962 SANE patients. Most referrals for victims of all ages came from *law enforcement: adults* (18 and older) 43%, *adolescents* (13-17) 49% and *children* (58%). *Hospitals* comprised the second most referrals for victims of all ages: *adults* (35%), *adolescents* (29%) and *children* (16%). Referral to SANE by a *relative* was significantly more likely for children (8%) and *adolescents* (7%) than for *adult* victims (1%). Conversely, five times more *adult* victims (11%) and *adolescent* victims (11%) were referred to SANE by *emergency medical services* (EMS) than *child* victims (2%). See **Table 11**.

### 2. Evidence Collection


A greater proportion of SANE *adolescent* patients (age 13-17) received *no evidence collection* (12%), than *adult* (18 and older) patients (9%) and *child* (12 and under) patients (6%). Slightly more than twice as many *adult* patients (95%) and almost twice as many *adolescent* patients (87%) than *child* patients (46%), completed the *sexual assault evidence kit*. See **Figure 65**. Similarly, while most *adult* patients (63%) and *adolescent* patients (57%) had *clothes collected* for forensic evidence, only 26% of *child* patients had their clothes collected. A great proportion of SANE patients of all ages had *photos taken* as a part of evidence collection: 83% of *adults*, 83% of *adolescents* and 95% of *children*. Very few SANE patients of any age had *blood collected*: 7% *adults*, 5% *adolescents* and 2% *child* patients. *Adult* patients (18%) and *adolescent*

patients (19%) were nine times more likely to have urine evidence collected than child patients (2%). Refer to Figure 65.



### 3. Assessment Services

While all *adult* SANE patients (ages 18 and older) received *assessment services*, 3% of *child* patients (ages 12 and under) and 1% of *adolescent* patients did *not* receive assessment services. *Treatment of sexually transmitted diseases* was the service conducted most on *adult* patients (87%) and *adolescent* patients (82%), while *physical assessment/medical exam* was the service most conducted on *child* patients (94%). See **Figure 66**. *Psychological/suicide assessment* was conducted on a small proportion of SANE patients of all ages: 7% *adults*, 8% *adolescents* and <1% *children*. Significantly more *adolescent* patients received *pregnancy prevention/emergency contraception services* (70%) than *adult* patients (61%), and 8% of *child* patients received this service, as well. Refer to Figure 66.




#### 4. Reports to Law Enforcement

Of 972 SANE patients, 962 (99%) cases were reported to police at the time of the SANE exams.

#### 5. SANE Referrals to Other Services

Sometimes during and after SANE services are provided, the patient is also referred to other services for assistance beyond the scope of SANE Programs. There were 956 patients who received referrals to other services. Overall, almost three-quarters (72%) were referred to *rape crisis centers*. Slightly over one-third (36%) were referred to *law enforcement*, and slightly over one-quarter (28%) were referred to *community mental health centers*. Forty-one percent of patients were referred to *other services*, such as health services (primary healthcare providers, specialty healthcare providers, medical clinics, SANE follow-ups), CYFD and advocacy services (domestic violence advocates, therapists, forensic interviewers).

When examined by *patient age*, significantly more *child* patients (ages 12 and under) were referred to *law enforcement* (72%), than *adolescent* (ages 13-17) patients (49%) or *adult* (18 and older) patients (23%). Similarly, significantly more *child* patients were referred to *community mental health centers* (35%), than *adolescent* (27%) or *adult* (25%) patients. While patients of all ages were referred to *rape crisis centers*, *adult* patients (82%) and *adolescent* patients (79%) were referred approximately two times more often than *child* patients (41%), and 7% of *child* patients were referred to CYFD. See **Figure 67**.



#### 6. Patient County of Residence

The patient's county of residence was documented in 961 of 972 SANE patients. As expected, most SANE patients (31%) reside in *Bernalillo County*, followed by *Santa Fe* (11%) *San Juan* (10%) and *Dona Ana* (8%) counties. See **Table 12**.

## SECTION TWO: SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT ON SEXUAL ABUSE OF CHILDREN AND YOUTH IN NEW MEXICO

### I. INTRODUCTION

Since 2001, the annual Sex Crimes...report has documented rape and other types of sexual assault in New Mexico. Each year, children comprised an average of 41% of the victims of all sexual assault reported by statewide law enforcement agencies and half (51%) of sexual assault victims that seek therapeutic services throughout the state were victimized as children.

While national survey data reports findings on the rape and attempted rape of children, *non-penetration* sex crimes are often ignored. The true incidence and prevalence of these sex crimes are not known. Yet, in New Mexico, of 2,977 sex crimes identified by law enforcement in 2006, 1,640 (55%) were *non-penetration* sex crimes and a minimum of two-thirds were perpetrated against children, ages 12 and under: 806 (49%) *criminal sexual contact of a minor*; 236 (14%) *child enticement*; and 48 (3%) *sexual exploitation of children*. Additionally, while *victim age* was not captured in cases of *indecent exposure*, one can be sure that most of the reported 250 cases of this crime had a child victim.

Non-penetration sex crimes, as crimes against children, are an increasing area of concern and warrant future examination statewide and nationally, to identify the scope and character of these victimizations and the law enforcement and judicial responses to these crimes.

This report focuses on rape among children and adolescents in New Mexico. It is intended to offer the reader a picture of the experience of rape among the most innocent of victims.

In 2006, 1,404 victims of rape were identified by statewide law enforcement agencies. The age was documented for 829 victims. Of these, 40% (332) were children (ages 12 and under). Another 26% (217) were adolescents (13-18).

In 2005, the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV) found that 24% of adults (18 and older) have been the victims of rape or attempted rape at least once in their lifetime. When these respondents reported their age at the time of the sexual victimization, 44% were *children*, ages 12 and under and 23% were *adolescents* (ages 13-18).

Where age categories for children (ages <12) and adolescents (ages 12-17) are adjusted to enable comparison to national findings, rape of *female children* and *adolescents* in New Mexico (66%) among female rape victims of all ages is significantly greater in proportion than the same found nationally in findings from the National Violence Against Women Study (54%). Unfortunately, rape of *male children* and *adolescents* in New Mexico (68%) among male rape victims of all ages cannot be compared to national data, as data on males in the national sample was insufficient. Nevertheless, the rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico is disproportionate among rape victims and must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts.

## II. FINDINGS ON RAPE OF CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS FROM THE SURVEY OF VIOLENCE VICTIMIZATION IN NEW MEXICO

### A. Prevalence and Victim Gender

Of the 640 respondents in the SVV that reported being raped in their lifetimes, the age of the respondent at the onset of the sexual victimization was captured in 405 cases. Of these, 44% were children ages 12 and under and 24% were adolescents (13-18). Almost three-quarters (74%) of *child* rape victims were female and 93% of *adolescent* rape victims were female.

### B. Single Event Rape Incidents or Serial Abuse

Survey respondents were asked if their rape was a single incident or a series of incidents where the same person did the same things over a period of days, weeks, or months. One third (33%) of the rape victims reported that their assaults were a series of events.

When examined by age of the respondent at the time of victimization, *serial abuse* was significantly more prevalent among children: 72% of those sexually abused as *children* were victims of ongoing sexual abuse, compared to 29% of *adolescents* and 36% of *adults*. When examined by gender, 91% (18 of 20) of *males* raped as children, were victims of serial or ongoing abuse, compared to 67% (47 of 71) of *female* child rape victims. (Similarly, more *female adult* rape victims (37%) experienced ongoing sexual abuse than *male adult* rape victims (31%); and one-third (32%) of *females* raped as *adolescents* were victims of *serial or ongoing abuse*, compared to 1% of *male* adolescent victims.

### C. Those Who Sexually Victimize Children and Adolescents

#### 1. Number of Offenders

Most *child* (93%) and *adolescent* (91%) victims of rape are victimized by one person, rather than a gang or group of people, and most (95%, respectively) are victimized by a *male* perpetrator. An adult is the perpetrator in 73% of *child* rapes and 66% of *adolescent* rapes.

#### 2. Victim/Offender Relationship

Most (63%) *child* victims of rape were victimized by a *relative* (55%) or *someone else in the household* (8%). Another one-third (34%) were victimized by *someone else that was known to them*. Only 3% of *child* rape victims were victimized by a *stranger*. See **Figure 68**.


Almost half (49%) of *adolescent* rape victims were victimized by a *known acquaintance* (someone other than a household member, relative or intimate partner) and nearly one-third were victimized by a *relative* (24%) or *someone else in their household* (6%). An equal proportion of adolescent rape victims were victimized by an *intimate partner* as by a *stranger*, 10% respectively. Refer to Figure 68.

## D. Characteristics of Child and Adolescent Rape Cases

### 1. Alcohol/Drug Use

Twenty-two percent of child rape cases and 40% of adolescent rape cases involve offender use of alcohol or drugs or both. For offenders of child rape victims, alcohol is the preferred intoxicant (15%) and both alcohol and drugs were used in an additional 5% of cases. For offenders of adolescent rape victims, alcohol alone (21%) is used only slightly more often than both alcohol and drugs (18.5%).

Alcohol and/or drug use among child and adolescent rape victims differ significantly. While 18% of adolescent rape victims used alcohol alone (16%) or alcohol and other drugs (2%) only 2% of child rape victims used alcohol and none reported using any other drug.




### 2. Physical Assault, Weapon Use and Threat of Harm

Two-thirds (67%) of adolescent rapes and 40% of child rapes involved physical assault in addition to the sexual assault. Most adolescent rape victims (57.5%) and child rape victims (27.5%) were grabbed and pushed; slapped or hit (23% and 16%, respectively); had their hair pulled (19% and 8%, respectively); were beat up (15% and 7%, respectively); or were choked or victims of an attempted drowning (12% and 4%, respectively). Additionally, 8% of adolescent rape victims were threatened with a gun and 11% were threatened with a knife or other weapon. One percent of adolescents had a gun used on them and six percent had a knife or other weapon used on them.

Although a lesser rate than that found in adolescent rape cases, child rape cases involve the threat and/or use of weapons, as well. Four percent of child rape victims were threatened with a gun and one percent had a gun used on them. Four percent of child rape victims were threatened with a knife or other weapon and three percent had that weapon used on them. See **Figure 69**.

Child (39.5%) and adolescent (38%) rape victims were similarly threatened by the offender that they or someone close to them would be harmed or killed. However, significantly

more *child* rape victims (48%) than *adolescent* rape victims (37%), *believed* that they or someone close to them would be harmed or killed by the offender.



### 3. Injury and Medical Treatment

Almost one-quarter of *child* rape victims (22%) and *adolescent* rape victims (23%) reported being *physically injured* during their sexual assault incidents. Four percent of *child* rape victims and six percent of *adolescent* rape victims reported *receiving medical care* for injuries they sustained during the incident.

### 4. Reports to Law Enforcement and Criminal Charges

Very few *child* rape victims (9% or 7) and *adolescent* rape victims (15.5% or 14) reported their victimizations to law enforcement. Most child rapes were reported to law enforcement by the child’s *family* or *other relatives* (53%), followed by the *child victim* (46%). Conversely, most *adolescent* rapes were reported to law enforcement by the *adolescent victim* (44%), followed closely by the adolescent’s *family* or *intimate partner* (41%). *Adolescent* rapes were also reported by a *friend* or *neighbor* in 14% of cases. Since the number of child rape victims and adolescent rape victims in the survey sample that reported to law enforcement were so few, these findings regarding who reports to law enforcement should be viewed with caution.


In 5.5% of *child* rape cases and 7% of *adolescent* rape cases the victim *obtained a restraining order*. In 9% of *child* rape cases and 8% of *adolescent* rape cases *criminal charges were filed*. There were too few responses regarding case dispositions and sentencing outcomes of child and adolescent rape cases to allow for meaningful analysis of these variables.

### III. WHAT STATEWIDE SERVICE PROVIDERS TELL US ABOUT CHILD RAPE

#### A. Victim and Offender Demographics

In 2006, 1,436 victims of various types of sexual crimes went to a service provider seeking services. Of these, 810 (56%) were victims of *criminal sexual penetration* (rape). The gender and age for 743 of these victims were documented. There were 195 (26%) *child* rape victims (ages 12 and under). Of these, 82% (160) were *female* children.

When child rape victims seeking services were examined by *race/ethnicity*, most *male* (56%) and *female* (59%) child rape victims were *Hispanic*, followed by *White (non-Hispanic)* child rape victims (41% and 45%, respectively). No *Native American* or *Black male* child rape victims sought services. *Native American female* child rape victims represented 10% of female child rape victims and *Black female* child rape victims represented 1% of female child rape victims. Child rape victims of *mixed race/ethnicity* comprised 4% of *female* child rape victims and 3% of *male* child rape victims. See **Figure 70**.




There were 193 offenders documented for the 195 child rape victims. Of these, 187 (97%) were male offenders.

The *race/ethnicity* was documented for 139 child rape offenders. Of these 47% (66) were *White (non-Hispanic)*, 41% (57) *Hispanic*, 6% (8) *Native American*, 1% (2) *Black* and 4% (6) offenders of *mixed race/ethnicity*. There were significant differences among the proportion of child rape offenders of each race/ethnicity when examined by the gender of the victim. Half (52%) of *female* child rape victims were victimized by a *White (non-Hispanic)* offender, while only 30% of *male* child rape victims were victimized by a *White (non-Hispanic)* offender. Conversely, 59% of *male* child rape victims were victimized by a *Hispanic* offender, while only 37% of *female* child rape victims were victimized by a *Hispanic* offender. See **Figure 71**.



**Figure 71. Race/Ethnicity of Child (Age <13) Rapists by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers**




Most child rape victims (86%) were raped by someone of the *same race/ethnicity*: *male* child rape victims (84%) and *female* child rape victims (88%). When examined by the *race/ethnicity* of the child rape victim, 88% of *White (non-Hispanic)* child rape victims were raped by someone of the same race/ethnicity and 86% of *Hispanic* child rape victims were raped by someone of the same race/ethnicity. The number of *Native American* child rape victim reports (11), *Black* child rape victim reports (2) and child rape victim reports of *mixed race/ethnicity* that captured victim age, gender, race/ethnicity and offender race/ethnicity (3) were too few to allow for meaningful analysis.

**B. Characteristics of Child Rape Cases**

**1. Number of Offenders Per Sexual Victimization**

Most (81%) child rape cases as reported by service providers, involved *one* offender. An equal proportion of child rape cases reported *two* and *four or more* offenders with 9%, respectively. Only 1% of child rape cases involved *three* offenders. When examined by victim gender, more *male* child rape victims (88.5%), than *female* child rape victims (80%) had *one* offender, while more *female* child rape victims (10%), than *male* child rape victims (3%) had *four or more* offenders. See **Figure 72**.

**Figure 72. Number of Offenders in Child (Age <13) Rape Cases by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers**



When the number of offenders per child rape victimization was examined by the victim's race/ethnicity, all *White (non-Hispanic)* victims (47), *Black* victims (1) and victims of *mixed* race/ethnicity (15) had *one* offender, while 3 of 14 *Native American* child rape victims and 4 of 83 *Hispanic* child rape victims had more than one (2) offender.

## 2. Victim Disability, Contraction of Sexually Transmitted Disease and Pregnancy

Of 158 service provider cases that documented disability among child rape victims, 17% (29) had a mental/emotional or physical disability prior to their sexual victimization.

*Sexually transmitted diseases* were reported in 2% of *female* child rape cases and none of the *male* child rape cases, as reported by service providers.

Of 137 female child rape victims, 1 (1%) became pregnant as a result of the victimization. There were 33 female victims of child rape that reported whether or not they had a pregnancy before the age of 18 that was unrelated to their childhood rape: 18% (6) became pregnant before the age of 18.

## 3. Victim/Offender Relationship

Most offenders (69.5%) are a *relative* of the child rape victim. When examined by gender, 72% of *female* child rape victims and 67% of *male* child rape victims were a relative of the offender. Only 3% of male and female child rape victims were raped by a *stranger*. One-quarter (25%) of *female* child rape victims and 29% of *male* child rape victims were victimized by a *known non-relative*.

Most rapists of children were *fathers* (22%), followed by *uncles* (12%) and *step-fathers* (9%). *Brothers* comprised 7% of child rape offenders. *Cousins* and *grandfathers* were responsible for an equal proportion (6%, respectively) of the rapes of children. *Mom's boyfriend* and *social acquaintances* comprised 4% respectively, of the offenders in child rape cases. *Step-brothers*, *friends*, and *other relatives* comprised 3% respectively, of all rapes of children .

When examined by gender, more *female* children (10%) than *male* children (3%) were raped by *step-fathers*, and more *female* children (9%), than *male* children (0%) were raped by *brothers*. See **Figure 73**.


## 4. Types of Coercion and Location of Child Rapes

Most child rape victims were coerced by *manipulation* (females 38%; males 36%), *physical force* (females 30%; males 32%) and *verbal threat* (females 29%; males 30%). A *gun*, *knife* or *other weapons* were used in 1% respectively, of the child rape cases, all against female children. See **Figure 74**.

Most *female* child rape victims (56%) were victimized in their *own home*, while most *male* child rape victims (42%) were victimized in the *offender's home*. Significantly more *males* (15%), than *females* (3%) were victimized in *multiple locations*. See **Figure 75**.

*Offender use of alcohol/drugs* was documented in only 54 cases of child rape. Of these, 28 (52%) involved offenders use of alcohol/drugs. This rate based on so few cases, is not consistent with that found in the SVV (22%) and should be viewed with caution. *Victim alcohol/drugs use* was involved in 2% of child rape cases, as reported by service providers.



**Figure 73. Offender Relationship in Child (Age <13) Rape Cases by Victim Gender, as Reported by Service Providers**



When examined by victim gender, more *male* child rape victims (7%), than *female* child rape victims (1.5%) used alcohol/drugs during their victimization.

5. Medical Treatment and Rape Kit Evidence Collection in Child Rapes

Approximately one-third (31%) of victims who sought services for child rape *sought medical treatment* as a result of their victimization. When examined by gender, significantly more (36%) *male* child rape victims, than *female* child rape victims (26%) sought medical treatment.



While these figures represent the reality of child rape treatment as reported by professional service providers, the best source of information regarding medical assessment, treatment and forensic evidence in child rape cases is from statewide Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) programs.

There were 972 SANE patients in 2006. Of these 23% (226) were children (ages 12 and under). One-third (35%) of SANE child patients incurred injury in conjunction with their sexual

assault. *Rectal* injuries comprised one-third of child patients injuries and *vaginal* injuries comprised 60% of child patient injuries. Fifteen percent of child SANE injuries were injuries to the *body torso*, 10% were *head and neck* injuries and 2% were *strangulation* injuries.

Almost all child SANE patients (95%) had *photos* taken as part of their evidence collection. Half (46%) of child SANE patients completed the *sexual assault evidence kit*. (Among child patients with gender documented, 44% of females and 39% of males completed the sexual assault evidence kit). One-quarter (26%) of child SANE patients had their *clothes collected* for evidence. Two percent of child patients respectively, had *blood* and *urine* evidence collected. Nearly all child SANE patients (94%) received a *physical assessment/medical exam*. Conversely, and less than 1% received a *psychological/suicide assessment*.

## SECTION THREE: IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

The rape of children and adolescents in New Mexico is disproportionate among rape victims and must be a primary focus of sexual assault prevention, identification, investigation, and prosecution efforts. Findings from the SVV, law enforcement, service providers, and SANE Programs demonstrate that victims of sex crimes are overwhelmingly female and are most often victimized by age 12. Even when males are sexual assault victims, most are also victimized by age 12. When one considers that parents and step-parents, and other family members are responsible for much of this abuse, it is imperative that parents, guardians and extended family be targeted for prevention education and outreach, to compliment the training of other professionals (teachers, clergy, law enforcement, and judges) who must respond to a suspected sexual assault of a child or a child's disclosure.

The negative effects of sexual violation during childhood cannot be overstated. To be sure, sexual assault during childhood is a precursor to experiencing a sexual assault in the future. Half of all those who sought assistance for a sexual assault in the year 2006, had experienced a prior sexual assault. Further, while sexual abuse prevention instruction is recommended for students throughout elementary school, when developmentally appropriate, a necessary component of such education must address the shocking reality that children who are sexually abused are at greater risk of becoming pregnant as a teen, than children who are not sexually abused. Education on self-esteem, self-respect, components for healthy relationships and normal sexual developmental must be addressed to reduce the likelihood of early pregnancy among sexually violated children.

Service providers (18%) and law enforcement (14) reported sexual assault involve a *stranger* offender, while SANE Programs reported 24% of their sexual assault patients were offended by a *stranger*. Similarly, a significant proportion of *known offenders* as reported by service providers (37%) and law enforcement (51%) were related to the victims, while SANE Programs reported only 18% of their sexual assault patients were related to their offender. These findings suggest that sexual assault victims who are victimized by a *stranger* are more likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation of their victimizations; and that victims who are victimized by a *relative* are less likely to seek medical services and forensic documentation regarding their victimization. By extension, this means that successful prosecution of sexual assaults perpetrated by family members is less likely, and victims of these sex crimes are less likely to access needed services. Since family members comprise a disproportionate number of all perpetrators of reported sexual assaults in our state, it is imperative to provide education and outreach in schools and communities to inform those at risk about services available to them.

Sexual victimizations of adolescents and adults more often involved a gun, knife and intentional drugging than victims of other ages. Adolescents and young adults are vulnerable to date rape and rape by new and/or social acquaintances. Further, alcohol and drug use is associated with a greater vulnerability to stranger rape, multiple offender rape, and the contraction of a sexually transmitted disease. These findings have implications for personal safety instruction and alcohol and drug prevention education programs for high school students.

Slightly less than one-quarter of victims who sought assistance for a sexual assault had some type of disability before the sexual assault. Most of these victims were mentally/emotionally disabled. This speaks to the need for education programs to promote greater awareness among families and communities regarding the vulnerability of their residents with

disabilities to being sexually assaulted; and the need for sexuality education and personal safety for individuals with disabilities.

Only 34% of sex crimes that came to the attention of service providers were reported to law enforcement. Additionally, over 16% of adult victims, 15% of adolescent victims and 9% of child victims in the SVV reported their victimizations to law enforcement. There are several implications: 1) training for healthcare providers to effectively respond to patient disclosures of sexual assault; 2) training of law enforcement officers to respond with sensitivity to the needs of sexual assault victims and initiate advocacy for the victim; and 3) accessible legal advocacy to assist victims through the legal process.

Survivors with a history of domestic violence were two times more likely to be sexually assaulted by someone with a history of domestic violence than survivors who were not exposed to domestic violence in their past. Experiencing domestic violence as a child increases one's vulnerability to abuse and sexual assault as an adult. This finding implies that greater efforts should be made by those in law enforcement and in collaboration with those in the helping professions to identify children from violent homes and provide appropriate counseling services.

Law enforcement reported that 24% of criminal sexual penetration cases and 3% on non-CSP sex crimes involved injury to the victim. Conversely, SANE practitioners found that 62% of their sexual assault patients incurred one or more injuries during their assault. The reasons for the great disparity in injury reporting between law enforcement and SANE practitioners can be explained in part, by the fact that SANE practitioners are specifically trained to identify and document sexual assault injuries; and beyond observable injuries to the head/neck or extremities of the victim, law enforcement officers are not likely to detect injury. Secondly, sexual assault victims who believe they are injured may be more likely to seek SANE services than sexual assault victims who do not believe they are injured. Therefore, SANE Programs would naturally have a higher rate of victims who experienced injury. All this said, there may be an implication for officer training regarding victim injury in sexual assaults and a more accurate way to report injury on law enforcement offense incident reports.

## REFERENCES

- National Crime Victimization Survey, 1992-1996.* U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- Criminal Victimization in the United States, 1994: A National Crime Victimization Survey Report,* May 1997, NCJ-162126. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- New Mexico State Statutes, New Mexico State Government.
- Rape In America: A Report To The Nation, 1992.* National Victim Center and Crime Victims Research and Treatment Center.
- Rape In New Mexico: A Report To The State,* May 2003. National Violence Against Women Prevention Research Center, Medical University of South Carolina.
- Uniform Crime Report,* Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, 2005 Index of Crime
- Sex Offenses and Offenders: An Analysis of Data on Rape and Sexual Assault,* February 1997, NCJ-163392. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics.
- United States Census 2005,* U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau



# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO VI:**

**An Analysis of 2006 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository  
and *The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico***

*TABLES*

**Table 1. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes in 2006**

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports	Total CSC**	Total CSC of a Minor	Total Indecent Exposure	Total Exploitation of Children	Total Enticement of Child	Total Sex Crimes
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	10		15				25
Albuquerque Police Department	442	86	326	74	32	0	960
Angel Fire Police Department	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
Artesia Police Department	6	2	6	0	0	0	14
Aztec Police Department	6	6	0	0	0	0	12
Bayard Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Belen Police Department	4	3	1	2	0	1	11
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	57	8	59	8	1	0	133
Bernalillo Police Department	9	2	1	1	0	1	14
Bloomfield Police Department	9	3	2				14
Bosque Farms Police Department	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Carlsbad Police Department	24	2	19	3	1	3	52
Carrizozo Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Catron County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	7	1	10	1		1	20
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	5	2	1				8
Cimarron Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clovis Police Department	38	6	27	2	0	2	75
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
Corrales Police Department	3	1	2				6
Cuba Police Department	0						0
Curry County Sheriff's Office	7	0	3	0	2	0	12
Dexter Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	38	44		3	1		86
Estancia Police Department	0						0
Eunice Police Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Farmington Police Department	49	3	40	0	2	0	94
Gallup Police Department	36	2	12	58		10	118
Grant County Sheriff's Department	1		1			1	3
Grants Police Department	19	6	13				38
Hatch Police Department	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	3	2					5
Hobbs Police Department	25	2	13	4	0	0	44
Hurley Police Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Jal Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Laguna Police Department	2	0	7	0	0	0	9
Las Cruces Police Department	169	24	17	36	0	2	248
Las Vegas Police Department	24	4	3	1	0	1	33
Lea County Sheriff's Department	16	2	6	0	0	0	24
Logan Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lordsburg Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Alamos Police Department	10	1	2	1	1	0	15
Los Lunas Police Department	8	4	7	0	0	0	19

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports	Total CSC**	Total CSC of a Minor	Total Indecent Exposure	Total Exploitation of Children	Total Enticement of Child	Total Sex Crimes
Lovington Police Department	5		6			1	12
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	1	4	5	0	0	0	10
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moriarty Police Department	3		1				4
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portales Police Department	6	0	4	2	0	0	12
Quay County Sheriff's Office	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Questa Police Department	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ramah Navajo Police Department	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
Raton Police Department	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
Red River Marshal's Office	3	1	2	0	0	0	6
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	4	1	2	0	0	0	7
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	16	1	31	14	0	2	64
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	2	0	4	1	0	0	7
Roswell Police Department	14		52	7	1	9	83
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	4	1	3	0	0	1	9
Ruidoso Police Department	6	3	2	1	0	0	12
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	7	1	0	0	2	0	10
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	4	0	3	0	0	0	7
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	27	11	8	1	0	0	47
Santa Fe Police Department	37	9	12	15	4	3	80
Santa Rosa Police Department	2	2	1	4	0	0	9
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	4	1	0	0	0	0	5
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Socorro Police Department	5	1	0	3	0	0	9
Springer Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Police Alamogordo	1	1	0	0	0	2	4
State Police Albuquerque	18	0	11	1	0	30	60
State Police Clovis	5	0	1	1	0	7	14
State Police Deming	2	0	0	0	0	3	5
State Police Espanola	28	7	2	2	0	37	76
State Police Farmington	5	2	1	1	0	8	17
State Police Gallup	2	0	1	0	0	3	6
State Police Grants	6	2	0	0	0	8	16
State Police Hobbs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Police Las Cruces	2	1	1	0	0	4	8
State Police Las Vegas	12	3	8	0	0	20	43
State Police Moriarty	2	1	1	0	0	4	8
State Police Raton	2	0	1	0	0	3	6
State Police Roswell	8	1	0	0	0	9	18
State Police Santa Fe	18	3	7	0	0	26	54
State Police Santa Rosa	5	0	2	0	0	6	13
State Police Socorro	2	3	2	0	0	7	14
State Police Taos	10	1	5	0	1	17	34

Law Enforcement Agency	Total CSP Reports	Total CSC**	Total CSC of a Minor	Total Indecent Exposure	Total Exploitation of Children	Total Enticement of Child	Total Sex Crimes
State Police Tucumcari	1	0	2	0	0	3	6
Taos Police Department	4		8	1			13
Taos Pueblo Police Department	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Tatum Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Torrance County Sheriffs Department	2	8	6	0	0	0	16
Truth or Consequences Police Department	2	2	2	0	0	0	6
Tucumcari Police Department	0	3	3				6
Tularosa Police Department	1	1	2	0	0	1	5
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	11	3	6	1	0	0	21
Vaughn Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>2,977</b>
<b>Percent</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 2. Law Enforcement Reported Sex Crimes by County, 2006**

<b>County Name</b>	<b>Total CSP Reports</b>	<b>Total CSC**</b>	<b>Total CSC of a Minor</b>	<b>Total Indecent Exposure</b>	<b>Total Sexual Exploitation of Children</b>	<b>Total Enticement of Child</b>	<b>Total Non-Penetration</b>	<b>Total Sex Crimes</b>
Bernalillo	517	94	396	83	33	30	636	1153
Catron	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chaves	29	2	62	8	1	19	92	121
Cibola	37	10	21	0	0	8	39	76
Colfax	5	4	3	0	0	3	10	15
Curry	50	6	31	3	2	9	51	101
Dona Ana	210	70	18	39	1	6	134	344
Eddy	30	4	25	3	1	3	36	66
Grant	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	4
Guadalupe	7	2	3	4	0	6	15	22
Hidalgo	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5
Lea	47	4	26	4	0	1	35	82
Lincoln	10	4	5	1	0	1	11	21
Los Alamos	10	1	2	1	1	0	5	15
Luna	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	5
McKinley	39	6	18	58	0	13	95	134
Mora	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otero	12	2	17	0	0	3	22	34
Quay	1	3	5	0	0	3	11	12
Rio Arriba	32	8	4	2	0	37	51	83
Roosevelt	8	0	8	3	0	0	11	19
San Juan	76	15	43	1	4	8	71	147
San Miguel	36	7	11	1	0	21	40	76
Sandoval	32	4	37	15	0	3	59	91
Santa Fe	82	23	27	16	4	29	99	181
Sierra	6	3	2	0	0	0	5	11
Socorro	7	4	3	3	0	7	17	24
Taos	18	3	15	1	1	17	37	55
Torrance	7	9	8	0	0	4	21	28
Valencia	23	10	14	4	0	1	29	52
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,337</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>806</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>1,640</b>	<b>2,977</b>
<b>Percent</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 3. Percent CSP\* Incidents With A Suspect Arrest by Law Enforcement Agency**

<b>Law Enforcement Agency</b>	<b>Total CSP Reports Documenting Suspect Arrest</b>	<b>Total CSP Incidents With A Suspect Arrest</b>	<b>Percent Incidents With A Suspect Arrest</b>
Angel Fire Police Department	2	2	100%
Corrales Police Department	3	3	100%
Grant County Sheriff's Department	1	1	100%
Hatch Police Department	1	1	100%
Laguna Police Department	2	2	100%
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	1	1	100%
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	1	1	100%
State Police Las Cruces	1	1	100%
State Police Roswell	2	2	100%
Taos Pueblo Police Department	1	1	100%
Aztec Police Department	4	2	50%
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	2	1	50%
State Police Clovis	2	1	50%
State Police Grants	2	1	50%
State Police Las Vegas	2	1	50%
Truth or Consequences Police Department	2	1	50%
Hobbs Police Department	14	5	36%
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	17	6	35%
Grants Police Department	12	4	33%
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	3	1	33%
Los Lunas Police Department	3	1	33%
Farmington Police Department	49	13	27%
State Police Taos	8	2	25%
Clovis Police Department	33	8	24%
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	50	11	22%
Carlsbad Police Department	20	4	20%
Santa Fe Police Department	25	5	20%
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	5	1	20%
Lea County Sheriff's Department	11	2	18%
Las Vegas Police Department	19	3	16%
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	7	1	14%
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	7	1	14%
Albuquerque Police Department	442	46	10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>754</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>18%</b>

CSP = Criminal Sexual Penetration

**Table 4. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by Participating Agencies, 2006**

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Percent of All Survivors Served</b>
Better Balance Counseling	Otero	1	0
Border Area Mental Health (Grant County)	Grant	16	1
Community Against Violence	Taos	77	5
Community Counseling Center-PMS	San Juan	32	2
Counseling Associates	Chaves	9	1
Desert View DV & SA Services	San Juan	22	2
El Refugio, Inc.	Grant	12	1
La Buena Vida	Sandoval	16	1
La Pinon Sexual Trauma Recovery	Dona Ana	289	20
Los Alamos Family Council	Los Alamos	1	0
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	Curry	25	2
Mental Health Resources-Portales	Roosevelt	2	0
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	Quay	11	1
NMBHI-CBS	San Miguel	33	2
Rape Crisis Center Central NM (formerly ARCC)	Bernalillo	460	32
Rio Rancho Family Health Center-PMS	Sandoval	4	0
Santa Fe Rape Crisis Center	Santa Fe	116	8
Sexual Assault Services of North West New Mexico	San Juan	110	8
Socorro Mental Health	Socorro	6	0
Southern New Mexico Human Development, Inc. (SNMHD)	Dona Ana	14	1
Southwest Counseling Center	Dona Ana	33	2
Taos Colfax Community Services, Inc-Raton	Colfax	49	3
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	Otero	76	5
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	Valencia	9	1
Western NM Counseling-PMS Gallup	McKinley	13	1
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,436</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 5. Percent Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County, 2006**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Percent of All Survivors Served</b>
Bernalillo	460	32%
Chaves	9	1%
Colfax	49	3%
Curry	25	2%
Dona Ana	336	23%
Grant	28	2%
Los Alamos	1	0%
McKinley	13	1%
Otero	77	5%
Quay	11	1%
Roosevelt	2	0%
San Juan	164	11%
San Miguel	33	2%
Sandoval	20	1%
Santa Fe	116	8%
Socorro	6	0%
Taos	77	5%
Valencia	9	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,436</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Table 6. Number Sex Crime Survivors Served by Rape Crises/Mental Health Centers and Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement by County, 2006**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Survivors Served</b>	<b>Number of Sex Crimes Reported by Law Enforcement</b>
Bernalillo	460	1,153
Catron	0	0
Chaves	9	121
Cibola	0	76
Colfax	49	15
Curry	25	101
Dona Ana	336	344
Eddy	0	66
Grant	28	4
Guadalupe	0	22
Hidalgo	0	5
Lea	0	82
Lincoln	0	21
Los Alamos	1	15
Luna	0	5
McKinley	13	134
Mora	0	0
Otero	77	34
Quay	11	12
Rio Arriba	0	83
Roosevelt	2	19
San Juan	164	147
San Miguel	33	76
Sandoval	20	91
Santa Fe	116	181
Sierra	0	11
Socorro	6	24
Taos	77	55
Torrance	0	28
Valencia	9	52
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,436</b>	<b>2,977</b>

**Table 7. Percent Male Victims Served by Service Provider Agency**

<b>County</b>	<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Number of Sexual Assault Reports Documenting Victim Gender</b>	<b>Number of Male Victims Served</b>	<b>Percent Male Victims Served</b>
Grant	Border Area Mental Health (Grant County)	16	7	44%
Curry	Mental Health Resources-Clovis	23	9	39%
Dona Ana	Southern New Mexico Human Development, Inc.SNMHD	14	5	36%
McKinley	Western NM Counseling-PMS Gallup	13	4	31%
Sandoval	La Buena Vida	16	5	31%
Colfax	Taos Colfax Community Services, Inc-Raton	47	12	26%
Otero	The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	72	16	22%
San Juan	Community Counseling Center-PMS	32	7	22%
San Miguel	NMBHI-CBS	32	6	19%
Santa Fe	Santa Fe Rape Crisis Center	116	21	18%
San Juan	Desert View DV & SA Services	22	3	14%
Taos	Community Against Violence	76	9	12%
San Juan	Sexual Assault Services of North West New Mexico	110	11	10%
Quay	Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	11	1	9%
Dona Ana	La Pinon Sexual Trauma Recovery	282	23	8%
Grant	El Refugio, Inc.	12	1	8%
Bernalillo	Rape Crisis Center Central NM (formerly ARCC)	451	28	6%

<b>County</b>	<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Number of Sexual Assault Reports Documenting Victim Gender</b>	<b>Number of Male Victims Served</b>	<b>Percent Male Victims Served</b>
Chaves	Counseling Associates	9	0	0%
Dona Ana	Southwest Counseling Center	33	0	0%
Los Alamos	Los Alamos Family Council	1	0	0%
Otero	Better Balance Counseling	1	0	0%
Roosevelt	Mental Health Resources-Portales	2	0	0%
Sandoval	Rio Rancho Family Health Center-PMS	4	0	0%
Socorro	Socorro Mental Health	6	0	0%
Valencia	Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	9	0	0%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1,410</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>12%</b>

**Table 8. Geographic Location of Sexual Assaults Among Victims Who Sought Services in New Mexico, 2006**

<b>State</b>	<b>Number of Sexual Assault Victims</b>
AK	2
AR	1
AZ	15
CA	27
CO	17
DC	1
FL	1
GA	1
HI	1
ID	1
IL	3
IN	1
KS	4
LA	2
MA	1
ME	1
MI	1
MO	3
MT	1
NC	2
NE	3
NJ	1
NM	914
NV	3
NY	4
OH	5
OK	3
OR	1
PA	3
RI	2
SD	2
TX	28
UT	3
VA	3
VT	1
WA	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,065</b>

**Table 9. Number of Service Provider Cases Documenting The New Mexico County in Which The Sexual Assaults Occurred**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of Sexual Assault Victims</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Bernalillo	338	42%
Catron	1	0%
Chaves	4	0%
Cibola	4	0%
Colfax	2	0%
Curry	8	1%
Dona Ana	122	15%
Grant	10	1%
Guadalupe	1	0%
Hobbs	1	0%
Lea	1	0%
Lincoln	1	0%
Los Alamos	1	0%
Luna	3	0%
McKinley	12	1%
Mora	2	0%
Otero	14	2%
Rio Arriba	14	2%
Roosevelt	1	0%
San Juan	108	13%
San Miguel	15	2%
Sandoval	26	3%
Santa Clara	1	0%
Santa Fe	42	5%
Sierra	2	0%
Socorro	1	0%
Taos	47	6%
Valencia	19	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>801</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 10. Percent SANE Patients by SANE Program, 2006**

Agency Name	County	SANE Sexual Assault Patients	Percent of Total Patients Served
12th Judicial District SANE Program GCRMC Unit	Grant	27	3
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	Bernalillo	373	38
Carlsbad Medical Center - SANE	Eddy	30	3
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Project	Dona Ana	99	10
Otero County SANE Unit (Alamagordo)	Otero	13	1
Plains Regional Medical (PRMC) SANE Unit (Clovis)	Curry	35	4
Roosevelt County SANE Project	Roosevelt	22	2
Roswell Esperanza House SANE Project	Chaves	32	3
Santa Fe St. Vincent SANE Program	Santa Fe	230	24
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	San Juan	111	11
<b>Total</b>		<b>972</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Table 11. Percent Referrals to SANE Programs from Each Referral Source, by Victim Age**

Referral Source	Children (Age <13)		Adolescents (Age 13-17)		Adults (Age 18-24)	
Law Enforcement	129	<b>58%</b>	113	<b>49%</b>	217	<b>43%</b>
Rape Crisis	8	<b>4%</b>	14	<b>6%</b>	38	<b>7%</b>
Hospital	36	<b>16%</b>	66	<b>29%</b>	177	<b>35%</b>
EMS	4	<b>2%</b>	25	<b>11%</b>	58	<b>11%</b>
Friend	4	<b>2%</b>	11	<b>5%</b>	22	<b>4%</b>
Relative	18	<b>8%</b>	16	<b>7%</b>	16	<b>3%</b>
School	3	<b>1%</b>	4	<b>2%</b>	3	<b>1%</b>
Other	46	<b>20%</b>	28	<b>12%</b>	44	<b>9%</b>
	<b>N=224</b>		<b>N=229</b>		<b>N=509</b>	

Percents exceed 100 as some patients were referred by multiple sources

**Table 12. SANE Patients' County of Residence**

<b>County of Residence</b>	<b>Number of SANE Patients</b>	<b>Percent of Total Patients</b>
Bernalillo	299	31%
Catron	1	0%
Chaves	27	3%
Cibola	5	1%
Colfax	3	0%
Curry	36	4%
DeBaca	1	0%
Dona Ana	81	8%
Eddy	30	3%
Lea	4	0%
Lincoln	8	1%
Los Alamos	4	0%
Luna	9	1%
McKinley	2	0%
Mora	3	0%
Otero	29	3%
Outside NM	14	1%
Reservation	2	0%
Rio Arriba	47	5%
Roosevelt	18	2%
San Juan	98	10%
San Miguel	27	3%
Sandoval	33	3%
Santa Fe	108	11%
Sierra	4	0%
Socorro	3	0%
Taos	21	2%
Torrance	2	0%
Union	1	0%
Unknown	19	2%
Valencia	22	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>961</b>	<b>100%</b>

# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO VI:**

**An Analysis of 2006 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository  
and *The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico***

*APPENDICES*



# Appendix A. Survey of Violence Victimization Summary

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nationally, victimization surveys such as the National Crime Victims Survey and the National Violence Against Women Survey demonstrate more comprehensive reporting of crimes, and in particular, interpersonal violence crimes than those which are reported to law enforcement. While New Mexico law enforcement agencies have reported their incidents of domestic violence, intimate partner violence, stalking and sexual assault to the Central Repository, determining the rates of these crimes that were *never* reported to law enforcement was not possible. The long term goal to rectify this problem and make possible more accurate estimates of the prevalence and incidence of interpersonal violence in New Mexico was to conduct our own statewide victimization survey. To this end, in 2005 the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico (SVV) was conducted, asking 2000 adult men and 2000 adult women about their experiences of violence. While all data captured from this survey will be analyzed over the course of time, several discouraging realities are clear from the preliminary findings of this survey:

1. The rate of sexual assault (rape and attempted rape) in New Mexico is staggering; and higher than national comparable rates found in the National Violence Against Women Survey (NVAWS), the most comprehensive victimization survey to date;
2. Rape is significantly under-reported to law enforcement;
3. There are significantly more victims of sexual assault than ever identified by law enforcement or service providers statewide, especially in the case of incapacitated rape;
4. There are significant co-morbidity and healthcare utilization issues associated with interpersonal violence; and
5. There are significant differences between males and females in the experience and outcomes of sexual assault crimes.

This summary discusses selected findings regarding rape among males and females from the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, together with selected findings on child sexual abuse derived from statewide law enforcement and service provider data submitted to the New Mexico Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository in 2005.

## II. RAPE AMONG MALES

### A. Prevalence and Incidence

In the Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico, 5% or 1 in 20 adult males (18 and older) reported being the victim of rape or attempted rape in their lifetime compared to 3% nationally (NVAWS); 4% or 1 in 25 the victim of a *completed* rape. In 2005, there were 2,773 male victims of rape or attempted rape, 1,162 of these a completed rape.

## B. The Circumstances of Male Rape

While female and male adolescents and young adults have similar rates of rape, over half (53%) of male rape victims were raped as children (ages <13) compared to 44% of females who were raped as children.

Although fewer males (1 in 40) are the victims of incapacitated rape (unwanted, non-consensual sex while very high, drunk or passed out) compared to females (1 in 12), males (43%) are significantly more likely than females (29%) to experience their incapacitated rapes as an adult.

The rape experience for males includes a greater likelihood of *being hit with an object* (10%) and having a *knife or other weapon used on them* (7%) compared to females (7% and 4%, respectively). Additionally, more *male* victims of rape use *alcohol* (28%), *drugs* (2%) or *both* (4%) at the time of the incident than *female* rape victims (18%, 1% and 2%, respectively).

When it comes to rape, males like females, experience rape most often (85%) at the hands of a male assailant.

## C. Male Rape Outcomes

Fewer male rape victims reported being injured during their rape incidents (16%) compared to female rape victims (27%), and just under one-third (30%) of these obtained medical care for their injuries. However, male victims of rape are two times more likely than male *non-victims* to suffer from a *serious disabling injury* in their lives and seven times more likely to suffer *one or more chronic mental health conditions*.

Only 6% of male rape victims reported their rapes to police. Three quarters (74%) of male rape victims reported being *dissatisfied* with police response to their reported rapes, two-thirds of these, *very dissatisfied*. Most male rape victims do not report to police because they consider the rape to be *too minor or not a crime* (19%); they *fear the offender* (13%); or will *handle the situation themselves* (12%). Six times more males than females report that they *want the police to take their complaint more seriously, believe them and not laugh at them*.

Male rape victims who report their rape to police are significantly more likely (47%) than female rape victims (37%) to have an officer *arrest the offender or take him/her into custody*. However, since only 6% of males report their victimization to police, this means that only 3% of all cases of male rape result in a suspect arrest. To make matters worse, male victims of rape are only half as likely as female victims to be *referred to services*, such as victim assistance, a medical clinic, legal aid or a shelter.

Only 3% of male rape victims obtained a restraining order against their offender and half (52%) of these were violated by the offender. A mere 1% of male rape victims filed criminal charges against their offender. This low number of criminal charges filed by male rape victims prohibited the examination of gender differences in rape case dispositions.

### III. RAPE AMONG FEMALES

#### A. Prevalence and Incidence

As reported by adults 18 and older in the statewide victimization survey, 24% or 1 in 4 females are the victims of rape or attempted rape sometime in their lifetime compared to 18% (17.6%) nationally (NVAWS); 21% or 1 in 5 females the victim of a *completed* rape. In 2005, there were 5,224 female victims of rape and attempted rape, 4,158 of these, the victims of a completed rape.

Of all rapes reported by the survey respondents, 43% were incapacitated rapes. Female rape victims (8.5%) were three times more likely than male victims (2.5%) to experience incapacitated rape. Similar to male victims of incapacitated rape, most female victims are adolescents (39%), but unlike the rate for incapacitated rape of male children (17%), a significantly greater proportion of female victims of incapacitated rape (31%) have their experience as a child (ages <13).

#### B. The Circumstances of Female Rape

Forty-four percent of female rape victims were raped as children (ages <13), one-quarter (24%) as adolescents (ages 13-18) and one-third (34%) as adults. Eighty-six percent of female rape victims were raped by a male.

More female victims of rape, than males, reported being physically attacked: *pushed, grabbed or shoved* (44.5% vs. 37%); had their *hair pulled* (16% vs. 11%); were *choked or had an attempted drowning* (10% vs. 6%) and were *beat up* (14% vs. 9%). Similarly, twice as many female rape victims (32%) than male victims (16%) reported that their offenders *threatened to harm or kill them or someone close to them*; and nearly twice as many female rape victims (40%) than male victims (22%), *believed* that they or someone close to them would be seriously harmed or killed by their offenders.

#### C. Female Rape Outcomes

Slightly over one-quarter (27%) of female rape victims were injured during their rape incidents and one-third (34%) obtained medical care for their injuries. Like male rape victims, female rape victims are two times more likely than female *non-victims* to suffer from a *serious disabling injury* in their lives and six times more likely to suffer from *one or more chronic mental health conditions*.

Although a dismally low rate, female rape victims (19%) are three times more likely to report their incidents to police than male rape victims (6%). Most female rape victims who do not report to police say it is because they *were too young/a child* (17%); *feared the offender/afraid offender would get even* (17%); and *felt shame/embarrassment or thought it was their fault* (15.5%).

Twice as many female rape victims (33%), than male victims (16%) were *referred to services* (victim assistance, medical clinic, legal aid or shelter). Females were also significantly more likely than male rape victims to *have an officer see them in person* (67% vs. 53%) and *be referred to court or a prosecutor's office* (20% vs. 16%). However, female rape victims (13%)

were significantly more likely than male rape victims (8%) for *the police to do nothing* in response to a rape report.

Slightly over one-third (37%) of female rape victims' cases that were reported to police resulted in a suspect arrest. Since 19% of female rape victims reported their rape to police, this represents only 7% of all cases of female rape.

About half (49%) of female rape victims reported being *dissatisfied* with police response to their reported rape, over one-third of those, *very dissatisfied*. Most (19%) victims wanted the police to charge/arrest the offender or keep him/her locked up. Others (13%) wanted the police to *take a report, follow through with an investigation and question the offender*.

Three times as many female (11%) as male (3%) rape victims obtained a restraining order; and similar to male victims, about half (49%) were violated by the offender.

Seven percent of female rape victims *filed criminal charges against the offender*. One-quarter (25%) of the rape victims that filed criminal charges reported that their *charges were dropped*; 6% reported that the *offender was acquitted*; 45% reported that the *offender was convicted*; and 11% reported that the *offender entered a guilty plea*. Of those that were convicted or pled guilty, 88% were *sentenced to jail or prison* and the *average sentence* was 62.5 months. However, over half (54%) of the guilty offenders were sentenced to 48 months or less.

#### **IV. SELECTED CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE FINDINGS**

There were 1,545 victims of sexual assault who sought services from rape crises and mental health centers across New Mexico in 2005. Both, the *gender of the victim* and the *type of sexual offense* were documented in 1,241 of these cases.

There were 91 males and 362 females who were victims of *non-penetration sex crimes* that were reported to rape crisis and mental health centers in 2005. Of these, almost three-quarters (70%) of the males and over half (56%) of the females were children (ages 12 and under).

Similarly, there were 89 males and 699 females who were victims of *rape* that were reported to rape crisis and mental health centers in 2005. Of these, two-thirds (68%) of the males, and one-quarter (25%) of the females were children (ages 12 and under). In a trend analysis from 2002-2005, an average of 41% of the rapes reported to law enforcement were perpetrated upon those 12 and under. Over the same four years, an average of 31% of rapes was perpetrated upon *adults* and an average of 28% of rapes was perpetrated upon *teens* (ages 13-18).

There is a significant difference in ethnicity/race among child rape victims by gender. Over two-thirds (68%) of male rape victims 12 and under were *Hispanic*, followed by 14% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 12% *mixed ethnicity/race*, 5% *Native American*, and 2% *Black*. Among female rape victims 12 and under, 49% were *Hispanic*, 35% *White (non-Hispanic)*, 8% *mixed ethnicity/race*, 5% *Native American*, and 3% *Black*.

Of 172 female rape victims 12 and under who went for help in 2005, *prior sexual abuse* was documented on 121. Of these, 47% (57) were sexually abused prior to the most recent rape. Similarly, of 60 male rape victims 12 and under who went for help in 2005, *prior sexual abuse* was documented on 46. Of these, 43% (20) were sexually abused prior to the most recent rape.

While children 12 and under are the largest group of rape *victims* among those who went for help, adults (age 19 and older) comprised the largest group (73%) of rape *offenders*. Among these rape victims under 13 years old, only 2% were raped by a *stranger*. Of the 98% of child rapes perpetrated by someone known to the child, over three-quarters (77%) were raped by a *family member* and 21% raped by other *known offenders*. *Fathers* comprised the largest group of child rapists (30%), followed by *uncles* (15%), *cousins* (14%), *brothers* (12%) and *step-fathers* (9%). Among known *non-relative* rapists of children under 13 years of age, *friends* comprised the largest group (24%), followed by the *mom's boyfriend* (10%), *babysitters* (8%), *social acquaintances* (8%) and *teachers* (6%).

There is no difference in the rate of *incest* by gender among child rape victims. There were 171 female child rape victims who went for help in 2005 and documented the relationship of the perpetrator. Of these 76% (130) were victims of incest. Similarly, there were 60 male child rape victims who went for help in 2005 and documented the relationship of the perpetrator. Of these 75% (45) were victims of incest.

While one-third (35%) of male victims of sexual assault go for services within the first year of the assault, an analysis of sex crimes data from 2002 – 2005, found that males wait an average of 12.5 years after their sexual assault before seeking therapeutic services. Similarly, while 46% of female victims go for services within the first year of the sexual assault, females wait an average 9.4 years.

## **V. IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS**

### **A. Implications for Prevention**

When only 6% males and 19% females report their rapes to law enforcement, there needs to be a concerted effort to better identify rape victims by focusing on *why* they do not report. There are significant gender differences in why victims do not report. Most males in the statewide survey did not report because they considered the rape to be *too minor or not a crime*, while females *feared their offender or felt shame*. These differences must be taken into consideration for successful prevention education.

Perhaps one of the most startling findings from the statewide victimization survey was the proportion of incapacitated rapes among females and the proportion of these experienced as children. Of all rapes among females, 8.5% were incapacitated rapes; and unlike males, who most experienced incapacitated rapes as adults (43%) and adolescents (40%), female victims experienced incapacitated rapes most as adolescents (39%) and children (31%). Adult females experienced 29% of the incapacitated rapes. Interventions focused on preventing incapacitated rape should be a primary goal among statewide prevention programs.

If prevention efforts could dramatically reduce the number of females who perpetrate sexual offenses, the *reduction* in the number of victims and incidents of sexual assault would be negligible. All sources of data examined for this report demonstrate the overwhelming reality that males perpetrate rapes: law enforcement incidents (93%); service provider's cases (97%); SANE cases (97%) and survey findings (85%). Even when males are the rape victims, males are also the perpetrators 85% of the time. Until more prevention programs target males as the focus of their interventions, little will be accomplished to reduce the incidence of rape and sex crimes, overall.

Similarly, much prevention is focused on children because children comprise so many of those victimized: 44% of the rape victims identified in the statewide survey; 33% of rape victims identified by law enforcement and 49% of rape victims identified by service providers. However, these same sources of data reveal that rape *offenders* are overwhelmingly *adults* (law enforcement 78%, service providers 84%, and SANE units 84%). Children have little power to counter the sophisticated coercion techniques, verbal threats, physical intimidation and physical force of adult offenders. Therefore, more prevention should be focused on working with *adults*, and especially *male adults*, to stop sexual assault and abuse.

What is it that makes *male Hispanic children* (68%) dramatically more susceptible to rape compared to male children of other races/ethnicities? The prevention implication is unclear but the need to study this issue is most evident.

Who are the primary perpetrators of the sexual abuse of children? They are family members, chiefly their fathers, step-fathers, uncles, cousins and brothers. Prevention programs should be two-fold: 1) prevention aimed at helping a child guard against family members as perpetrators and what to do in the event of sexual abuse by a family member; and 2) prevention aimed at helping the family members examine their own sexual behaviors and boundaries, identify healthy and unhealthy sexual behaviors/practices by relatives (and others that their children may come into contact with), learn how to protect their children from sexual abuse by relatives (and others) and access available resources.

## **B. Implications for Law Enforcement**

The statewide survey identified 5,320 rape victims in 2005. In the same time period, law enforcement reported 1400 rape incidents. An explanation for the disparity in identifying rape incidents is the scope of rape (penetration) crimes captured. While the survey captured many forms of criminal sexual penetration and incapacitated rape, law enforcement codes restrict the types of penetration crimes captured and do not capture incidents of incapacitated rape, a type of rape that comprised 43% of the rapes identified by the survey. To this end, much consideration should be made by law enforcement and the FBI which guides law enforcement, to expand the types of criminal sexual penetration captured to include more types of penetration, especially incapacitated rape.

Both male and female rape victims from the statewide survey reported being *dissatisfied*, most *very dissatisfied* with the law enforcement response to their complaint. The most common reason given for their dissatisfaction was that they wanted the police to *charge/arrest the offender or keep the offender locked up* (18%) and to *take a report and follow through with an investigation, to question the offender* (13%). When victim dissatisfaction was examined by gender of the victim, there were dramatically more very dissatisfied males (66%) compared to females (38%). The implications are unclear. Perhaps this is more a matter of policy change, regarding officer response to alleged rape victims rather than the actions of individual officers. Perhaps it is a matter of officer sensitivity training responding to male complaints of rape. Finally, perhaps there is an implication for the role of victim advocacy and an examination of protocol for referral of rape victims by responding officers to advocacy services.

## **C. Implications for Healthcare**

Slightly over one-quarter of rape victims (29%) are injured during their rape incidents, and approximately one-third of these go for medical treatment. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner units capture information regarding sexual assault patients that present to their facilities and offer

forensic evidence collection and sometimes a physical exam. However, no standardized monitoring system currently exists to reliably document the number of rape victims that go to emergency departments or doctor's offices as a result of a sexual assault. Further, twice as many rape victims as non-victims suffer from serious disabling injury and approximately six times as many rape victims as non-victims suffer from one or more chronic mental health conditions. Without identifying these patients, it is impossible to determine healthcare utilization due to sexual assault or to more effectively treat these patients by offering them appropriate referral services. The implication to improve public health is to consider the need for universal screening for lifetime exposure to sexual assault and other forms of violence.

## **Appendix B: New Mexico Sex Crime Statutes**

### **Chapter 30**

#### **Criminal Offenses**

#### **Article 9: Sexual Offenses**

#### **30-9-10. Definitions.**

As used in Sections 30-9-10 through 30-9-16 NMSA 1978:

A. "force or coercion" means:

- (1) the use of physical force or physical violence;
- (2) the use of threats to use physical violence or physical force against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is a present ability to execute the threats;
- (3) the use of threats, including threats of physical punishment, kidnapping, extortion or retaliation directed against the victim or another when the victim believes that there is an ability to execute the threats;
- (4) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact when the perpetrator knows or has reason to know that the victim is unconscious, asleep or otherwise physically helpless or suffers from a mental condition that renders the victim incapable of understanding the nature or consequences of the act; or
- (5) the perpetration of criminal sexual penetration or criminal sexual contact by a psychotherapist on his patient, with or without the patient's consent, during the course of psychotherapy or within a period of one year following the termination of psychotherapy;

Physical or verbal resistance of the victim is not an element of force or coercion.

B. "great mental anguish" means psychological or emotional damage that requires psychiatric or psychological treatment or care, either on an inpatient or outpatient basis, and is characterized by extreme behavioral change or severe physical symptoms;

C. "patient" means a person who seeks or obtains psychotherapy;

D. "personal injury" means bodily injury to a lesser degree than great bodily harm and includes, but is not limited to, disfigurement, mental anguish, chronic or recurrent pain, pregnancy or disease or injury to a sexual or reproductive organ;

E. "position of authority" means that position occupied by a parent, relative, household member, teacher, employer or other person who, by reason of that position, is able to exercise undue influence over a child;

F. "psychotherapist" means a person who is or purports to be a:

- (1) licensed physician who practices psychotherapy;
- (2) licensed psychologist;
- (3) licensed social worker;
- (4) licensed nurse;
- (5) counselor;
- (6) substance abuse counselor;
- (7) psychiatric technician;
- (8) mental health worker;
- (9) marriage and family therapist;
- (10) hypnotherapist; or



(11) minister, priest, rabbi or other similar functionary of a religious organization acting in his role as a pastoral counselor;

- G. "psychotherapy" means professional treatment or assessment of a mental or an emotional illness, symptom or condition; and
- H. "school" means any public or private school, including the New Mexico military institute, the New Mexico school for the visually handicapped, the New Mexico school for the deaf, the New Mexico boys' school, the New Mexico youth diagnostic and development center, the Los Lunas medical center, the Fort Stanton hospital, the Las Vegas medical center and the Carrie Tingley crippled children's hospital, that offers a program of instruction designed to educate a person in a particular place, manner and subject area. "School" does not include a college or university; and
- I. "spouse" means a legal husband or wife, unless the couple is living apart or either husband or wife has filed for separate maintenance or divorce.

**30-9-11. Criminal sexual penetration.**

- A. Criminal sexual penetration is the unlawful and intentional causing of a person to engage in sexual intercourse, cunnilingus, fellatio or anal intercourse or the causing of penetration, to any extent and with any object, of the genital or anal openings of another, whether or not there is any emission.
- B. Criminal sexual penetration does not include medically indicated procedures.
- C. Criminal sexual penetration in the first degree consists of all sexual penetration perpetrated:
  - (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) by the use of force or coercion that results in great bodily harm or great mental anguish to the victim.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the first degree is guilty of a first degree felony.

- D. Criminal sexual penetration in the second degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated:
  - (1) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;
  - (2) on an inmate confined in a correctional facility or jail when the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the inmate;
  - (3) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
  - (4) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons;
  - (5) in the commission of any other felony; or
  - (6) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony.

- E. Criminal sexual penetration in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration perpetrated through the use of force or coercion.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony.

- F. Criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual penetration:
  - (1) not defined in Subsections C through E of this section perpetrated on a child thirteen to sixteen years of age when the perpetrator is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than and not the spouse of that child; or
  - (2) perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns, while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual penetration in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

**30-9-12. Criminal sexual contact.**

- A. Criminal sexual contact is the unlawful and intentional touching of or application of force, without consent, to the unclothed intimate parts of another who has reached his eighteenth birthday, or intentionally causing another who has reached his eighteenth birthday to touch one's intimate parts.
- B. Criminal sexual contact does not include touching by a psychotherapist on his patient that is:
  - (1) inadvertent;
  - (2) casual social contact not intended to be sexual in nature; or
  - (3) generally recognized by mental health professionals as being a legitimate element of psychotherapy.
- C. Criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact perpetrated:
  - (1) by the use of force or coercion that results in personal injury to the victim;
  - (2) by the use of force or coercion when the perpetrator is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
  - (3) when the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

- D. Criminal sexual contact is a misdemeanor when perpetrated with the use of force or coercion.
- E. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

**30-9-13. Criminal sexual contact of a minor.**

Criminal sexual contact of a minor is the unlawful and intentional touching or applying force to the intimate parts of a minor or the unlawful and intentional causing a minor to touch one's intimate parts. For the purposes of this section, "intimate parts" means the primary genital area, groin, buttocks, anus or breast.

- A. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the third degree consists of all criminal sexual contact of a minor perpetrated:
  - (1) on a child under thirteen years of age; or
  - (2) on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when:
    - (a) the perpetrator is in a position of authority over the child and uses this authority to coerce the child to submit;
    - (b) the perpetrator uses force or coercion which results in personal injury to the child;
    - (c) the perpetrator uses force or coercion and is aided or abetted by one or more persons; or
    - (d) the perpetrator is armed with a deadly weapon.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the third degree is guilty of a third degree felony.

- B. Criminal sexual contact of a minor in the fourth degree consists of all criminal sexual contact;
  - (1) not defined in Subsection A of this section, of a child thirteen to eighteen years of age perpetrated with force or coercion.
  - (2) perpetrated on a child thirteen to eighteen years of age when the perpetrator, who is a licensed school employee, an unlicensed school employee, a school contract employee, a school health service provider or a school volunteer, and who is at least eighteen years of age and is at least four years older than the child and not the spouse of that child, learns, while performing services in or for a school that the child is a student in a school.

Whoever commits criminal sexual contact in the fourth degree is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

**30-6-3. Contributing to delinquency of minor.**

- A. Contributing to the delinquency of a minor consists of any person committing any act or omitting the performance of any duty, which act or omission causes or tends to cause or encourage the delinquency of any person under the age of eighteen years. Whoever commits contributing to the delinquency of a minor is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

**30-9-14. Indecent exposure.**

- A. Indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view.
- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits indecent exposure is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

**30-9-14.3. Aggravated indecent exposure.**

- A. Aggravated indecent exposure consists of a person knowingly and intentionally exposing his primary genital area to public view in a lewd and lascivious manner, with the intent to threaten or intimidate another person, while committing one or more of the following acts or criminal offenses:
- (1) exposure to a child less than eighteen years of age;
  - (2) assault, as provided in Section 30-3-1 NMSA 1978;
  - (3) aggravated assault, as provided in Section 30-3-2 NMSA 1978;
  - (4) assault with intent to commit a violent felony, as provided in Section 30-3-3 NMSA 1978;
  - (5) battery, as provided in Section 30-3-4 NMSA 1978;
  - (6) aggravated battery, as provided in Section 30-3-5 NMSA 1978;
  - (7) criminal sexual penetration, as provided in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978; or
  - (8) abuse of a child, as provided in Section 30-6-1 NMSA 1978.
- B. As used in this section, "primary genital area" means the mons pubis, penis, testicles, mons veneris, vulva or vagina.
- C. Whoever commits aggravated indecent exposure is guilty of a fourth degree felony.
- D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted for committing aggravated indecent exposure to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

**30-4-1. Kidnapping.**

- A. Kidnapping is the unlawful taking, restraining, transporting or confining of a person, by force, intimidation or deception, with intent:
- (1) that the victim be held for ransom;
  - (2) that the victim be held as a hostage or shield and confined against his will;
  - (3) that the victim be held to service against the victim's will; or
  - (4) to inflict death, physical injury or a sexual offense on the victim.
- B. Whoever commits kidnapping is guilty of a first degree felony, except that he is guilty of a second degree felony when he voluntarily frees the victim in a safe place and does not inflict great bodily harm upon the victim.

### **30-10-3 Incest.**

Incest consists of knowingly intermarrying or having sexual intercourse with persons within the following degrees of consanguinity: parents and children including grandparents and grandchildren of every degree, brothers and sisters of the half as well as of the whole blood, uncles and nieces, aunts and nephews.

Whoever commits incest is guilty of a third degree felony.

---

## **ARTICLE 6A**

### **SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF CHILDREN**

---

#### **30-6A-2. Definitions.**

As used in the Sexual Exploitation of Children Act [30-60A-1 to 30-60A-4 NMSA 1978]:

A. "prohibited sexual act" means:

- (1) sexual intercourse, including genital-genital, oral-genital, anal-genital or oral-anal, whether between persons of the same or opposite sex;
- (2) bestiality;
- (3) masturbation;
- (4) sadomasochistic abuse for the purpose of sexual stimulation; or
- (5) lewd and sexually explicit exhibition with a focus on the genitals or pubic area of any person for the purpose of sexual stimulation;

B. "visual or print medium" means:

- (1) any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer or electronically generated imagery; or
- (2) any book, magazine or other form of publication or photographic reproduction containing or incorporating any film, photograph, negative, slide, computer diskette, videotape, videodisc or any computer generated or electronically generated imagery;

C. "performed publicly" means performed in a place which is open to or used by the public; and

D. "manufacture" means the production, processing, copying by any means, printing, packaging or repackaging of any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age.

E. "obscene" means any material, when the content if taken as a whole:

- (1) appeals to a prurient interest in sex, as determined by the average person applying contemporary community standards;
- (2) portrays a prohibited sexual act in a patently offensive way; and
- (3) lacks serious literary, artistic, political or scientific value."

#### **30-6A-3. Sexual exploitation of children.**

(Effective 7-1-01)

A. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally possess any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

B. It is unlawful for a person to intentionally distribute any visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows or has reason to know that the obscene medium depicts any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such act and if that person knows

or has reason to know that one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony.

- C. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally cause or permit a child under eighteen years of age to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if that person knows, has reason to know or intends that the act may be recorded in any obscene visual or print medium or performed publicly. Any person who violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a second degree felony.
- D. It is unlawful for any person to intentionally manufacture any obscene visual or print medium depicting any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act if one or more of the participants in that act is a child under eighteen years of age. A person who violates the provisions of this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.
- E. The penalties provided for in this section shall be in addition to those set out in Section 30-9-11 NMSA 1978.

#### **30-6A-4. Sexual exploitation of children by prostitution.**

- A. Any person knowingly receiving any pecuniary profit as a result of a child under the age of sixteen engaging in a prohibited sexual act with another is guilty of a second degree felony, unless the child is under the age of thirteen, in which event the person is guilty of a first degree felony.
- B. Any person hiring or offering to hire a child over the age of thirteen and under the age of sixteen to engage in any prohibited sexual act is guilty of a second degree felony.
- C. Any parent, legal guardian or person having custody or control of a child under sixteen years of age who knowingly permits that child to engage in or to assist any other person to engage in any prohibited sexual act or simulation of such an act for the purpose of producing any visual or print medium depicting such an act is guilty of a third degree felony.

#### **30-9-1. Enticement of child.**

Enticement of child consists of:

- A. enticing, persuading or attempting to persuade a child under the age of sixteen years to enter any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 [30-9-1 to 30-9-9 NMSA 1978] of the Criminal Code; or
- B. having possession of a child under the age of sixteen years in any vehicle, building, room or secluded place with intent to commit an act which would constitute a crime under Article 9 of the Criminal Code.

Whoever commits enticement of child is guilty of a misdemeanor.

## Appendix C. Participating Law Enforcement Agencies

Agency Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Alamogordo Dept.of Public Safety	700 Virginia Avenue	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Albuquerque Police Department	400 Roma NW	Albuquerque	NM	87102
Angel Fire Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Angel Fire	NM	87710
Artesia Police Department	702 W. Chisum	Artesia	NM	88210
Aztec Police Department	201 W. Chaco	Aztec	NM	87410
Bayard Police Department	P.O. Box 788	Bayard	NM	88023
Belen Police Department	607 Becker Avenue	Belen	NM	87002
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 25927	Albuquerque	NM	87125
Bernalillo Police Department	P.O. Box 638	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Bloomfield Police Department	915 N. First St.	Bloomfield	NM	87413
Bosque Farms Police Department	P.O. Box 660	Peralta	NM	87042
Carlsbad Police Department	405 S. Halagueno	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Carrizozo Police Department	P.O. Box 828	Carrizozo	NM	88301
Catron County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 467	Reserve	NM	87830
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	One St. Marys Place, East Wing	Roswell	NM	88203
Cibola County Sheriff's Department	115 W. High St.	Grants	NM	87020
Cimarron Police Department	P.O. Box 654	Cimarron	NM	87714
Clovis Police Department	P.O. Box 862	Clovis	NM	88102
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	P.O. Box 39	Raton	NM	87740
Corrales Police Department	P.O. Box 707	Corrales	NM	87048
Cuba Police Department	P.O. 426	Cuba	NM	87013
Curry County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1043	Clovis	NM	88102
Dexter Police Department	P.O. Box 610	Dexter	NM	88230
Dona Ana County Sheriffs Office	750 Motel Blvd, Suite A	Las Cruces	NM	88007
Estancia Police Department	P.O. Box 166	Estancia	NM	87016
Eunice Police Department	P.O. Box 147	Eunice	NM	88231
Farmington Police Department	800 Municipal Drive	Farmington	NM	87401
Gallup Police Department	451 State Road 564	Gallup	NM	87301
Grant County Sheriff's Department	201 N. Cooper St.	Silver City	NM	88061
Grants Police Division, DPS	105 E. Roosevelt	Grants	NM	87020
Hatch Police Department	P.O. Box 220	Hatch	NM	87917
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	305 South Pyramid	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Hobbs Police Department	301 N. Dalmont	Hobbs	NM	88240
Hurley Police Department	P.O. Box 65	Hurley	NM	88043
Jal Police Department	P.O. Drawer W	Jal	NM	88252
Laguna Police Department	P.O. Box 194	Old Laguna	NM	87026
Las Cruces Police Department	P.O. Box 20000	Las Cruces	NM	88001
Las Vegas Police Department	318 Moreno Street	Las Vegas	NM	87701
Lea County Sheriff's Department	215 East Central	Lovington	NM	88260
Logan Police Department	P.O. Box 7	Logan	NM	88426
Lordsburg Police Department	206 S. Main	Lordsburg	NM	88045
Los Alamos Police Department	P.O. Box 30	Los Alamos	NM	87544
Los Lunas Police Department	P.O. Box 1209	Los Lunas	NM	87031
Lovington Police Department	213 S. Love	Lovington	NM	88260

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	2105 East Aztec	Gallup	NM	87301
Mora County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 659	Mora	NM	87732
Moriarty Police Department	P.O. Drawer 130	Moriarty	NM	87035
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	Route 11, Box 71	Santa Fe	NM	87501
Portales Police Department	1700 North Boston	Portales	NM	88130
Quay County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 943	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Questa Police Department	P.O. Box 260	Questa	NM	87556
Ramah-Navajo Police Department	Rt.2 Box 13	Ramah	NM	87321
Raton Police Department	P.O. Box 397	Raton	NM	87740
Red River Marshal's Office	P.O. Box 410	Red River	NM	87558
Rio Arriba County Sheriff	P.O. Box 1256	Espanola	NM	87532
Rio Rancho DPS	500 Quantum Road	Rio Rancho	NM	87124
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	1700 N. Boston`	Portales	NM	88130
Roswell Police Department	P.O. Box 1994	Roswell	NM	88201
Ruidoso Downs Police Department	P.O. Box 1560	Ruidoso Downs	NM	88346
Ruidoso Police Department	1085 Mechem Drive	Ruidoso	NM	88345
San Juan County Sheriff's Office	211 S. Oliver	Aztec	NM	87410
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 5219	Bernalillo	NM	87004
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	#35 Camino Justicia	Santa Fe	NM	87508
Santa Fe Police Department	2515 Camino Entrada	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Santa Rosa Police Department	141 South 5th Street	Santa Rosa	NM	88435
Sierra County Sheriff's Office	311 Date Street	T or C	NM	87901
Socorro County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 581	Socorro	NM	87801
Socorro Police Department	P.O. Box 992	Socorro	NM	87801
Springer Police Department	P.O. Box 746	Springer	NM	87747
State Police Alamogordo	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Albuquerque	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Clovis	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Deming	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Espanola	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Farmington	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Gallup	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Grants	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Hobbs	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Cruces	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Las Vegas	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Moriarty	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Raton	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Roswell	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Fe	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Santa Rosa	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Socorro	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Taos	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
State Police Tucumcari	DPS	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Taos Police Department	107 Civic Plaza Drive	Taos	NM	87571
Taos Pueblo Police Department	P.O. Box 1846	Taos	NM	87571
Tatum Police Department	P.O. Box 691	Tatum	NM	88267

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
Torrance County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 498	Estancia	NM	87016
Tucumcari Police Department	P.O. Box 1336	Tucumcari	NM	88401
Tularosa Police Department	703 St. Francis Drive	Tularosa	NM	88352
Valencia County Sheriff's Office	P.O. Box 1585	Los Lunas	NM	87031
Vaughn Police Department	P.O. Box 278	Vaughn	NM	88353



1. Agency Name \_\_\_\_\_
2. Quarter Reporting 1st  2nd  3rd  4th  Year: 2006
3. Total Number of criminal sexual penetration (CSP) incidents: (Add State Statutes 30-9-11 and 30-10-3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(If the offense incident report your officers use does not document sexual crimes by state statute, enter instead, the total number of CSP incidents perpetrated [add male and female adults and children]) \_\_\_\_\_
4. If known, of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many were:  
a) Sodomy \_\_\_\_\_ b) with an Object \_\_\_\_\_ c) Incest \_\_\_\_\_ d) Gang Related \_\_\_\_\_ e) Resulted in Homicide \_\_\_\_\_
- 5.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many *victims* were there? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female victims \_\_\_\_\_ b) Male victims \_\_\_\_\_
6. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number per *age group*:  
0-6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19-25 \_\_\_\_\_ 26-35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36-45 \_\_\_\_\_ 46-55 \_\_\_\_\_ 56-65 \_\_\_\_\_ 66+ \_\_\_\_\_ # Victim age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
7. Of the total number of CSP *victims* in **q.5a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:  
Caucasian \_\_\_\_\_ Hispanic \_\_\_\_\_ Native American \_\_\_\_\_ Asian/Pacific Islander \_\_\_\_\_  
Black \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ # Victim Ethnicity Unknown \_\_\_\_\_
- 8.a) Of the number of CSP incidents counted in **q.3**, how many total *offenders* were there? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many were: a) Female offenders \_\_\_\_\_ b) Male offenders \_\_\_\_\_
9. Of the number of CSP *offenders* in **q.8a**, give the number per *age group*:  
0-6 \_\_\_\_\_ 7-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-18 \_\_\_\_\_ 19-25 \_\_\_\_\_ 26-35 \_\_\_\_\_  
36-45 \_\_\_\_\_ 46-55 \_\_\_\_\_ 56-65 \_\_\_\_\_ 66+ \_\_\_\_\_ # Offender age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
10. Of the number of CSP offenders in **q.8a**, give the number of each *ethnicity*:  
Caucasian \_\_\_\_\_ Hispanic \_\_\_\_\_ Native American \_\_\_\_\_ Asian/Pacific Islander \_\_\_\_\_  
Black \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_ # Offender Ethnicity Unknown \_\_\_\_\_
- 11.a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many were perpetrated by a stranger to the victim? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) How many CSP incidents in **q.3** were perpetrated by someone who knew the victim? \_\_\_\_\_  
c) Of the number in **11b**, how many were a relative? \_\_\_\_\_
12. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved a weapon? \_\_\_\_\_ # with weapon use unknown \_\_\_\_\_
13. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved injury to the victim? \_\_\_\_\_ # injury unknown \_\_\_\_\_
14. a) Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many involved drugs/alcohol use? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, how many involved: Offender use only \_\_\_\_\_ Victim use only \_\_\_\_\_ Offender and Victim use \_\_\_\_\_
15. a) Of the number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3**, in how many of these did at least one child witness the event? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Number of CSP *incidents* in **q.3** where it is unknown if a child was present \_\_\_\_\_
16. a) What is the *total number of children* who witnessed the CSP incidents counted in **q.3**? \_\_\_\_\_  
b) Of these, number per age group: 0-5 \_\_\_\_\_ 6-9 \_\_\_\_\_ 10-12 \_\_\_\_\_ 13-17 \_\_\_\_\_ 18-21 \_\_\_\_\_ # age unknown \_\_\_\_\_
17. Of the number of CSP incidents in **q.3**, how many included a suspect arrest? \_\_\_\_\_

For the reporting quarter, please give the:

18. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact* (or statute 30-9-12) \_\_\_\_\_
19. Number of incidents of *criminal sexual contact of a minor* (or statute 30-9-13) \_\_\_\_\_
20. Number of incidents of *indecent exposure* (or 30-9-14 and 30-9-14.3) \_\_\_\_\_
21. Number of incidents of *sexual exploitation of children* (30-6A-3 and 30-6A-4) \_\_\_\_\_
22. Number of incidents of *enticement of child* (or statute 30-9-1) \_\_\_\_\_

**Quarterly Reports are due April 25th, July 25th, October 25th, and January 25th, 2007). Please send reports to: NMCSAAS, 3909 Juan Tabo Suite 6, Alb., NM 87111 or fax to (505) 883-7530. Call Betty Caponera, (505) 883-8020 for questions.**

**Appendix E. Rate and Rank of Law Enforcement Reported Criminal Sexual Penetration Incidents for Counties with Complete\* Reporting, 2006**

<b>County</b>	<b>Number of CSP Incidents Reported to Law Enforcement</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Rate Per 1000</b>	<b>Rank</b>
Bernalillo	517	615,099	.84	5
Catron	0	3,476	0	23
Chaves	29	62,474	.46	14
Cibola	37	27,481	1.3	2
Colfax	5	13,514	.37	18
Curry	50	45,513	1.1	4
Dona Ana	210	193,888	1.1	4
Eddy	30	51,815	.58	9
Guadalupe	7	4,365	1.6	1
Hidalgo	3	5,087	.59	8
Lea	47	57,312	.82	6
Lincoln	10	21,223	.47	13
Los Alamos	10	19,022	.53	12
McKinley	39	71,875	.54	11
Mora	0	5,151	0	23
Otero	12	62,744	.19	21
Quay	1	9,155	.11	22
Roosevelt	8	18,291	.44	15
San Juan	76	126,473	.60	7
San Miguel	36	29,325	1.2	3
Sandoval	32	113,772	.28	20
Santa Fe	82	142,407	.58	9
Sierra	6	12,669	.47	13
Socorro	7	18,240	.38	17
Taos	18	31,832	.56	10
Torrance	7	17,551	.40	16
Valencia	23	70,389	.33	19
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,302</b>	<b>1,850,143</b>	<b>.70</b>	

\* Complete reporting means that the law enforcement agency(s) from the largest city in the county reported a full year of sex crimes data for 2006.

## Appendix F. Service Provider Agencies

Agency Name	Address	City	Zip
Better Balance Counseling	1400 East 8 <sup>th</sup> St.	Alamogordo	88310
Border Area Mental Health	P.O. Box 1349	Silver City	88062
Community Against Violence	P.O. Box 169	Taos	87571
Community Counseling Center-PMS	P.O. Box 3239	Farmington	87401
Counseling Associates	P.O. Box 1978	Roswell	88202
Desert View Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Services	220 E. Chuska	Aztec	87470
El Refugio, Inc.	800 S. Robert St.	Silver City	88061
La Buena Vida	P.O. Box 1147	Bernalillo	87004
La Pinon Sexual Trauma Recovery	418 W. Griggs	Las Cruces	88005
Los Alamos Family Council	1505 15 <sup>th</sup> St., Suite A	Los Alamos	87544
Mental Health Resources-Clovis	1100 West Twenty-First	Clovis	88101
Mental Health Resources-Portales	300 East First St.	Portales	88130
Mental Health Resources-Tucumcari	P.O. Box 1121	Tucumcari	88401
NM Behavioral Health Institute at Las Vegas-CBS	700 Friedman	Las Vegas	87701
Rape Crisis Center of Central New Mexico	1025 Hermosa SE	Albuquerque	87108
Rio Rancho Family Health Center-PMS	1424 Deborah SE, Suite 101	Rio Rancho	87124
Santa Fe Rape Crisis Center	P.O. Box 6484	Santa Fe	87502
Sexual Assault Services of North West New Mexico	622 West Maple Suite I	Farmington	87401
Socorro Mental Health	1200 Highway 60 West	Socorro	87801
Southern New Mexico Human Development, Inc.SNMHD	P.O. Box 2285	Las Cruces	88004
Southwest Counseling Center	100 W. Griggs Ave.	Las Cruces	88001
Taos Colfax Community Services, Inc-Taos	Box 6952-413 Sipapu	Taos	87571
The Counseling Center-Alamogordo	1900 East 10th St.	Alamogordo	88310
Valencia Counseling Services, Inc.-Los Lunas	P.O. Box 518	Los Lunas	87031
Western NM Counseling-PMS Gallup	2025 East Aztec	Gallup	87301

*This form is to be completed by each therapist in each mental health/rape crisis center and their satellite offices for every client who presents or later discloses sexual assault/abuse. Please submit forms to: NMCSAP (505-883-8020), 3909 Juan Tabo NE, Suite 6, Albuquerque, NM 87111, by the tenth of every month.*

1. Name of Agency \_\_\_\_\_

2. Client Identifier \_\_\_\_\_

**A. Survivor Information**

3. Date of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident \_\_/\_\_/\_\_ (mo / yr)      4. Survivor Gender:  Male  Female

5. Survivor's Age at time of most recent sexual assault/abuse incident \_\_\_\_\_      6. Survivor's Current age \_\_\_\_\_

7. Survivor Ethnicity: (check one)  White (Non-Hispanic)  Hispanic  Mixed  Native American  
 Black  Asian  Unknown

8. Survivor Disability (check all that apply):  None  Visual  Mobility  Hearing  Physical  
 Emotional/Mental (prior to this incident)  Unknown

9. Did the survivor use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the most recent sexual assault incident?  
 Yes  No  Unknown

10. Did the survivor contract a sexually transmitted disease as a result of the most recent sexual assault?  
 Yes  No  Unknown

11. Did a pregnancy result from the most recent sexual assault?  Yes  No  Unknown

12. Did the survivor have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or as one directly victimized?  
 Yes  No  Unknown

13. Was client ever sexually assaulted/abused before this incident?  No (skip to q.15)  Yes (answer 13a or b)  
 Unknown (skip to q.15)

*If Yes to q.13 and,*

a) the client is a victim of *ongoing* sexual abuse, enter age at onset of sexual abuse \_\_\_\_\_. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14). If age at onset of ongoing sexual abuse is unknown, check:  Age Unknown (skip to q.15)

*If Yes to q. 13 and,*

b) the client is *not* a victim of *ongoing* abuse, enter age at time of prior incident of sexual assault/abuse \_\_\_\_\_. (If this age is under 18, go to q.14) If age at time of prior sexual assault is unknown, check:  Age Unknown (skip to q.15)

14. If the survivor experienced a prior sexual assault/abuse at any time before age 18, did the survivor ever become pregnant before age 18?  
 Yes (answer q.14b)  No  Unknown

14b. If Yes, was the pregnancy a result of the prior sexual assault?  Yes  No  Unknown

**B. Offender Information**

15. Number of offenders involved in the most recent sexual assault: (check one)  One  Two  Three  
 Four or more  Unknown

**If more than one offender in the most recent sexual assault, choose one offender to answer questions 16-27**

16. Offender Gender:  Male  
(check one)  Female

17. Offender Age: (check one)  5 and under  6-12  13-17  18-24  
 25-34  35-44  45-54  55-64  65+  Unknown

18. *Offender Ethnicity* (check one):  White (Non-Hispanic)  Hispanic  Native American  Black  
 Asian  Mixed  Unknown

19. *Did the offender use alcohol or drugs immediately prior to or during the current sexual assault incident?*  
 Yes  No  Unknown

20. *Did the offender have a history of domestic violence as a child, either as a witness or one directly victimized?*  
 Yes  No  Unknown

### C. Sexual Offense Information

21. *Type of Offense:* (check all that apply)  Penetration (includes: oral, anal, vaginal) - *please specify, if applicable:*  
 spousal rape  incest  date rape  gang rape

Attempted Penetration

Sexual Harassment

Fondling (no penetration)  Stalking  Indecent Exposure  Unknown

22. *Survivor/Offender Relationship* (check only one, either from 22a, 22b or 22c):

a) Known Relative Offender:  Father  Mother  Sister  Brother  Step-Brother  
 Grandfather  Grandmother  Step-mother  Step-father  Current spouse  
 Brother in law  Sister in Law  Cousin  Aunt  Uncle  Other

b) Known Non-Relative Offender:  Ex- spouse  Mom's boyfriend  Dad's girlfriend  
 Mom's lesbian partner  Dad's gay partner  Survivors lesbian/gay partner  
 Social acquaintance  New acquaintance  Employer  
 Clergy/spiritual leader  Health care provider  Friend  Teacher  
 Therapist  Boyfriend  Girlfriend  Co-worker  Other

c)  Stranger

23. *Was the offender the same ethnicity as the survivor?*  Yes  No  Unknown

24. *Type of Coercion/Weapon Used:* (check all that apply)

Knife  Physical Force  Verbal Threat  Manipulation  
 Gun  Other Weapon  Intentionally drugged by perpetrator  
 Other \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown

25. *Location of Most Recent Offense:* (check one)

Vehicle  Survivor's home  Offender's home  Other residence  
 Public Facility  Parking Lot  Workplace  School  
 Multiple locations  Other \_\_\_\_\_  Unknown

26. \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_  
city county state reservation or country outside of U.S.

27. *Time of most recent assault:*  Morning (6a.m.-noon)  Afternoon (12:01-6p.m.)  
 Evening (6p.m.-10p.m.)  Night (10:01p.m.-6a.m.)  Unknown

28. *The most recent sexual assault was reported by:* (check one)  Survivor  Therapist  Not Reported  
 Unknown  Other \_\_\_\_\_

29. *If reported, the most recent sexual assault was reported to* (check all that apply):

Social Services  Rape Crisis Center  ER/Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner  Law Enforcement  
 Other  Unknown

30. *Was medical treatment sought for injuries?*  Yes  No  Unknown

31. *Was rape kit evidence collection within 72 hours after assault?*  Yes  No  Unknown

32. *If known, survivor's family annual income at the time of the most recent incident* \_\_\_\_\_.  Income Unknown

## Appendix H. Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Programs

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>	<b>Zip</b>
Albuquerque SANE Collaborative	P.O. Box 37139	Albuquerque	NM	87106
Carlsbad Medical Center – SANE	2430 Pierce St.	Carlsbad	NM	88220
Gerald Champion Memorial Hospital (GCRMC) 12 Judicial District SANE Program GCRMC Unit	2669 N. Phoenix	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Las Cruces La Pinon SANE Project	418 West Griggs	Las Cruces	NM	88005
Otero County SANE Unit (Alamogordo)	2669 North Scenic Drive	Alamogordo	NM	88310
Plains Regional Medical (PRMC) SANE Unit (Clovis)	2100 Martin Luther King Blvd.	Clovis	NM	88101
Roosevelt County SANE Project	Roosevelt General Hospital, Hwy. 70	Portales	NM	88130
Roswell Esperanza House SANE Project	P.O. Box 1582	Roswell	NM	88203
Santa Fe St. Vincent SANE Program	St. Vincent Hospital	Santa Fe	NM	87505
Sexual Assault Services of NW NM (Farmington SANE)	622 W Maple, Suite 1	Farmington	NM	87401

## Appendix I. SANE Programs Patient Data Collection Form

1. Program/Agency Name: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Date of Exam \_\_\_\_\_
3. Patient Gender  
 Male                       Female                       Transgender                       Unknown
4. Patient Age \_\_\_\_\_                       Unknown
5. Patient Ethnicity/Race  
 Native American       Hispanic       African American       Asian  
 White (non-Hispanic)       Mixed Ethnicity/Race       Other       Unknown
6. Patient Disability  
 None       Visual                       Physical       Hearing       Mental       Cognitive  
 Other       Unknown                       Other Description \_\_\_\_\_
7. Victim/Offender Relationship  
 Family       Stranger       Acquaintance                       Brief Encounter                       Intimate Partner  
 Ex-Intimate Partner                       Date                       Other                       Unknown  
 Other Description \_\_\_\_\_
8. Number of Offenders \_\_\_\_\_                       Unknown
9. Offender Gender  
 Male                       Female                       Transgender                       Unknown
10. Offender Age \_\_\_\_\_                       Unknown
11. Type of Coercion  
 Firearm                       Knife                       Hate/Bias                       Stalking  
 Gang-related                       Physical Force                       Physical Intimidation       Verbal Threat  
 Manipulation                       Other                       Unknown       Other Description \_\_\_\_\_  
 Alcohol/Drugs
12. Patient Drug/Alcohol Use  
 Yes                       No                       Unknown

13. Location of Assault

- Victim's Home                       Offender's Home                       Vehicle                       Other  
 Unknown                       Other Description \_\_\_\_\_

14. Referral Source

- Police                       Rape Crisis Center                       Hospital                       EMS                       Friend  
 Relative                       School                       Self                       Other                       Unknown  
 Other Description \_\_\_\_\_

15. Referred To

- Law Enforcement                       Rape Crisis Center                       Community Mental Health Center  
 Other                       Unknown                       Other Description \_\_\_\_\_

16. Police Report Filed At Time Of Exam

- Yes                       No                       Unknown

17. Evidence Collected

- SAEK                       Clothes                       Photography                       Blood                       Urine  
 None                       Other                       Unknown  
 Other Description \_\_\_\_\_

18. Services Provided

- Pregnancy Prevention/Emergency Contraception                       STD/STI Treatment  
 Medical Exam/Physical Assessment                       Suicide Assessment  
 Other                       Unknown

19. Patient Currently Pregnant

- Yes                       No                       Unknown

20. Injuries Sustained By Patient

- Oral                       Rectal                       Vaginal                       Body-Head/Neck  
 Body-Extremities                       Body-Torso                       Strangulation  
 Other                       Unknown                       None noted

21. Patient County of Residence \_\_\_\_\_



# **SEX CRIMES IN NEW MEXICO VI:**

**An Analysis of 2006 Data from The New Mexico  
Interpersonal Violence Data Central Repository  
and *The Survey of Violence Victimization in New Mexico***

*SECTION FOUR: COUNTY TRENDS TABLES*

## Bernalillo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	517	551	1068
2003	517	536	1053
2004	460	527	987
2005	500	507	1007
2006	517	636	1153

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Albuquerque Police Department	430	407	379	408	442
Bernalillo County Sheriff's Office	74	101	74	76	57
Isleta Tribal Police	1	1	0	0	0
State Police Albuquerque	12	8	7	16	18
<b>County Total</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>460</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>517</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	517	577	208	61% (126)	18% (37)	22% (45)
2003	517	570	205	71% (146)	11% (23)	18% (36)
2004	460	512	195	69% (134)	13% (25)	18% (36)
2005	500	545	202	62% (126)	10% (20)	28% (56)
2006	517	559	197	65% (129)	11% (21)	24% (47)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	517	NR	59	24% (14)	8% (5)	68% (40)
2003	517	NR	62	18% (11)	10% (6)	73% (45)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
<b>2004</b>	460	NR	61	23% (14)	15% (9)	62% (38)
<b>2005</b>	500	NR	60	23% (14)	20% (12)	57% (34)
<b>2006</b>	517	466	55	16% (9)	18% (10)	65% (36)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
<b>2006</b>	559	552	86% (472)	14% (80)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
<b>2006</b>	466	466	457	10% (46)	90% (411)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	577	NR						
<b>2003</b>	570	NR						
<b>2004</b>	512	512	51% (259)	33% (168)	6% (31)	1% (6)	3% (17)	6% (31)
<b>2005</b>	545	542	52% (284)	30% (162)	5% (28)	1% (4)	5% (27)	7% (37)
<b>2006</b>	559	554	60% (332)	24% (131)	7% (40)	0% (1)	3% (16)	6% (34)

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2003	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2004	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2005	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
2006	466	465	52% (240)	26% (123)	4% (18)	0% (1)	8% (38)	10% (45)

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2002	517	150	29%	15%
2003	517	155	30%	17%
2004	460	143	31%	20%
2005	500	140	28%	18%
2006	514	112	22%	24%

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Bernalillo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Bernalillo	9%	14%	25%	9%	12%
NM	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Bernalillo	267	623	506	518	460

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	11	39	6	69	9	128
2003	4	21	1	15	2	30
2004	11	43	2	116	27	291
2005	5	37	3	122	24	315
2006	8	37	4	115	15	266

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	6	10	5	56	17	171
<b>2003</b>	1	1	0	11	6	53
<b>2004</b>	4	18	2	109	36	331
<b>2005</b>	4	19	3	109	26	346
<b>2006</b>	6	20	4	97	18	303

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	157	148	4	16	3	44	7	74

## Catron County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	0	0	0
2003	1	1	2
2004	0	0	0
2005	1	0	1
2006	0	0	0

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Catron County Sheriff's Department	0	1	0	1	0
County Total	0	1	0	1	0

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2004	0	0	0			
2005	1	1	1	100% (1)		
2006	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	1	1	1			100% (1)
2004	0	0	0			
2005	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2006	0	0	0			

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Victims</b>	<b>Total CSP Victims Gender Identified</b>	<b>Percent Female Victims</b>	<b>Percent Male Victims</b>
<b>2006</b>	0	0		

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Offenders</b>	<b>Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified</b>	<b>Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented</b>	<b>Percent Female Offenders</b>	<b>Percent Male Offenders</b>
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0		

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Victims</b>	<b>Total Ethnicity/Race Documented</b>	<b>Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims</b>	<b>Percent Hispanic Victims</b>	<b>Percent Native American Victims</b>	<b>Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims</b>	<b>Percent Black Victims</b>	<b>Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race</b>
<b>2002</b>	0	0						
<b>2003</b>	1	1	100% (1)					
<b>2004</b>	0	0						
<b>2005</b>	1	1	100% (1)					
<b>2006</b>	0	0						

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Offenders</b>	<b>Total Ethnicity/Race Documented</b>	<b>Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders</b>	<b>Percent Hispanic Offenders</b>	<b>Percent Native American Offenders</b>	<b>Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders</b>	<b>Percent Black Offenders</b>	<b>Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race</b>
<b>2002</b>	0	0						
<b>2003</b>	1	1	100% (1)					
<b>2004</b>	0	0						
<b>2005</b>	1	1		100% (1)				
<b>2006</b>	0	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury</b>	<b>Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured</b>	<b>Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury</b>	<b>Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico</b>
<b>2002</b>	0			15%
<b>2003</b>	1	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	0			20%
<b>2005</b>	1	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	0	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Catron County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Catron</b>	NR	NR	NR	100%	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Catron</b>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	<b>Children 12 and Under</b>		<b>Teens Ages 13-17</b>		<b>Adults Ages 18 and Older</b>	
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Chaves County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	56	65	121
2003	51	45	96
2004	57	64	121
2005	31	78	109
2006	29	92	121

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Chaves County Sheriff's Department	10	4	3	15	7
Dexter Police Department	2	0	1	0	0
Roswell Police Department	39	45	49	8	14
State Police Roswell	5	2	4	8	8
<b>County Total</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	56	6	6		50% (3)	50% (3)
2003	51	6	6		67% (4)	33% (2)
2004	57	5	35	57% (20)	37% (13)	6% (2)
2005	31	27	25	48% (12)	44% (11)	8% (2)
2006	29	29	10	10% (1)	50% (5)	40% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	56	NR	45	67% (30)	7% (3)	27% (12)
2003	51	NR	5		60% (3)	40% (2)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	57	NR	6			100% (6)
2005	31	24	22		32% (7)	68% (15)
2006	29	12	11	9% (1)	9% (1)	82% (9)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	29	11	91% (10)	9% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	29	27	13		100% (13)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	6	NR						
2003	6	NR						
2004	5	NR						
2005	27	25	36% (9)	64% (16)				
2006	29	11	27% (3)	73% (8)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	56	NR						
2003	51	NR						
2004	57	NR						
2005	31	24	46% (11)	42% (10)			8% (2)	4% (1)
2006	27	12	33% (4)	67% (8)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2002	56	NR		15%
2003	51	NR		17%
2004	57	2	4%	20%
2005	31	2	6%	18%
2006	2	1	50%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Chaves County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Chaves	4%	2%	7%	13%	100%
NM	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Chaves	32	14	97	7	9

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	4	8	0	8	0	10
2003	1	4	0	3	1	4
2004	7	23	4	14	0	15
2005	0	1	0	2	0	3
2006	0	2	0	4	0	2

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	1	0	6	4	20
<b>2003</b>	0	1	0	0	2	11
<b>2004</b>	0	2	2	9	12	64
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	2	0	5
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0	5	0	4

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	5	5	0	1	0	2	0	2

## Cibola County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	27	19	46
2003	37	30	67
2004	31	23	54
2005	31	30	61
2006	37	39	76

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Cibola County Sheriff's Department		3	3	1	5
Grants Police Department	15	22	11	19	19
Laguna Police Department	8	10	9	2	2
Ramah Navajo Police Department	4	2	8	4	5
State Police Grants				5	6
<b>County Total</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	27	22	30	37% (11)	30% (9)	33% (10)
2003	37	28	28	36% (10)	32% (9)	32% (9)
2004	31	31	31	65% (20)	26% (8)	10% (3)
2005	31	28	28	18% (5)	43% (12)	39% (11)
2006	37	39	33	42% (14)	30% (10)	27% (9)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	27	21	19		5% (1)	95% (18)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2003	37	25	27	19% (5)	19% (5)	63% (17)
2004	31	15	10		10% (1)	90% (9)
2005	31	31	23		22% (5)	78% (18)
2006	37	25	22	5% (1)	5% (1)	91% (20)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	39	33	88% (29)	12% (4)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	37	34	34	15% (5)	85% (29)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	22	22	55% (12)	9% (2)	36% (8)			
2003	28	28	29% (8)	39% (11)	32% (9)			
2004	31	22	23% (5)	14% (3)	64% (14)			
2005	28	27	22% (6)	33% (9)	44% (12)			
2006	39	32	41% (13)	13% (4)	47% (15)			

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	27	21	24% (5)	33% (7)	43% (9)			
<b>2003</b>	37	25	20% (5)	48% (12)	32% (8)			
<b>2004</b>	31	15	7% (1)	27% (4)	53% (8)			13% (2)
<b>2005</b>	31	31	19% (6)	35% (11)	45% (14)			
<b>2006</b>	34	25	28% (7)	32% (8)	40% (10)			

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	27	3	11%	15%
<b>2003</b>	37	4	11%	17%
<b>2004</b>	31	2	6%	20%
<b>2005</b>	31	10	32%	18%
<b>2006</b>	12	2	17%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Cibola County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Cibola</b>	7%	19%	19%	19%	44%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Cibola</b>	8	61	15	8	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	3	1	0	0	0	2
<b>2003</b>	10	24	0	13	1	7
<b>2004</b>	0	5	2	0	2	2



	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2005</b>	2	2	0	1	0	2
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	2	4	0	0	2	4
<b>2003</b>	10	38	0	5	10	33
<b>2004</b>	4	8	1	0	3	8
<b>2005</b>	1	5	0	1	1	4
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Colfax County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
<b>2002</b>	16	3	19
<b>2003</b>	16	5	21
<b>2004</b>	9	13	22
<b>2005</b>	15	13	28
<b>2006</b>	5	10	15

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Angel Fire Police Department	0	0	0	0	2
Cimarron Police Department			0	0	0
Colfax County Sheriff's Department	5	5	2	0	1
Raton Police Department	10	10	6	12	0
Springer Police Department				0	0
State Police Raton	1	1	1	3	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	16	6	16	87.5% (14)		12.5% (2)
<b>2003</b>	16	1	1		100% (1)	
<b>2004</b>	9	8	8	37.5% (3)	37.5% (3)	25% (2)
<b>2005</b>	15	14	14	43% (6)	36% (5)	21% (3)
<b>2006</b>	5	5	2			100% (2)

**D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	16	NR	0			
2003	16	NR	1			100% (1)
2004	9	8	8	12.5% (1)	12.5% (1)	75% (6)
2005	15	14	15		13% (2)	87% (13)
2006	5	3	6	17% (1)		83% (5)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	5	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	5	7	5		100% (5)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	6	NR						
2003	1	NR						
2004	8	7	29% (2)	71% (5)				
2005	14	12	17% (2)	83% (10)				
2006	5	2	100% (2)					

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	16	NR						
<b>2003</b>	16	NR						
<b>2004</b>	9	8	50% (4)	50% (4)				
<b>2005</b>	15	14	29% (4)	71% (10)				
<b>2006</b>	7	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	16	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	16	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	9	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	15	1	7%	18%
<b>2006</b>	5	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Colfax County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Colfax</b>	0%			7%	100%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Colfax</b>	3		3	11	49

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>2003</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>2004</b>	1	1	0	0	0	1
<b>2005</b>	1	6	0	2	1	1

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2006	5	13	6	6	0	13

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	0	0	0	1	0	1
2003	0	0	0	0	0	0
2004	1	1	0	0	0	1
2005	1	6	0	0	1	3
2006	1	0	0	0	11	33

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2006	32	28	1	8	3	6	0	10

## Curry County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	84	11	95
2003	53	35	88
2004	50	30	80
2005	41	43	84
2006	50	51	101

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Clovis Police Department	77	50	44	35	38
Curry County Sheriff's Office	7	2	2	3	7
State Police Clovis	0	1	4	3	5
<b>County Total</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	84	9	9	67% (6)	11% (1)	22% (2)
2003	53	40	40	42.5% (17)	45% (18)	12.5% (5)
2004	50	50	50	44% (22)	46% (23)	10% (5)
2005	41	25	24	33% (8)	54% (13)	12.5% (3)
2006	50	50	38	45% (17)	32% (12)	24% (9)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	84	NR	5			100% (5)
2003	53	39	41	5% (2)	36.5% (15)	58.5% (24)
2004	50	44	41		24% (10)	76% (31)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2005	41	17	17	6% (1)	6% (1)	88% (15)
2006	50	39	32		28% (9)	72% (23)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	50	38	71% (27)	29% (11)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	50	52	40	5% (2)	95% (38)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	9	NR						
2003	40	39	54% (21)	41% (16)			5% (2)	
2004	50	46	33% (15)	54% (25)			13% (6)	
2005	25	23	48% (11)	43% (10)			9% (2)	
2006	50	38	29% (11)	53% (20)		3% (1)	16% (6)	

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	84	NR						
2003	53	39	38% (15)	46% (18)			15% (6)	

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2004</b>	50	44	32% (14)	45% (20)			23% (10)	
<b>2005</b>	41	17	23.5% (4)	53% (9)			23.5% (4)	
<b>2006</b>	52	39	28% (11)	54% (21)			18% (7)	

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	84	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	53	3	6%	17%
<b>2004</b>	50	7	14%	20%
<b>2005</b>	41	0		18%
<b>2006</b>	36	23	64%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Curry County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Curry</b>	4%	32%	38%	10%	26%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Curry</b>	NR	26	20	18	25

NR = No Services Reported

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	3	6	0	8	0	6
<b>2004</b>	4	7	2	4	0	1
<b>2005</b>	0	9	0	1	0	8
<b>2006</b>	5	5	0	2	4	7

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	0	0	1	2	2	20
<b>2004</b>	0	0	0	2	6	10
<b>2005</b>	0	1	0	1	0	16
<b>2006</b>	0	1	0	0	9	13

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	20	18	4	4	0	2	2	6

## Dona Ana County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	176	248	424
2003	230	168	398
2004	270	78	348
2005	244	118	362
2006	210	134	344

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Dona Ana County Sheriff's Department	38	41	130	72	38
Hatch Police Department	0	0	2	0	1
Las Cruces Police Department	136	188	136	171	169
State Police Las Cruces	2	1	2	1	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>210</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	176	156	149	42% (63)	30% (45)	28% (41)
2003	230	172	164	38% (63)	33% (54)	29% (47)
2004	270	186	183	19% (35)	23% (42)	58% (106)
2005	244	305	303	15% (47)	21% (63)	64% (193)
2006	210	210	151	42% (63)	28% (43)	30% (45)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	176	176	73	1% (1)	22% (16)	77% (56)
2003	230	230	187	11% (20)	13% (24)	76% (143)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	270	270	212	4% (8)	14% (30)	82% (174)
2005	244	244	238	4% (9)	14% (33)	82% (196)
2006	210	128	89	24% (21)	13% (12)	63% (56)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	210	140	85% (119)	15% (21)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	210	128	89	9% (8)	91% (81)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	156	NR						
2003	172	137	48% (66)	50% (69)	1% (1)		1% (1)	
2004	186	127	42.5% (54)	55% (70)	1% (1)		1.5% (2)	
2005	305	241	34% (82)	66% (159)			1% (3)	
2006	191	102	33% (34)	65% (66)			2% (2)	

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	176	NR						
<b>2003</b>	230	170	52% (88)	43.5% (74)	0.5% (1)		4% (7)	
<b>2004</b>	270	180	36% (65)	49% (89)		1% (1)	14% (25)	
<b>2005</b>	244	204	24.5% (50)	70% (143)	0.5% (1)	1% (2)	4% (8)	
<b>2006</b>	128	70	46% (32)	46% (32)	1% (1)		7% (5)	

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	176	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	230	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	270	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	244	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Dona Ana County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Dona Ana</b>	0%	0%	1%		100%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Dona Ana</b>	116	190	168	202	336

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	5	34	2	30	7	34
<b>2003</b>	13	53	3	40	3	66
<b>2004</b>	13	37	1	38	3	65

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2005</b>	17	50	2	29	3	80
<b>2006</b>	14	73	1	57	4	96

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	4	9	2	30	8	59
<b>2003</b>	11	27	1	38	7	95
<b>2004</b>	9	23	3	36	5	82
<b>2005</b>	14	39	3	26	4	94
<b>2006</b>	12	63	2	55	10	131

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	169	138	4	33	0	26	3	72

## Eddy County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	4	1	5
2003	11	7	18
2004	35	31	66
2005	46	32	78
2006	30	36	66

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Artesia Police Department			6	13	6
Carlsbad Police Department	1	4	27	23	24
Eddy County Sheriff's Office	3	7	2	10	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>30</b>

NR = Eddy County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	4	3	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2003	11	7	7	28.5% (2)	28.5% (2)	43% (3)
2004	35	33	33	45% (15)	21% (7)	33% (11)
2005	46	36	35	37% (13)	20% (7)	43% (15)
2006	30	33	33	45% (15)	24% (8)	30% (10)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	4	3	3		67% (2)	33% (1)
2003	11	6	5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2004	35	34	31	3% (1)	19% (6)	77% (24)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2005	46	35	33		6% (2)	94% (31)
2006	30	25	25	4% (1)	24% (6)	72% (18)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	33	33	85% (28)	15% (5)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	30	28	26		100% (26)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2003	7	7	57% (4)	43% (3)				
2004	33	32	59% (19)	38% (12)	3% (1)			
2005	36	36	44% (16)	53% (19)	3% (1)			
2006	33	32	56% (18)	44% (14)				

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2003	11	6	33% (2)	67% (4)				
2004	35	34	47% (16)	50% (17)			3% (1)	

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2005</b>	46	35	34% (12)	63% (22)			3% (1)	
<b>2006</b>	28	25	60% (15)	32% (8)			8% (2)	

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	4	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	11	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	35	5	14%	20%
<b>2005</b>	46	4	9%	18%
<b>2006</b>	24	5	21%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Eddy County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Eddy</b>			23%	13%	20%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Eddy</b>	19	16	35	10	NR

NR = No Services Reported

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	7	0	7	0	1
<b>2003</b>	1	6	0	5	0	4
<b>2004</b>	2	13	0	8	0	8
<b>2005</b>	3	2	0	1	0	4
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	1	1	6	0	9
<b>2003</b>	1	1	0	2	0	12
<b>2004</b>	0	5	1	2	1	26
<b>2005</b>	0	1	1	0	2	6
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Grant County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	8	15	23
2003	5	7	12
2004	5	4	9
2005	0	2	2
2006	1	3	4

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Bayard Police Department	1	0	0	0	0
Grant County Sheriff's Department	1	3	3	0	1
Hurley Police Department	0	1	2	0	0
Santa Clara Police Department	1	0	0	0	0
Silver City Police Department	5	1	0	0	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>

NR = Silver City Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	8	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)	
2003	5	5	5	20% (1)	20% (1)	60% (3)
2004	5	4	4	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)
2005	0	0	0			
2006	1	1	1		100% (1)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	8	8	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2003	5	5	8		12.5% (1)	87.5% (7)
2004	5	5	3			100% (3)
2005	0	0	0			
2006	1	2	2		100% (2)	

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	1	1	100% (1)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	1	2	2		100% (2)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2003	5	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2004	4	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2005	0	0						
2006	1	1	100% (1)					

### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	8	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
<b>2003</b>	5	5	20% (1)	60% (3)			20% (1)	
<b>2004</b>	5	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
<b>2005</b>	0	0						
<b>2006</b>	2	2		50% (1)			50% (1)	

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	NR	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	5	2	40%	17%
<b>2004</b>	NR	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	0			18%
<b>2006</b>	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Grant County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Grant</b>	0%	20%	20%	NR	100%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Grant</b>	66	71	225	176	28

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	9	33	0	10	3	9
<b>2003</b>	11	23	0	17	1	10
<b>2004</b>	22	50	7	39	12	88

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2005</b>	18	33	4	20	8	90
<b>2006</b>	5	8	2	0	1	11

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	6	13	0	7	6	32
<b>2003</b>	5	5	1	4	5	45
<b>2004</b>	17	27	2	20	22	131
<b>2005</b>	8	22	7	9	15	114
<b>2006</b>	2	1	0	1	6	18

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	17	16	1	3	2	0	1	9

## Guadalupe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	5	20	25
2003	0	1	1
2004	0	1	1
2005	2	32	34
2006	7	15	22

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	NR	NR
Santa Rosa Police Department	0	0	0	0	2
State Police Santa Rosa	5	0	0	2	5
Vaughn Police Department			0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>

NR = Guadalupe County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	5	5	5	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)
2003	0	0	0			
2004	0	0	0			
2005	2	2	2	50% (1)		50% (1)
2006	7	7	6	50% (3)		50% (3)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	5		5		20% (1)	80% (4)
2003	0		0			

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	0		0			
2005	2		1		100% (1)	
2006	7		10	10% (1)		90% (9)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	7	7	86% (6)	14% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	7	10	10	20% (2)	80% (8)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	5	5		100% (5)				
2003	0	0						
2004	0	0						
2005	2	2		100% (2)				
2006	7	7	43% (3)	57% (4)				

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	5	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				
2003	0	0						

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2004</b>	0	0						
<b>2005</b>	2	2		100% (2)				
<b>2006</b>	10	8	25% (2)	50% (4)			25% (2)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	5	1	20%	15%
<b>2003</b>	0			17%
<b>2004</b>	0			20%
<b>2005</b>	2	1	50%	18%
<b>2006</b>	5	2	40%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Guadalupe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Guadalupe</b>	40%	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Guadalupe</b>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Hidalgo County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	2	4	6
2003	0	0	0
2004	0	0	0
2005	0	0	0
2006	3	2	5

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Hidalgo County Sheriff's Department	0	0	0	0	3
Lordsburg Police Department	2	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	2	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2003	0	0	0			
2004	0	0	0			
2005	0	0	0			
2006	3	3	3	1% (33)	2% (67)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	2	2	2	50% (1)		50% (1)
2003	0	0	0			
2004	0	0	0			
2005	0	0	0			

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2006	3	3	2		100% (2)	

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	3	3	100% (3)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	3	3	3		100% (3)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2003	0	0						
2004	0	0						
2005	0	0						
2006	3	0						

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	2	2		100% (2)				
2003	0	0						
2004	0	0						
2005	0	0						

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2006</b>	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	2	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	0			17%
<b>2004</b>	0			20%
<b>2005</b>	0			18%
<b>2006</b>	3	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Hidalgo County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Hidalgo</b>	50%	NR	NR	NR	33%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Hidalgo</b>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Lea County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement (LE)

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
<b>2002</b>	34	25	59
<b>2003</b>	49	23	72
<b>2004</b>	48	50	98
<b>2005</b>	38	30	68
<b>2006</b>	47	35	82

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Eunice Police Department	0	1	2	1	0
Hobbs Police Department	16	24	26	26	25
Jal Police Department	0	0	0	1	1
Lea County Sheriff's Department	14	16	16	7	16
Lovington Police Department	4	8	4	3	5
State Police Hobbs	0	0	0	0	0
Tatum Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>47</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	34	29	29	45% (13)	27.5% (8)	27.5% (8)
<b>2003</b>	49	51	50	36% (18)	36% (18)	28% (14)
<b>2004</b>	48	50	49	28.5% (14)	45% (22)	26.5% (13)
<b>2005</b>	38	38	38	29% (11)	37% (14)	34% (13)
<b>2006</b>	47	48	47	26% (12)	30% (14)	45% (21)

**D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	34	26	25	16% (4)	20% (5)	64% (16)
<b>2003</b>	49	49	50	4% (2)	30% (15)	66% (33)
<b>2004</b>	48	48	40	2.5% (1)	22.5% (9)	75% (30)
<b>2005</b>	38	38	35	3% (1)	20% (7)	77% (27)
<b>2006</b>	47	40	39		21% (8)	79% (31)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
<b>2006</b>	48	48	88% (42)	13% (6)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
<b>2006</b>	47	44	44		100% (44)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	29	29	62% (18)	34% (10)			3% (1)	
<b>2003</b>	51	49	60% (29)	38% (19)			2% (1)	
<b>2004</b>	50	48	62% (30)	35% (17)		2% (1)		
<b>2005</b>	38	38	39% (15)	58% (22)			3% (1)	
<b>2006</b>	47	48	67% (32)	25% (12)			8% (4)	

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	34	26	23% (6)	46% (12)		4% (1)	27% (7)	
<b>2003</b>	49	49	49% (24)	45% (22)			6% (3)	
<b>2004</b>	48	48	42% (20)	50% (24)			8% (4)	
<b>2005</b>	38	38	39% (15)	42% (16)			18% (7)	
<b>2006</b>	44	40	40% (16)	48% (19)			13% (5)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	34	2	6%	15%
<b>2003</b>	49	5	10%	17%
<b>2004</b>	48	1	2%	20%
<b>2005</b>	38	4	11%	18%
<b>2006</b>	33	7	21%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lea County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Lea</b>	12%	12%	6%	18%	28%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Lea</b>	2	42	11	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported



**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	2	0	0	0	0
<b>2003</b>	4	12	1	8	1	12
<b>2004</b>	1	5	0	2	0	1
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	1	0	1	0	0
<b>2003</b>	2	0	0	2	6	30
<b>2004</b>	1	0	0	0	0	10
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Lincoln County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	0	0	0
2003	12	1	13
2004	8	12	20
2005	9	6	15
2006	10	11	21

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Carrizozo Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln County Sheriff's Office		3	6	NR	NR
Ruidoso Downs Police Department			2	2	4
Ruidoso Police Department		9	0	7	6
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>

NR = Lincoln County Sheriff's Office Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	12	0	0			
2004	8	8	8	75% (6)	12.5% (1)	12.5% (1)
2005	9	10	10	10% (1)	70% (7)	20% (2)
2006	10	12	12	25% (3)	42% (5)	33% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	12	NR	0			

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	8	6	7	14% (1)	29% (2)	57% (4)
2005	9	9	11		27% (3)	73% (8)
2006	10	10	8			100% (8)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	12	12	83% (10)	17% (2)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	10	11	10		100% (10)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	0	0						
2003	0	NR						
2004	8	6	50% (3)	50% (3)				
2005	10	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				
2006	12	12	83% (10)	8% (1)	8% (1)			

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	0	0						

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2003	12	NR						
2004	8	6	50% (3)	50% (3)				
2005	9	9	44% (4)	56% (5)				
2006	11	10	50% (5)	40% (4)	10% (1)			

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2002	0			15%
2003	12	NR		17%
2004	8	NR		20%
2005	9	NR		18%
2006	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Lincoln County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Lincoln	NR	NR	38%	NR	100%
NM	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Lincoln	7	4	13	16	NR

NR = No Services Reported

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	0	4	0	0	1	1
2003	0	1	0	0	0	3
2004	0	1	0	1	1	10
2005	2	5	1	6	0	2
2006	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	0	0	1	1	5
<b>2003</b>	0	0	0	0	0	4
<b>2004</b>	0	0	0	0	1	12
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	0	3	13
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Los Alamos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	3	3	6
2003	4	2	6
2004	2	5	7
2005	4	8	12
2006	10	5	15

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Los Alamos Police Department	3	4	2	4	10
County Total	3	4	2	4	10

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	3	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)	
2003	4	1	1		100% (1)	
2004	2	4	4	75% (3)	25% (1)	
2005	4	4	4	50% (2)	50% (2)	
2006	10	10	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	3	3	2	50% (1)		50% (1)
2003	4	1	1		100% (1)	
2004	2	2	4	25% (1)	50% (2)	25% (1)
2005	4	3	3			100% (3)
2006	10	0	0			

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	10	0		

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	10	10	0		

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	3	100% (3)					
2003	1	1	100% (1)					
2004	1	2	50% (1)		50% (1)			
2005	4	4	100% (4)					
2006	10	0						

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	3	100% (3)					
2003	4	1	100% (1)					
2004	2	2	100% (2)					
2005	4	3	100% (3)					
2006	10	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury</b>	<b>Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured</b>	<b>Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury</b>	<b>Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico</b>
<b>2002</b>	3	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	4	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	2	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	4	1	25%	18%
<b>2006</b>	10	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Los Alamos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Los Alamos</b>	33%	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Los Alamos</b>	8	2	NR	NR	1

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	<b>Children 12 and Under</b>		<b>Teens Ages 13-17</b>		<b>Adults Ages 18 and Older</b>	
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>2002</b>	1	3	0	2	0	2
<b>2003</b>	1	1	0	0	0	0
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0

\* No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	1	0	0	0	6
<b>2003</b>	1	0	0	1	0	0
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0

\* No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

\*No Services Reported

## Luna County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	3	9	12
2003	0	3	3
2004	2	4	6
2005	3	9	12
2006	2	3	5

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
State Police Deming	3	0	2	3	2
County Total	3	0	2	3	2

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	3	1	1			100% (1)
2003	0	0	0			
2004	2	1	1			100% (1)
2005	3	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2006	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	3	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2003	0	0	0			
2004	2	1	0			
2005	3	3	3			100% (3)
2006	2	2	2			100% (2)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	2	2	2		100% (2)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	1	1	100% (1)					
2003	0	0						
2004	1	1		100% (1)				
2005	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2006	2	2		100% (2)				

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2003	0	0						
2004	2	1		100% (1)				
2005	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2006	2	2		100% (2)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	<b>Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury</b>	<b>Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured</b>	<b>Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury</b>	<b>Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico</b>
<b>2002</b>	3	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	0			17%
<b>2004</b>	2	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	3	1	33%	18%
<b>2006</b>	2	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Luna County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Luna</b>	33%	NR	NR	100%	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>Luna</b>	NR	2	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	<b>Children 12 and Under</b>		<b>Teens Ages 13-17</b>		<b>Adults Ages 18 and Older</b>	
	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>	<b>Male</b>	<b>Female</b>
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	1	0	0	1	0	0
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	0	0	0	0	1	1
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## McKinley County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	40	82	122
2003	40	48	88
2004	68	82	150
2005	39	61	100
2006	39	95	134

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Gallup Police Department	27	30	37	22	36
McKinley County Sheriff's Office	9	3	21	11	1
State Police Gallup	3	2	1	0	2
Zuni Police Department	1	5	9	6	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>

NR = Zuni Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	40	32	41	29% (12)	20% (8)	51% (21)
2003	40	43	43	39.5% (17)	14% (6)	46.5% (20)
2004	68	53	53	43% (23)	34% (18)	23% (12)
2005	39	30	29	24% (7)	34% (10)	41% (12)
2006	39	40	4		50% (2)	50% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	40	28	22	4.5% (1)	23% (5)	73% (16)
2003	40	32	27	7% (2)	19% (5)	74% (20)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	68	37	33	15% (5)	6% (2)	79% (26)
2005	39	21	15	7% (1)	20% (3)	73% (11)
2006	39	2	2			100% (2)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	40	4	100% (4)	

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	39	40	2	50% (5)	50% (1)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	32	31	22.5% (7)	3% (1)	71% (22)		3% (1)	
2003	43	40	7.5% (3)	10% (4)	82.5% (33)			
2004	53	50	24% (12)	16% (8)	58% (29)			2% (1)
2005	30	23	17% (4)	4% (1)	74% (17)	4% (1)		
2006	40	4	75% (3)		25% (1)			

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	40	28	10% (3)	18% (5)	68% (19)		4% (1)	
2003	40	32		13% (4)	84% (27)	3% (1)		

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2004</b>	68	37	11% (4)	13% (5)	73% (27)			3% (1)
<b>2005</b>	39	21	9.5% (2)	9.5% (2)	76% (16)		5% (1)	
<b>2006</b>	40	2	100% (2)					

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	40	3	8%	15%
<b>2003</b>	40	11	28%	17%
<b>2004</b>	68	8	12%	20%
<b>2005</b>	39	1	3%	18%
<b>2006</b>	40	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in McKinley County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>McKinley</b>	8%	8%	7%	28%	100%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>McKinley</b>	24	16	17	7	13

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	4	11	0	8	0	1
<b>2003</b>	1	2	0	2	1	9
<b>2004</b>	1	7	0	4	0	3
<b>2005</b>	0	1	0	2	0	4
<b>2006</b>	3	2	0	7	1	0



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	1	0	5	4	14
<b>2003</b>	0	1	1	1	1	11
<b>2004</b>	1	4	0	5	0	6
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	2	0	5
<b>2006</b>	1	0	0	3	3	6

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	10	10	1	1	0	7	1	0

\*No Services Reported

## Mora County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	0	0	0
2003	1	3	4
2004	0	0	0
2005	1	1	2
2006	0	0	0

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Mora County Sheriff's Department	0	1	0	1	0
Wagon Mound Police Department	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>

NR = Wagon Mound Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	1	1	1		100% (1)	
2004	0	0	0			
2005	1	1	1			100% (1)
2006	0	0	0			

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	1	1	1			100% (1)
2004	0	0	0			
2005	1	1	1			100% (1)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2006	0	0	0			

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	0	0		

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	0	0	0		

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	0	0						
2003	1	1	100% (1)					
2004	0	0						
2005	1	1	100% (1)					
2006	0	0						

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	0	0						
2003	1	1		100% (1)				
2004	0	0						
2005	1	1	100% (1)					

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2006</b>	0	0						

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	0			15%
<b>2003</b>	1	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	0			20%
<b>2005</b>	1	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	0			24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Mora County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Mora</b>	NR	NR	NR	100%	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Report

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Mora</b>	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Otero County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	31	44	75
2003	24	18	42
2004	15	15	30
2005	28	22	50
2006	12	22	34

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Alamogordo Department of Public Safety	26	17	7	15	10
Otero County Sheriff's Department		3	7	10	NR
State Police Alamogordo	3	2	1	0	1
Tularosa Police Department	2	2		3	1
<b>County Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>12</b>

NR = Otero County Sheriff's Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	31	24	24	46% (11)	17% (4)	37.5% (9)
2003	24	22	21	29% (6)	48% (10)	24% (5)
2004	15	8	8	37.5% (3)	25% (2)	37.5% (3)
2005	28	20	17	41% (7)	18% (3)	41% (7)
2006	12	13	12	58% (7)	8% (1)	33% (4)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	31	16	20	10% (2)	25% (5)	65% (13)
2003	24	22	21	10% (2)	24% (5)	67% (14)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	15	9	8		37.5% (3)	62.5% (5)
2005	28	16	16	19% (3)	6% (1)	75% (12)
2006	12	8	6		33% (2)	67% (4)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	13	10	90% (9)	10% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	12	10	9		100% (9)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	24	22	73% (16)	23% (5)			5% (1)	
2003	22	22	68% (15)	27% (6)			5% (1)	
2004	8	8	87.5% (7)	12.5% (1)				
2005	20	17	41% (7)	53% (9)			6% (1)	
2006	13	12	67% (8)	33% (4)				

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	31	16	50% (8)	19% (3)		6% (1)	25% (4)	
2003	24	22	41% (9)	36% (8)			23% (5)	

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2004</b>	15	9	33% (3)	33% (3)			33% (3)	
<b>2005</b>	28	16	25% (4)	69% (11)			6% (1)	
<b>2006</b>	10	8	63% (5)	38% (3)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	31	3	10%	15%
<b>2003</b>	24	2	8%	17%
<b>2004</b>	15	2	13%	20%
<b>2005</b>	28	4	14%	18%
<b>2006</b>	2	1	50%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Otero County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Otero</b>	10%	13%	13%	25%	14%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Otero</b>	39	40	155	47	77

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	16	0	7	2	10
<b>2003</b>	6	14	2	7	0	6
<b>2004</b>	18	45	3	37	1	22
<b>2005</b>	4	14	5	7	0	11
<b>2006</b>	9	22	3	15	2	17



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	0	0	2	3	33
<b>2003</b>	0	0	1	1	8	30
<b>2004</b>	1	3	3	11	18	116
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	4	10	28
<b>2006</b>	1	0	2	2	13	55

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	64	56	4	16	2	15	1	17

## Quay County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	0	2	2
2003	2	4	6
2004	3	3	6
2005	4	2	6
2006	1	11	12

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Logan Police Department			0	1	0
Quay County Sheriff's Office			2	2	0
San Jon Police Department	0	0	NR	NR	NR
State Police Tucumcari	0	2	1	1	1
Tucumcari Police Department					0
<b>County Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>

NR = San Jon Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			
2003	2	2	2		100% (2)	
2004	3	0	0			
2005	4	2	2			100% (2)
2006	1	1	1		100% (1)	

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	0	0	0			

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2003	2	1	1			100% (1)
2004	3	1	1			100% (1)
2005	4	2	2			100% (2)
2006	1	1	1		100% (1)	

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	1	1	100% (1)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	1	1	1		100% (1)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	0	0						
2003	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2004	0	NR						
2005	2	2	100% (2)					
2006	1	1	100% (1)					

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	0	0						
<b>2003</b>	2	1		100% (1)				
<b>2004</b>	3	1		100% (1)				
<b>2005</b>	4	2	100% (2)					
<b>2006</b>	1	1		100% (1)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	0			15%
<b>2003</b>	2	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	3	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	4	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	1	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Quay County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Quay</b>	0%	NR	NR	25%	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Quay</b>	NR	NR	NR	2	11

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	*	*	*	*	*	*
2003	*	*	*	*	*	*
2004	*	*	*	*	*	*
2005	0	1	0	0	0	0
2006	1	4	0	2	0	2

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	*	*	*	*	*	*
2003	*	*	*	*	*	*
2004	*	*	*	*	*	*
2005	0	0	0	0	1	1
2006	0	0	0	0	1	9

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2006	8	7	0	3	0	2	0	2

## Rio Arriba County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	19	39	58
2003	12	29	41
2004	15	40	55
2005	21	45	66
2006	32	51	83

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Espanola Police Department	6	4	3	NR	NR
Rio Arriba County Sheriff's Department	0	0	1	0	4
State Police Espanola	13	8	11	21	28
<b>County Total</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>32</b>

NR = Espanola Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	19	12	15	27% (4)	33% (5)	40% (6)
2003	12	6	6	33% (2)	67% (4)	
2004	15	12	15	47% (7)	13% (2)	40% (6)
2005	21	21	21	33% (7)	14% (3)	52% (11)
2006	32	34	28	18% (5)	25% (7)	57% (16)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	19	5	3		33% (1)	67% (2)
2003	12	6	9		56% (5)	44% (4)
2004	15	11	10		40% (4)	60% (6)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2005	21	9	9		22% (2)	78% (7)
2006	32	12	8			100% (8)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	34	29	72% (21)	28% (8)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	32	16	14	21% (3)	79% (11)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	12	11	18% (2)	82% (9)				
2003	6	9	11% (1)	44% (4)	33% (3)			11% (1)
2004	12	8		100% (8)				
2005	21	16	25% (4)	69% (11)				6% (1)
2006	34	29	17% (5)	72% (21)	10% (3)			

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	19	5		100% (5)				
2003	12	6		100% (6)				
2004	15	11		100% (11)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2005</b>	21	9	11% (1)	89% (8)				
<b>2006</b>	16	12	8% (1)	92% (11)				

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	19	2	11%	15%
<b>2003</b>	12	1	8%	17%
<b>2004</b>	15	5	33%	20%
<b>2005</b>	21	5	24%	18%
<b>2006</b>	28	5	18%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Rio Arriba County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Rio Arriba</b>	11%	8%	0%	5%	50%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Rio Arriba</b>	47	27	14	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	5	11	0	7	3	20
<b>2003</b>	2	8	0	4	2	9
<b>2004</b>	0	2	0	4	0	6
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	2	4	0	0	3	34
<b>2003</b>	1	4	0	1	4	16
<b>2004</b>	0	0	0	1	0	12
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Roosevelt County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	1	0	1
2003	5	6	11
2004	13	14	27
2005	3	1	4
2006	8	11	19

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Portales Police Department	NR	1	9	NR	6
Roosevelt County Sheriff's Office	1	4	4	3	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>

NR = Portales Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	1	1	1			100% (1)
2003	5	4	3		67% (2)	33% (1)
2004	13	4	4	25% (1)	75% (3)	
2005	3	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)	
2006	8	8	2	50% (1)		50% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	1	1	1			100% (1)
2003	5	4	3			100% (3)
2004	13	4	4		50% (2)	50% (2)
2005	3	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2006	8	2	2			100% (2)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	8	2	100% (2)	

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	8	8	2		100% (2)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	1	1	100% (1)					
2003	4	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				
2004	4	4	50% (2)	25% (1)				25% (1)
2005	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				
2006	8	2	100% (2)					

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	1	1	100% (1)					
2003	5	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				
2004	13	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2005	3	3	33% (1)	67% (2)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2006</b>	8	2	100% (2)					

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	1	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	5	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	13	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	3	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	8	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Roosevelt County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Roosevelt</b>	0%	60%	15%	67%	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Roosevelt</b>	29	5	7	12	2

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	3	11	0	6	1	4
<b>2003</b>	0	2	0	0	0	3
<b>2004</b>	0	3	0	3	0	1
<b>2005</b>	0	4	0	4	0	4
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	2	0	1	3	20
<b>2003</b>	0	1	0	0	0	4
<b>2004</b>	0	0	0	2	0	5
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	0	0	11
<b>2006</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2

## San Juan County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
<b>2002</b>	54	56	110
<b>2003</b>	49	61	110
<b>2004</b>	71	44	115
<b>2005</b>	115	120	235
<b>2006</b>	76	71	147

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Aztec Police Department	5	4	4	4	6
Bloomfield Police Department	8	8	16		9
Farmington Police Department	38	37	51	68	49
San Juan County Sheriff's Office				35	7
State Police Farmington	3	0	0	8	5
<b>County Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>76</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	54	42	42	36% (15)	40% (17)	24% (10)
<b>2003</b>	49	55	55	35% (19)	36% (20)	29% (16)
<b>2004</b>	71	65	20	35% (7)	45% (9)	20% (4)
<b>2005</b>	115	54	54	41% (22)	39% (21)	20% (11)
<b>2006</b>	76	83	83	20% (17)	39% (32)	41% (34)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	54	37	34		24% (8)	76% (26)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2003	49	43	44	9% (4)	23% (10)	68% (30)
2004	71	23	22	18% (4)	14% (3)	68% (15)
2005	115	24	42	2% (1)	31% (13)	67% (28)
2006	76	67	54	7% (4)	15% (8)	78% (42)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	83	83	92% (76)	8% (7)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	76	79	78	3% (2)	97% (76)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	42	41	59% (24)	17% (7)	24% (10)			
2003	55	49	57% (28)	16% (8)	27% (13)			
2004	65	20	65% (13)	35% (7)				
2005	54	51	45% (23)	24% (12)	31% (16)			
2006	83	83	43% (36)	20% (17)	35% (29)	1% (1)		

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	54	37	40% (15)	35% (13)	13% (5)		11% (4)	

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2003</b>	49	43	51% (22)	23% (10)	26% (11)			
<b>2004</b>	71	23	52% (12)	39% (9)	9% (2)			
<b>2005</b>	115	24	57% (25)	20% (9)	23% (10)			
<b>2006</b>	79	67	40% (27)	33% (22)	25% (17)		1% (1)	

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	54	3	6%	15%
<b>2003</b>	49	5	10%	17%
<b>2004</b>	71	3	4%	20%
<b>2005</b>	115	12	10%	18%
<b>2006</b>	52	13	25%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Juan County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>San Juan</b>	26%	0%	8%	10%	27%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>San Juan</b>	115	144	181	180	164

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	18	64	1	18	0	11
<b>2003</b>	17	58	1	26	3	24
<b>2004</b>	15	70	1	41	3	44
<b>2005</b>	16	72	3	23	3	51
<b>2006</b>	14	47	4	43	2	49



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	12	31	1	22	6	42
<b>2003</b>	10	20	2	11	10	79
<b>2004</b>	9	43	3	27	7	89
<b>2005</b>	15	58	2	20	8	74
<b>2006</b>	11	36	2	36	7	68

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	123	118	7	28	4	36	1	42

## San Miguel County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	31	56	87
2003	34	31	65
2004	31	38	69
2005	20	29	49
2006	36	40	76

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Las Vegas Police Department	23	25	17	15	24
State Police Las Vegas	8	9	14	5	12
<b>County Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>36</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	31	30	30	13% (4)	43% (13)	43% (13)
2003	34	29	29	21% (6)	34% (10)	45% (13)
2004	31	31	31	19% (6)	48% (15)	32% (10)
2005	20	21	20	20% (4)	20% (4)	60% (12)
2006	36	36	36	19% (7)	39% (14)	42% (15)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	31	18	19		16% (3)	84% (16)
2003	34	25	20	5% (1)	15% (3)	80% (16)
2004	31	22	22	14% (3)	23% (5)	64% (14)
2005	20	19	18		28% (5)	72% (13)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2006	36	33	29		34% (10)	66% (19)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	36	36	92% (33)	8% (3)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	36	34	33	6% (2)	94% (31)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	30	27	22% (6)	78% (21)				
2003	29	28	11% (3)	89 (25)				
2004	31	26	19% (5)	81% (21)				
2005	21	19	5% (1)	95% (18)				
2006	36	35	3% (1)	91% (32)		3% (1)		3% (1)

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	31	18	11% (2)	89% (16)				
2003	34	25	4% (1)	96% (24)				
2004	31	22	5% (1)	95% (21)				
2005	20	19		100% (19)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2006</b>	34	33	3% (1)	82% (27)			6% (2)	9% (3)

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	31	3	10%	15%
<b>2003</b>	34	2	6%	17%
<b>2004</b>	31	4	13%	20%
<b>2005</b>	20	2	10%	18%
<b>2006</b>	16	3	19%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in San Miguel County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>San Miguel</b>	16%	9%	19%	30%	19%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>San Miguel</b>	43	63	54	75	33

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	5	9	0	10	0	16
<b>2003</b>	4	25	1	11	2	14
<b>2004</b>	4	20	0	9	1	5
<b>2005</b>	11	17	3	17	0	20
<b>2006</b>	2	6	2	12	2	5

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	2	6	0	4	3	28
<b>2003</b>	1	7	1	5	7	41
<b>2004</b>	1	5	2	12	4	30
<b>2005</b>	5	7	3	10	6	44
<b>2006</b>	2	0	2	11	2	15

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	20	18	0	4	2	6	2	4

## Sandoval County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
<b>2002</b>	54	32	86
<b>2003</b>	54	33	87
<b>2004</b>	11	8	19
<b>2005</b>	31	32	63
<b>2006</b>	32	59	91

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Bernalillo Police Department	3	3	2	2	9
Corrales Police Department	1	2	2	0	3
Cuba Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Rio Rancho Department of Public Safety	44	40	2	18	16
Sandoval County Sheriff's Office	6	9	5	11	4
<b>County Total</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	54	52	51	29% (15)	43% (22)	28% (14)
<b>2003</b>	54	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
<b>2004</b>	11	11	11	27% (3)	55% (6)	18% (2)
<b>2005</b>	31	10	10	20% (2)	60% (6)	20% (2)
<b>2006</b>	32	32	4	50% (2)	25% (1)	25% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
<b>2002</b>	54	41	44	7% (3)	39% (17)	54% (24)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2003	54	NR	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2004	11	9	9			100% (9)
2005	31	9	6		17% (1)	83% (5)
2006	32	4	3			100% (3)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	32	4	75% (3)	25% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	32	33	5	20% (1)	80% (4)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	54	45	60% (27)	29% (13)	2% (1)	4% (2)	4% (2)	
2003	54	NR						
2004	11	11	36% (4)	36% (4)	27% (3)			
2005	31	10	90% (9)	10% (1)				
2006	32	4	25% (1)	75% (3)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2002</b>	54	41	49% (20)	39% (16)	5% (2)		7% (3)	
<b>2003</b>	54	NR						
<b>2004</b>	11	9	22% (2)	33% (3)	44% (4)			
<b>2005</b>	31	9	89% (8)	11% (1)				
<b>2006</b>	33	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	54	1	2%	15%
<b>2003</b>	54	1	2%	17%
<b>2004</b>	11	3	27%	20%
<b>2005</b>	31	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	1	1	100%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sandoval County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Sandoval</b>	35%	0%	3%	7%	100%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Sandoval</b>	24	19	7	5	20

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	4	7	0	6	1	6
<b>2003</b>	2	4	0	4	1	8
<b>2004</b>	2	1	0	2	0	0
<b>2005</b>	0	1	0	2	0	2



	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	1	6	2	4	2	5

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	2	0	0	4	17
<b>2003</b>	0	0	0	0	3	15
<b>2004</b>	1	0	0	1	1	3
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	1	0	4
<b>2006</b>	0	1	1	2	3	12

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	19	19	1	6	2	3	1	6

## Santa Fe County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	75	99	174
2003	92	115	207
2004	84	136	220
2005	105	129	234
2006	92	99	181

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Pojoaque Tribal Police Department	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department	27	26	16	37	27
Santa Fe Police Department	42	56	46	52	37
State Police Santa Fe	6	10	22	16	18
<b>County Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>82</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	75	50	50	18% (9)	32% (16)	50% (25)
2003	92	66	66	32% (21)	36% (24)	32% (21)
2004	84	86	84	23% (19)	43% (36)	34% (29)
2005	105	109	106	28% (30)	33% (35)	39% (41)
2006	82	84	81	22% (18)	28% (23)	49% (40)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	75	35	20		15% (3)	85% (17)
2003	92	50	53	11% (6)	15% (8)	74% (39)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	84	63	53		28% (15)	72% (38)
2005	105	83	67	4% (3)	15% (10)	81% (54)
2006	82	69	69	1% (1)	20% (14)	78% (54)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	84	82	87% (71)	13% (11)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	82	86	83	4% (3)	96% (80)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	75	50	42% (21)	50% (25)	6% (3)		2% (1)	
2003	92	66	39% (26)	56% (37)	5% (3)			
2004	84	73	21% (15)	74% (54)	4% (3)		1% (1)	
2005	105	104	15% (16)	70% (73)	3% (3)			12% (12)
2006	84	77	38% (29)	61% (47)			1% (1)	

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	75	35	29% (10)	66% (23)	3% (1)		3% (1)	
2003	92	50	34% (17)	66% (33)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2004</b>	84	63	13% (8)	78% (49)	6% (4)		3% (2)	
<b>2005</b>	105	83	10% (8)	81% (67)				10% (8)
<b>2006</b>	86	69	29% (20)	67% (46)	3% (2)		1% (1)	

**I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	75	7	9%	15%
<b>2003</b>	92	13	14%	17%
<b>2004</b>	84	12	14%	20%
<b>2005</b>	105	10	10%	18%
<b>2006</b>	51	10	20%	24%

**J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Santa Fe County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Santa Fe</b>	7%	8%	7%	10%	26%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

**K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005**

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Santa Fe</b>	42	76	99	125	116

**L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	1	18	4	11	0	8
<b>2003</b>	6	31	0	12	2	21
<b>2004</b>	9	40	2	21	0	22
<b>2005</b>	19	38	5	20	2	41
<b>2006</b>	17	27	1	19	3	46

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	9	0	7	4	21
<b>2003</b>	5	13	1	13	2	39
<b>2004</b>	6	29	0	13	5	44
<b>2005</b>	9	24	5	16	12	59
<b>2006</b>	12	15	1	16	8	64

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	79	77	5	19	2	14	0	37

## Sierra County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	10	2	12
2003	5	5	10
2004	5	5	10
2005	1	0	1
2006	6	5	11

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Sierra County Sheriff's Office				1	4
Truth or Consequences Police Department	10	5	5		2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	10	NR	0			
2003	5	NR	0			
2004	5	NR	0			
2005	1	1	1			100% (1)
2006	6	7	4	75% (3)	25% (1)	

NR = Total CSP Victims Not Reported

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	10	NR	0			
2003	5	NR	0			
2004	5	NR	0			
2005	1	NR	1			100% (1)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2006	6	3	3			100% (3)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	7	4	50% (2)	50% (2)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	6	6	3		100% (3)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	10	NR						
2003	5	NR						
2004	5	NR						
2005	1	1		100% (1)				
2006	7	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	10	NR						
2003	5	NR						
2004	5	NR						

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2005</b>	1	NR						
<b>2006</b>	6	3	100% (3)					

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	10	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	5	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	5	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	1	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	6	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Sierra County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Sierra</b>	NR	NR	NR	NR	50%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Sierra</b>	6	58	34	13	NR

NR = No Services Reported

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	2	0	3	0	1
<b>2003</b>	7	26	2	5	0	15
<b>2004</b>	2	10	2	3	2	13
<b>2005</b>	0	2	1	3	0	7
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	0	0	1	0	5
<b>2003</b>	1	1	0	2	7	43
<b>2004</b>	1	4	1	5	4	17
<b>2005</b>	1	1	0	2	1	8
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Socorro County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	4	5	9
2003	2	3	5
2004	4	2	6
2005	13	32	45
2006	7	17	24

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Socorro County Sheriff's Department	NR	NR	NR	2	0
Socorro Police Department	4	2	4	9	5
State Police Socorro	0	0	0	2	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>

NR = Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	4	5	5	60% (3)	20% (1)	20% (1)
2003	2	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)	
2004	4	4	4	25% (1)	25% (1)	50% (2)
2005	13	2	1		100% (1)	
2006	7	8	3	33% (1)		67% (2)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	4	4	3			100% (3)
2003	2	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2004	4	3	3		33% (1)	67% (2)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2005	13	NR	1			100% (1)
2006	7	1	0			

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	8	3	33% (1)	67% (2)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	7	7	1		100% (1)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	4	4		75% (3)	25% (1)			
2003	2	2	50% (1)	50% (1)				
2004	4	4	50% (2)	50% (2)				
2005	13	NR	100% (1)					
2006	8	2	100% (2)					

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	4	4		75% (3)	25% (1)			
2003	2	2		100% (2)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2004</b>	4	3		100% (3)				
<b>2005</b>	13	NR						
<b>2006</b>	7	1		100% (1)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	4	NR		15%
<b>2003</b>	2	NR		17%
<b>2004</b>	4	1	25%	20%
<b>2005</b>	13	NR		18%
<b>2006</b>	7	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Socorro County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Socorro</b>	25%	50%	25%	NR	NR
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Socorro</b>	22	27	23	23	6

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	5	9	1	3	0	3
<b>2003</b>	2	16	0	4	0	4
<b>2004</b>	3	10	0	7	0	3
<b>2005</b>	2	9	0	4	0	5
<b>2006</b>	0	1	0	2	0	3

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	2	0	0	2	4	13
<b>2003</b>	2	1	0	2	0	22
<b>2004</b>	0	3	0	2	3	15
<b>2005</b>	0	0	2	2	1	18
<b>2006</b>	0	1	0	0	0	5

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	6	6	0	1	0	2	0	3

\*No Services Reported

## Taos County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	10	31	41
2003	19	29	48
2004	11	21	32
2005	9	20	29
2006	18	37	55

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Questa Police Department			1	0	0
Red River Marshal's Office				1	3
State Police Taos	6	12	4	6	10
Taos Police Department	4	4	5	2	4
Taos Pueblo Police Department	0	3	1	0	1
<b>County Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	10	6	6	67% (4)	17% (1)	17% (1)
2003	19	13	13	46% (6)	15% (2)	38% (5)
2004	11	6	6	33% (2)	50% (3)	17% (1)
2005	9	8	7	28.5% (2)	28.5% (2)	43% (3)
2006	18	17	7	14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	10	4	3			100% (3)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2003	19	8	8			100% (8)
2004	11	4	6	33% (2)		67% (4)
2005	9	5	5	20% (1)		80% (4)
2006	18	6	7	14% (1)	14% (1)	71% (5)

**E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	18	10	90% (9)	10% (1)

**F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	18	15	8		100% (8)

**G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	10	5	60% (3)	40% (2)				
2003	19	10	50% (5)	50% (5)				
2004	11	6	17% (1)	67% (4)	17% (1)			
2005	9	6	50% (3)	50% (3)				
2006	18	9		89% (8)	11% (1)			

**H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement**

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	10	4	75% (3)	25% (1)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
<b>2003</b>	19	8	25% (2)	75% (6)				
<b>2004</b>	11	4		75% (3)	25% (1)			
<b>2005</b>	9	5		80% (4)	20% (1)			
<b>2006</b>	15	6		83% (5)	17% (1)			

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
<b>2002</b>	10	2	20%	15%
<b>2003</b>	19	3	16%	17%
<b>2004</b>	11	NR		20%
<b>2005</b>	9	2	22%	18%
<b>2006</b>	10	4	40%	24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Taos County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Taos</b>	0%	0%	NR	NR	33%
<b>NM</b>	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
<b>Taos</b>	48	75	94	86	77

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	12	18	2	5	1	5
<b>2003</b>	9	20	1	9	3	20
<b>2004</b>	2	24	2	24	1	37
<b>2005</b>	4	25	0	18	0	35
<b>2006</b>	5	17	2	23	2	27



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	0	2	0	1	14	28
<b>2003</b>	0	0	1	3	13	56
<b>2004</b>	0	4	0	9	6	73
<b>2005</b>	0	4	0	6	5	70
<b>2006</b>	5	6	2	13	2	48

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	71	69	3	15	2	23	2	24

\*No Services Reported

## Torrance County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	8	16	24
2003	3	2	5
2004	6	2	8
2005	9	17	26
2006	7	21	28

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Estancia Police Department	NR	NR	NR	0	0
Moriarty Police Department	NR	2	6	4	3
State Police Moriarty	8	1	0	3	2
Torrance County Sheriff's Department	NR	NR	NR	2	2
<b>County Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>

NR = Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	8	8	8	37.5% (3)		62.5% (5)
2003	3	3	3			100% (3)
2004	6	2	2	100% (2)		
2005	9	10	10	40% (4)	30% (3)	30% (3)
2006	7	7	5	40% (2)	40% (2)	20% (1)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	8	7	6	17% (1)	33% (2)	50% (3)
2003	3	3	3			100% (3)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	6	2	2		50% (1)	50% (1)
2005	9	9	8		25% (2)	75% (6)
2006	7	5	5			100% (5)

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	7	5	60% (3)	40% (2)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	7	7	5		100% (5)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	8	7	43% (3)	43% (3)	14% (1)			
2003	3	3	100% (3)					
2004	6	2	100% (2)					
2005	9	8	62.5% (5)	25% (2)	12.5% (1)			
2006	7	5	80% (4)	20% (1)				

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	8	7	43% (3)	57% (4)				
2003	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2004	6	2	100% (2)					
2005	9	9	56% (5)	33% (3)		11% (1)		
2006	7	5	20% (1)	80% (4)				

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2002	8	4	50%	15%
2003	3	NR		17%
2004	6	NR		20%
2005	9	1	11%	18%
2006	7	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Torrance County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Torrance	25%	0%	NR	11%	NR
NM	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Torrance	NR	4	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	*	*	*	*	*	*
2003	0	0	0	0	0	2
2004	*	*	*	*	*	*
2005	*	*	*	*	*	*
2006	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	0	1	0	0	0	2
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Union County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	3	5	8
2003	0	0	0
2004	3	0	3
2005	4	4	8
2006	NR	NR	NR

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Clayton Police Department	3	0	3	4	NR
<b>County Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	

NR = Clayton Police Department Did Not Report

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	3	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)	
2003	0	0	0			
2004	3	NR	0			
2005	4	NR	0			
2006	NR	NR	NR			

NR = Law Enforcement Did Not Report

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	3	3	3	67% (2)	33% (1)	
2003	0	0	0			
2004	3	NR	0			

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2005	4	NR	0			
2006	NR	NR	NR			

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	NR	NR		

NR = Victim Gender Not Reported

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	NR	NR	NR		

NR = Offender Gender Not Reported

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	3	33% (1)	66% (2)				
2003	0	0						
2004	3	NR						
2005	4	NR						
2006	NR	NR						

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	3	3	33% (1)	66% (2)				

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2003	0	0						
2004	3	NR						
2005	4	NR						
2006	NR	NR						

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2002	3	NR		15%
2003	0			17%
2004	3	NR		20%
2005	4	NR		18%
2006		NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Union County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Union	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
NM	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

NR = Suspect Arrest Not Reported

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Union	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

NR = No Services Reported

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	*	*	*	*	*	*
2003	*	*	*	*	*	*
2004	*	*	*	*	*	*
2005	*	*	*	*	*	*
2006	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported



**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2003</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2004</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2005</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

\*No Services Reported

## Valencia County Sex Crimes Trends, 2002-2006

### A. Number of Sex Crimes Reported to Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Crimes Reported to LE	Total Non-Penetration Sex Crimes Reported to LE	Total Sex Crimes Reported to LE
2002	21	18	39
2003	23	26	49
2004	33	52	85
2005	32	51	83
2006	23	29	52

### B. Number of CSP Incidents Reported by Law Enforcement Agency

Law Enforcement Agency	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Belen Police Department	5	5	5	5	4
Bosque Farms Police Department	2	3	1	2	0
Los Lunas Police Department		5	7	5	8
Valencia County Sheriff's Department	14	10	20	20	11
<b>County Total</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>23</b>

### C. Age of CSP Victims as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Reports With Victim Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Victims (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Victims (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Victims (19 and Over)
2002	21	19	17	47% (8)	29% (5)	24% (4)
2003	23	20	15	27% (4)	40% (6)	33% (5)
2004	33	13	13	54% (7)	23% (3)	23% (3)
2005	32	37	36	42% (15)	36% (13)	22% (8)
2006	23	25	22	45% (10)	27% (6)	27% (6)

### D. Age of CSP Offenders as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2002	21	NR	8		25% (2)	75% (6)
2003	23	16	13		15% (2)	85% (11)

	Total CSP Law Enforcement Reports	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Reports With Offender Age Documented	Percent Children CSP Offenders (12 and Under)	Percent Teen CSP Offenders (Age 13-18)	Percent Adult CSP Offenders (19 and Over)
2004	33	9	11		9% (1)	91% (10)
2005	32	31	32		12.5% (4)	87.5% (28)
2006	23	25	24	4% (1)	21% (5)	75% (18)

NR = Age of CSP Offender Not Reported

#### E. CSP Victim Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total CSP Victims Gender Identified	Percent Female Victims	Percent Male Victims
2006	25	24	96% (23)	4% (1)

#### F. CSP Offender Gender as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total CSP Offenders Gender Identified	Total CSP Offenders Gender Documented	Percent Female Offenders	Percent Male Offenders
2006	23	29	29	3% (1)	97% (28)

#### G. CSP Victim Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Victims	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Victims	Percent Hispanic Victims	Percent Native American Victims	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Victims	Percent Black Victims	Percent Victims Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	21	NR						
2003	23	16	62.5% (10)	37.5% (6)				
2004	33	11	64% (7)	36% (4)				
2005	32	29	62% (18)	38% (11)				
2006	25	24	58% (14)	42% (10)				

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

#### H. CSP Offender Ethnicity/Race as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2002	21	NR						

CSP = criminal sexual penetration

	Total CSP Offenders	Total Ethnicity/Race Documented	Percent White (non-Hispanic) Offenders	Percent Hispanic Offenders	Percent Native American Offenders	Percent Asian/Pacific Islander Offenders	Percent Black Offenders	Percent Offenders Other Ethnicity/Race
2003	23	16	56% (9)	44% (7)				
2004	33	9	56% (5)	33% (3)				11% (1)
2005	32	31	29% (9)	68% (21)			3% (1)	
2006	29	25	44% (11)	48% (12)		4% (1)	4% (4)	

NR = Ethnicity/Race Not Reported

### I. CSP Victim Injury as Reported by Law Enforcement

	Total CSP Reports Documenting Victim Injury	Total CSP Reports With Victims Injured	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury	Percent CSP Cases Involving Victim Injury in New Mexico
2002	21	1	5%	15%
2003	23	1	4%	17%
2004	33	NR		20%
2005	32	1	3%	18%
2006	29	NR		24%

NR = Victim Injury Not Reported

### J. Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in Valencia County Compared to Percent CSP Incidents with a Suspect Arrest in New Mexico

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Valencia	33%	13%	3%	28%	25%
NM	15%	17%	20%	18%	18%

### K. Number of Sexual Assault Survivors Served by County 2002-2005

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
Valencia	165	83	26	4	9

### L. Gender and Age at Time of Incident Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2002	26	54	1	28	0	29
2003	9	33	2	7	2	11
2004	3	16	0	4	0	2
2005	0	2	0	1	0	0
2006	0	2	0	3	0	4

**M. Gender and Age at Time of Therapy Among Those Seeking Services for Sexual Assault**

	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2002</b>	10	12	6	8	14	96
<b>2003</b>	0	5	2	8	11	50
<b>2004</b>	1	1	0	1	2	21
<b>2005</b>	0	0	0	0	0	3
<b>2006</b>	0	1	0	0	0	8

**N. Gender and Age of CSP Survivors (Where Documented) at Time of Incident, as Reported by Service Providers**

	Number of CSP Survivors Served	Number Age and Gender Documented	Children 12 and Under		Teens Ages 13-17		Adults Ages 18 and Older	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<b>2006</b>	8	8	0	2	0	2	0	4