

**CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, SEX AND AGE GROUP - 1999-2007**

YEAR	MALES														FEMALES														SEX	Grand Total
	Age Group														Age Group														Unk	
	<15	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+	Unk	Total	Total	
<b>1999</b>	11	284	283	94	53	33	16	6	6	4	1	0	5	<b>796</b>	82	1640	1258	486	180	101	38	20	10	5	2	3	35	<b>3860</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4657</b>
<b>2000</b>	16	328	346	142	61	45	12	8	2	4	2	1	9	<b>976</b>	76	1683	1411	502	196	100	39	21	6	4	1	0	23	<b>4062</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5052</b>
<b>2001</b>	6	365	478	220	99	69	27	7	3	2	0	2	13	<b>1291</b>	109	2127	1678	675	257	134	51	23	15	2	3	3	36	<b>5113</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>6433</b>
<b>2002</b>	12	408	542	245	100	62	27	14	5	3	3	1	10	<b>1432</b>	129	2224	1953	800	330	122	52	40	13	4	4	3	38	<b>5712</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7158</b>
<b>2003</b>	3	347	619	223	107	71	41	17	5	3	2	1	14	<b>1453</b>	98	2243	2060	814	339	151	60	27	9	5	4	1	23	<b>5834</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7294</b>
<b>2004</b>	4	499	903	377	185	92	52	25	8	6	1	1	22	<b>2175</b>	101	2564	2541	985	366	202	95	43	19	10	1	4	68	<b>6999</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9182</b>
<b>2005</b>	8	526	840	397	173	90	48	22	13	5	5	2	25	<b>2154</b>	89	2402	2288	931	332	145	75	37	19	9	3	2	147	<b>6479</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8635</b>
<b>2006</b>	9	540	932	480	209	110	56	33	8	5	2	3	12	<b>2399</b>	115	2750	2722	1172	471	217	105	41	19	9	2	0	28	<b>7651</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10054</b>
<b>2007</b>	4	564	933	471	227	101	47	33	10	9	3	4	8	<b>2414</b>	103	2469	2491	1121	446	211	97	46	21	8	8	3	23	<b>7047</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9462</b>

The following pages have cases by County, Year, Age Group and Sex

**During 2007 and 2008, the New Mexico STD Program conducted extensive quality assurance review of STD case investigations from 1999 through 2007. These QA activities have resulted in identifying hundreds of STD cases (mostly chlamydia and most from 2004-2006) that had not been entered into the STD database, hundreds of miscodes for Race/Ethnicity (mostly cases among Native American incorrectly coded as Other) and numerous incorrect ages and county of residence. These errors have been fixed. (See note below about errors that could not be corrected) As result, the numbers of cases on these tables will differ from what had been posted on this website prior to September 2008.**

**NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2003 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.**

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	1999 - MALES														1999 - FEMALES														SEX	1999
	Age Group														Age Group														Unk	Grand
	<15	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	35-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65+	Unk	Total	Total	Total
BERNALILLO	7	99	95	33	19	7	4	1	3	1	0	0	2	271	33	568	461	191	65	34	15	8	1	0	1	1	7	1385	0	1656
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAVES	0	17	9	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	8	105	74	20	7	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	219	0	250
CIBOLA	0	4	5	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	25	29	9	2	7	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	77	0	91
COLFAX	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6
CURRY	2	21	26	8	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	61	7	76	62	14	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	169	0	230
DE BACA	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4
DONA ANA	0	22	26	15	12	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	81	1	138	136	42	17	6	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	344	0	425
EDDY	0	14	9	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	29	1	62	31	9	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107	0	136
GRANT	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	31
GUADALUPE	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	11
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	8
LEA	0	14	11	5	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	34	3	106	62	30	7	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	212	0	246
LINCOLN	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	14	7	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	27	0	32
LOS ALAMOS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	5
LUNA	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	17	19	10	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	54	1	59
MCKINLEY	0	10	16	2	4	2	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	39	0	49	40	22	13	10	4	4	2	1	0	0	2	147	0	186
MORA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7
OTERO	1	5	6	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2	47	30	9	1	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	95	0	111
QUAY	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	9	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	16
RIO ARRIBA	1	4	8	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	3	36	23	8	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	81	0	98
ROOSEVELT	0	11	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	2	29	16	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	57	0	80
SAN JUAN	0	18	16	7	7	5	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	59	5	104	102	44	19	18	5	4	0	1	1	0	4	307	0	366
SAN MIGUEL	0	3	8	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	2	29	13	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	54	0	69
SANDOVAL	0	4	4	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	3	35	25	11	8	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	92	0	106
SANTA FE	0	9	12	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	4	76	45	20	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	165	0	192
SIERRA	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	5	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	0	21
SOCORRO	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	15	0	20
TAOS	0	0	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	3	9	13	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	30	0	38
TORRANCE	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	10	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	23	0	30
UNION	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	5
VALENCIA	0	12	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2	48	31	13	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	101	0	122
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>283</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>796</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>1640</b>	<b>1258</b>	<b>486</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>3860</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4657</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2000 - MALES													2000 - FEMALES													SEX	2000			
	Age Group													Age Group													Unk	Grand			
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15 -19	20 -24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	Total	Total	
BERNALILLO	9	172	178	65	32	26	6	4	0	2	1	1	5	501	40	702	596	206	74	45	15	10	2	1	0	0	11	1702	14	2217	
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
CHAVES	1	16	17	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	2	89	60	22	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	182	0	221	
CIBOLA	0	4	2	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	16	30	4	6	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	61	0	71	
COLFAX	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	
CURRY	0	15	30	8	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	59	2	71	63	12	8	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	159	0	218	
DE BACA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
DONA ANA	0	13	16	14	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	47	3	131	118	50	17	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	322	0	369	
EDDY	1	7	5	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	18	1	48	28	10	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	93	0	111	
GRANT	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	18	16	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	40	
GUADALUPE	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	12	
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	13	
LEA	0	23	12	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	41	4	101	78	22	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	215	0	256	
LINCOLN	0	5	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1	14	7	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	39	
LOS ALAMOS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	
LUNA	0	1	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	11	16	12	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	48	0	55	
MCKINLEY	0	8	14	7	4	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	40	0	32	39	28	13	19	8	3	1	1	1	0	2	147	0	187	
MORA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	
OTERO	0	5	6	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	1	61	39	20	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	127	0	146	
QUAY	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	12	
RIO ARRIBA	0	3	7	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2	22	30	6	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	66	0	82	
ROOSEVELT	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	17	18	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	48	
SAN JUAN	3	17	13	6	6	7	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	56	7	104	98	49	25	13	8	4	2	0	0	0	2	312	0	368	
SAN MIGUEL	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	21	12	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	41	
SANDOVAL	1	7	6	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2	41	39	14	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	112	0	133	
SANTA FE	0	11	13	9	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	41	2	78	53	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	147	0	188	
SIERRA	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	11	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	25	
SOCORRO	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	6	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	0	20	
TAOS	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	13	3	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	25	
TORRANCE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	10	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	19	
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
VALENCIA	1	6	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	5	47	41	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	103	0	124	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>346</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>976</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>1683</b>	<b>1411</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>4062</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5052</b>	

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2001 - MALES													2001 - FEMALES													SEX Unk Total	2001 Grand Total		
	Age Group													Age Group																
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15 -19	20 -24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+			Unk	Total
BERNALILLO	5	174	246	117	43	26	20	2	1	1	0	1	9	645	55	932	782	324	108	65	17	8	5	1	1	2	28	2328	27	3000
CATRON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3
CHAVES	0	20	2	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	6	87	48	16	7	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	169	0	198
CIBOLA	0	2	1	3	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	23	27	16	4	6	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	83	1	94
COLFAX	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	11	
CURRY	0	24	24	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	2	80	53	13	6	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	159	0	216	
DE BACA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	
DONA ANA	0	23	32	17	4	6	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	86	7	199	155	63	28	8	3	2	2	0	0	0	467	0	553	
EDDY	0	7	15	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	43	58	13	9	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	128	0	155	
GRANT	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	17	9	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	38	
GUADALUPE	0	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	9	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	26	
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	
LEA	1	15	19	8	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	1	97	54	27	5	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	191	0	241	
LINCOLN	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	18	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	36	
LOS ALAMOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LUNA	0	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	31	22	13	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	72	0	79	
MCKINLEY	0	11	17	9	7	8	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	55	3	54	55	31	19	13	3	2	2	0	1	1	185	0	240	
MORA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	
OTERO	0	4	23	9	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	4	65	73	21	6	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	175	0	215	
QUAY	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	17	
RIO ARRIBA	0	8	8	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	21	1	29	16	9	3	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	64	0	85	
ROOSEVELT	0	5	14	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	2	18	33	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	0	84	
SAN JUAN	0	19	18	12	8	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	5	116	85	38	22	13	6	2	2	0	1	0	293	0	357	
SAN MIGUEL	0	3	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	30	20	9	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	65	0	74	
SANDOVAL	0	10	10	4	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	7	51	41	15	13	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	132	1	162	
SANTA FE	0	18	13	13	6	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	62	6	94	57	21	12	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	199	0	261	
SIERRA	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	10	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	21	
SOCORRO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11	
TAOS	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2	17	9	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	43	
TORRANCE	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	13	8	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	29	
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
VALENCIA	0	7	8	6	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	5	73	38	13	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	139	0	168	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>365</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1291</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>2127</b>	<b>1678</b>	<b>675</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>5113</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>6433</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2002 - MALES														2002 - FEMALES														SEX	2002
	Age Group														Age Group														Unk	Grand
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15 -19	20 -24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	Total	Total
BERNALILLO	6	192	249	141	51	35	17	7	3	1	1	0	5	708	65	1011	902	388	160	59	22	26	7	2	2	2	31	2677	10	3395
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAVES	0	27	15	6	5	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	56	5	81	65	29	10	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	193	0	249
CIBOLA	0	3	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	26	32	12	10	5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	92	0	103
COLFAX	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	20
CURRY	2	19	48	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	85	4	96	81	33	6	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	226	0	311
DE BACA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4
DONA ANA	0	36	32	21	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	98	9	157	142	58	21	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	399	0	497
EDDY	0	10	5	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	4	57	39	20	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	129	0	147
GRANT	0	1	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	20	17	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	0	50
GUADALUPE	0	6	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	8	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	27
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7
LEA	1	19	23	6	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	2	83	66	23	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	184	0	238
LINCOLN	0	4	5	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	22	13	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	40	0	51
LOS ALAMOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	0	9
LUNA	0	1	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	29	13	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	64
MCKINLEY	0	11	17	12	7	5	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	57	5	45	76	38	26	14	8	2	5	1	0	0	0	220	2	279
MORA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	11
OTERO	0	12	13	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	1	46	54	20	6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	130	1	162
QUAY	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	19
RIO ARRIBA	0	6	9	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	3	51	54	15	6	6	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	139	0	162
ROOSEVELT	0	3	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	15	1	27	35	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	0	84
SAN JUAN	0	23	32	18	10	9	4	2	0	1	0	0	1	100	9	125	123	56	35	9	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	365	1	466
SAN MIGUEL	0	2	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	1	32	21	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	0	85
SANDOVAL	0	10	22	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	37	1	84	54	19	10	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	174	0	211
SANTA FE	2	7	12	5	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	34	7	71	52	25	8	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	169	0	203
SIERRA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	14
SOCORRO	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	12	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	26
TAOS	0	3	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	4	27	16	4	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	0	67
TORRANCE	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	7	8	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	22
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
VALENCIA	1	11	8	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	4	68	50	14	6	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	147	0	171
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1432</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>2224</b>	<b>1953</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>5712</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7158</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2003 - MALES														2003 - FEMALES														SEX	2003
	Age Group													Unk	Total	Age Group													Unk	Grand Total
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk			Total	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+		
BERNALILLO	2	161	281	114	63	35	22	9	4	1	0	0	11	703	53	986	909	380	160	60	16	9	3	0	3	0	17	2596	5	3304
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
CHAVES	1	25	13	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	5	100	68	25	8	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	214	0	260
CIBOLA	0	6	10	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	23	1	26	38	17	12	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	102	0	125	
COLFAX	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	5	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	20	
CURRY	0	12	26	6	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	50	4	85	65	18	5	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	182	0	232	
DE BACA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	
DONA ANA	0	27	50	14	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	4	190	174	67	18	7	4	0	1	0	0	1	466	0	562	
EDDY	0	5	8	3	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	23	1	38	40	15	5	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	103	0	126	
GRANT	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	32	20	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0	61	
GUADALUPE	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	7	4	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	25	
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	
LEA	0	16	27	6	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	1	83	64	21	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	181	0	236	
LINCOLN	0	4	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	21	7	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	48	
LOS ALAMOS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	10	
LUNA	0	3	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	30	18	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0	63	
MCKINLEY	0	17	47	20	12	14	6	5	0	0	2	1	0	124	4	101	158	76	43	27	21	5	3	1	1	0	441	1	566	
MORA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	11	
OTERO	0	7	28	8	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	2	50	52	27	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	137	0	184	
QUAY	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	11	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	22	
RIO ARRIBA	0	6	10	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2	45	40	14	5	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	113	0	133	
ROOSEVELT	0	6	12	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	32	32	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	72	0	93	
SAN JUAN	0	14	42	19	6	10	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	94	3	116	137	47	31	20	7	5	1	1	0	0	368	1	463	
SAN MIGUEL	0	1	6	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	27	15	4	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	51	0	61	
SANDOVAL	0	5	16	3	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	3	60	58	25	12	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	162	0	190	
SANTA FE	0	6	5	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	1	44	39	13	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	103	0	121	
SIERRA	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	14	14	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	34	
SOCORRO	0	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	9	4	5	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	23	0	29	
TAOS	0	8	4	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	3	38	14	4	1	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	68	0	89	
TORRANCE	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	22	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	0	39	
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
VALENCIA	0	10	12	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	27	5	54	63	18	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	150	0	177	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1453</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>2243</b>	<b>2060</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>339</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>5834</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7294</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2004 - MALES														2004 - FEMALES														SEX	2004
	Age Group														Age Group														Unk	Grand
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15 -19	20 -24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	Total	Total
BERNALILLO	2	256	485	194	109	58	31	15	5	3	1	0	9	1168	53	1152	1184	437	151	87	45	14	9	5	1	2	53	3193	6	4367
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAVES	0	34	21	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	2	117	84	31	6	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	246	0	313
CIBOLA	0	9	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	3	31	45	25	10	6	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	123	0	145
COLFAX	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	16	11	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	0	36
CURRY	0	29	54	12	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	5	104	5	100	97	33	6	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	2	252	0	356
DE BACA	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	10
DONA ANA	0	20	49	18	6	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	98	8	208	212	84	31	19	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	569	0	667
EDDY	0	7	10	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	25	2	55	51	19	12	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	148	0	173
GRANT	0	2	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13	0	16	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	43
GUADALUPE	0	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	11	6	7	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	35
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
LEA	0	14	31	19	10	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	78	3	99	91	23	9	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	234	0	312
LINCOLN	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	13	18	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	46
LOS ALAMOS	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	10
LUNA	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	16	18	9	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	50	0	56
MCKINLEY	1	18	42	25	19	14	10	3	1	1	0	0	1	135	3	126	166	88	60	39	23	11	5	2	0	1	2	526	0	661
MORA	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	12
OTERO	0	6	30	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	2	61	60	14	10	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	151	0	198
QUAY	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	0	16
RIO ARRIBA	0	8	12	6	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	31	4	39	32	17	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	0	127
ROOSEVELT	0	10	12	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	32	0	37	37	12	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	92	0	124
SAN JUAN	0	22	47	31	8	12	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	122	6	143	141	80	25	10	10	7	0	1	0	0	2	425	0	547
SAN MIGUEL	1	5	10	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	4	45	38	10	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	102	0	124
SANDOVAL	0	13	14	9	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	45	2	75	62	32	11	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	5	194	0	239
SANTA FE	0	10	4	2	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	23	0	23	35	7	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	72	2	97
SIERRA	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	7	8	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	25
SOCORRO	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	17	8	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	35
TAOS	0	8	17	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	37	28	9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78	0	108
TORRANCE	0	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	15	9	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	37
UNION	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	6
VALENCIA	0	9	26	11	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	53	0	78	80	27	7	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	197	0	250
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>903</b>	<b>377</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>2175</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>2564</b>	<b>2541</b>	<b>985</b>	<b>366</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>6999</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9182</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2005 - MALES														2005 - FEMALES														SEX	2005
	Age Group													Unk	Total	Age Group													Unk	Total
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	<15			15 -19	20 -24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+				
BERNALILLO	2	253	385	193	93	40	19	9	5	4	3	1	18	1025	34	958	927	383	132	53	27	11	8	4	1	1	129	2668	2	3695
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAVES	0	30	31	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	77	2	102	96	19	11	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	235	0	312
CIBOLA	0	5	10	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	0	33	24	9	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	74	0	93	
COLFAX	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	18	20	6	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	48	0	53	
CURRY	1	23	41	14	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	86	3	101	89	28	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	237	0	323	
DE BACA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7	
DONA ANA	0	36	67	23	8	4	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	142	8	208	230	86	38	11	5	6	2	0	0	1	596	0	738	
EDDY	1	5	15	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	2	46	54	18	3	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	131	0	158	
GRANT	0	2	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	13	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	39	
GUADALUPE	0	1	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	10	8	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	32	
HARDING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	
LEA	0	16	27	16	6	6	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	77	5	92	92	41	17	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	252	0	329	
LINCOLN	0	4	5	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	1	15	14	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	52	
LOS ALAMOS	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	8	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	18	
LUNA	0	5	6	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	17	1	43	33	15	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	96	0	113	
MCKINLEY	1	23	39	34	17	11	8	4	1	0	1	0	0	139	4	108	133	86	31	24	15	10	4	3	2	0	421	0	560	
MORA	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	16	
OTERO	0	9	32	8	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	56	1	50	48	19	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127	0	183	
QUAY	0	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	2	9	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	30	
RIO ARRIBA	1	9	10	4	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	4	59	45	24	8	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	145	0	174	
ROOSEVELT	0	12	22	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	1	36	43	13	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	2	102	0	148	
SAN JUAN	0	25	56	32	13	11	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	148	2	136	138	70	26	16	7	4	1	1	0	1	402	0	550	
SAN MIGUEL	0	6	9	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2	50	43	10	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	114	0	135	
SANDOVAL	1	10	23	11	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	52	2	81	68	23	2	5	2	0	2	0	0	4	189	0	241	
SANTA FE	1	5	10	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	0	42	36	17	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	103	0	128	
SIERRA	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	19	
SOCORRO	0	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	25	7	4	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	47	
TAOS	0	17	16	5	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	45	8	61	28	10	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	0	166	
TORRANCE	0	2	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	14	9	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	34	0	44	
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	
VALENCIA	0	17	19	6	4	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	50	4	63	71	24	8	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	176	0	226	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>526</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>2154</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>2402</b>	<b>2288</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>6479</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>8635</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.



CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2006 - MALES													2006 - FEMALES													SEX	2006		
	Age Group													Age Group													Unk	Grand		
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	<15	15 -19	20 -24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk	Total	Total	Total
BERNALILLO	5	258	423	225	95	55	26	21	5	5	2	0	6	1126	55	1124	1112	507	191	91	45	16	5	3	1	0	11	3161	4	4291
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
CHAVES	0	20	30	10	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	69	2	110	100	30	6	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	254	0	323
CIBOLA	0	6	19	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	40	4	48	46	24	11	8	3	1	2	0	0	0	3	150	0	190
COLFAX	0	3	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	2	24	15	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	0	57
CURRY	1	35	34	14	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	3	103	73	31	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	224	0	322
DE BACA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3
DONA ANA	1	21	57	23	5	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	114	10	164	211	68	24	7	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	490	0	604
EDDY	0	10	12	8	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	2	51	65	25	5	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	152	0	187
GRANT	0	2	5	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	1	24	43	15	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	102
GUADALUPE	0	1	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	7	0	12	8	6	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	36
HARDING	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	5
HIDALGO	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	10	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	19
LEA	0	18	40	22	10	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	98	5	126	100	40	19	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	297	0	395
LINCOLN	0	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	10	5	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	25
LOS ALAMOS	0	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	14
LUNA	1	7	10	5	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	1	30	30	9	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	0	110
MCKINLEY	0	22	47	35	20	13	9	3	1	0	0	0	2	152	2	116	150	88	63	25	15	8	4	4	0	0	7	482	0	634
MORA	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	8	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	15
OTERO	0	12	22	11	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	2	49	56	20	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	139	0	189
QUAY	0	3	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	9	15	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	29	0	44	
RIO ARRIBA	0	9	15	8	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	39	1	72	54	31	7	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	175	0	214
ROOSEVELT	0	9	16	7	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	37	42	4	4	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	93	0	129
SAN JUAN	1	23	76	36	16	8	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	164	1	161	184	93	42	18	12	2	2	1	1	0	2	519	0	683
SAN MIGUEL	0	11	11	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	3	61	54	19	8	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	148	0	177
SANDOVAL	0	18	30	5	7	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	67	3	107	91	36	17	8	4	4	1	0	0	0	2	273	0	340
SANTA FE	0	27	35	19	9	5	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	99	11	134	118	67	28	14	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	378	0	477
SIERRA	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	8	6	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	19
SOCORRO	0	1	5	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1	13	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	37
TAOS	0	4	7	4	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	20	2	34	28	10	4	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	87	0	107
TORRANCE	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	11	14	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	37
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
VALENCIA	0	15	16	11	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	3	85	81	27	13	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	217	0	267
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>540</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>480</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2399</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>2750</b>	<b>2722</b>	<b>1172</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>7651</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10054</b>

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.

CHLAMYDIA CASES IN NEW MEXICO - BY YEAR, COUNTY, SEX AND AGE GROUP

COUNTY	2007 - MALES														2007 - FEMALES														SEX Unk	2007 Grand Total		
	Age Group													Unk	Total	Age Group															Unk	Total
	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+	Unk			Total	<15	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 49	50 - 54	55 - 59	60 - 64	65+				
BERNALILLO	2	271	409	233	110	49	25	18	4	5	3	1	2	1132	47	955	940	448	172	81	40	19	6	4	3	1	9	2725	1	3858		
CATRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CHAVES	0	26	36	11	3	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	82	5	100	107	35	7	5	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	263	0	345	
CIBOLA	0	13	7	8	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	35	1	66	48	27	10	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	158	0	193		
COLFAX	0	1	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	17	12	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	48		
CURRY	0	18	33	7	5	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	70	4	72	94	22	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	206	0	276		
DE BACA	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	8		
DONA ANA	0	32	78	26	9	3	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	152	5	199	230	74	45	12	10	3	2	0	0	0	0	580	0	732		
EDDY	0	5	24	10	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	45	0	44	56	22	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	0	180		
GRANT	0	3	10	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	3	34	27	16	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	85	0	103		
GUADALUPE	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	8	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	20		
HARDING	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
HIDALGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	10		
LEA	1	24	32	22	3	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	88	6	119	90	49	14	7	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	289	0	377		
LINCOLN	0	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	8	17	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	0	39		
LOS ALAMOS	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	15		
LUNA	0	10	10	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	32	0	28	33	17	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	83	0	115		
MCKINLEY	0	24	34	28	17	7	5	2	1	1	0	0	1	120	1	84	126	65	44	27	8	6	8	1	0	0	8	378	0	498		
MORA	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	14			
OTERO	0	7	26	11	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	50	0	51	53	16	9	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	135	0	185		
QUAY	0	3	6	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	1	15	13	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	52		
RIO ARRIBA	0	6	10	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	3	70	50	24	13	4	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	171	0	196		
ROOSEVELT	1	7	18	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	1	28	29	9	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	75	0	109		
SAN JUAN	0	31	54	31	20	5	5	1	2	0	0	1	1	151	7	140	180	92	34	26	13	7	2	0	1	1	2	505	0	656		
SAN MIGUEL	0	16	20	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	2	56	34	20	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	117	0	157		
SANDOVAL	0	22	29	17	9	5	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	85	4	92	95	38	16	3	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	252	0	337		
SANTA FE	0	16	36	19	11	7	4	2	1	0	0	0	2	98	6	123	124	60	22	13	8	1	1	0	1	0	2	361	0	459		
SIERRA	0	3	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	7	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	19	0	31		
SOCORRO	0	2	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	14	12	6	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0	48		
TAOS	0	7	9	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	1	45	28	15	7	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	99	0	125		
TORRANCE	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	11	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	27		
UNION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	7		
VALENCIA	0	12	23	12	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	4	67	54	36	12	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	182	0	241		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>933</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2414</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>2469</b>	<b>2491</b>	<b>1121</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>7047</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9462</b>		

NOTE: Information for Cases from Santa Fe County for 2002 through 2005 are inaccurate. Data were not entered and have been lost. Thus, the numbers of cases for Santa Fe County in these tables are only a small proportion of the true number of cases. Please use these data with caution. Information for Native American Cases from McKinley County is missing from Gallup Indian Medical Center for 1999 through 2002. Thus, the numbers of cases for in McKinley County for these years is inaccurate. Please use these data with caution.