

## **Epidemiology and Response Division**

# NEW MEXICO INFLUENZA SURVEILLANCE UPDATE 2006-2007 Influenza Season

Epidemiology and Response Division, New Mexico Department of Health (NMDOH)

### Weekly Report ending April 21, 2007 (MMWR Week 16)

NMDOH reported the state influenza activity as "**Sporadic**" to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (see table below for definitions). As of last week, the Scientific Lab Division (SLD) had received 387 culture specimens since the beginning of the season. One hundred and eighteen specimens (30.5%) have been culture-positive and typed: 109 type A with subtyping showing a predominance of H1 over H3, and 9 type B. At this point in the season a subset of 5 specimens (two typeA/H1, one type A/H3 and two type B) has undergone further antigenic characterization at CDC. Results indicate that all the specimens match the strains in this season's vaccine.

## Summary of Influenza Activity in New Mexico for Week Ending 4/21/07<sup>1</sup>:

• Nineteen of the 19 sentinel sites reported a total of 5,208 patient visits, of which 42 (0.8%) were positive for an influenza-like illness (ILI)<sup>2</sup>. The previous week ending April 14th reported 0.78% influenza-like illness.

**Summary of Sentinel Laboratory Activity in New Mexico:** 

Period of 2006-2007 Influenza Season	Number of Tests Performed**	Positive Type A (n,%)	Positive Type B (n,%)	Positive Type Unknown <sup>3</sup> (n,%)	Total Positive All Types (n,%)
Week ending 4/21/07 (28 of 31 labs reporting)	236	11 (4.66%)	2 (0.85%)	1 (0.42%)	14 (5.93%)
Cumulative as of 10/1/06	10173	1164 (11.44%)	48 (0.47%)	38 (0.37%)	1250 (12.29%)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes rapid antigen and immunofluorescence testing (i.e., direct fluorescent antibody staining)

Note: The sensitivity and specificity of point of care rapid diagnostic tests vary during times when influenza is not circulating widely. The NM Influenza Surveillance Program expects some false positive rapid diagnostic results outside the time of peak influenza activity (i.e., beginning and end of season). The first NM laboratory confirmed case of the influenza season is based on a positive viral culture result.

### **Influenza-Related Pediatric Mortality:**

There have been forty-three cases of influenza-related pediatric deaths reported to CDC this influenza season. NM has reported one confirmed influenza-related pediatric death this influenza season.

#### Reported Flu Activity in the Mountain Region and Texas, Week Ending 4/14/07:

Regionally, for the week ending 4/14/07, of the 7 other states in the Mountain Region, one state (Montana) reported "widespread" activity, and the six remaining states (Colorado, Arizona, Nevada, Utah, Wyoming and Idaho) reported "local" activity. Texas reported "sporadic" activity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Weekly ILI and lab data may change as additional reports are compiled.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Influenza-like Activity (ILI) is defined as Fever (≥ 100°F [37.8° C], oral or equivalent) AND cough and/or sore throat in absence of a KNOWN cause other than influenza.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Some rapid influenza tests cannot differentiate between types A and B.

#### National Flu Surveillance and Laboratory Activity, Week Ending 4/14/07:

Nationwide, for the week ending 4/14/07, 1.3% of patient visits to U.S. sentinel providers were due to ILI, which is below the national baseline of 2.1%. Influenza activity was reported as "Widespread" by 4 states, "Regional" by 7 states, "Local" by 13 states and New York City, and "Sporadic" by 23 states and the District of Columbia. Three states (Ohio, Oregon and Mississippi) reported "No Activity".

During this same week, WHO and NREVSS laboratories reported 2,106 specimens tested for influenza viruses, 291 (13.8%) of which were positive: 15 influenza A (H1), 110 influenza A (H3), 97 influenza A that were not subtyped, and 69 influenza B viruses. To note, of the 110 influenza A (H3) viruses reported for week 15, 83 (75.5%) were reported from one state.

Beginning in October 2006, CDC has performed the antigenic characterization of 599 influenza virus isolates. The following table summarizes the consistency of the isolates with the antigenic makeup of this season's vaccine.

makeup of this season o vaccine.						
2006-2007 Type,		Number of	Total Number	% Matching		
Vaccine Makeup	Subtype	Antigenically	of Sub-typed	Similar to		
		Similar Isolates	Isolates	Vaccine Strain		
A/New Caledonia/20/1999-like	A (H1)	324	324	100%		
A/Wisconsin/67/2005-like	A (H3)	123	123	100%		
B/Ohio/01/2005*	В	113	152	74%		

<sup>\*</sup>Some vaccine manufacturers may have included B/Malaysia/2506/2004-like as the B component. These two vaccine strains are antigenically equivalent and belong to the B/Victoria lineage of viruses.

#### Update on vaccine recommendations for the next influenza season:

## Recommended vaccine composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the 2007-2008 influenza season:

- an A/Solomon Islands/3/2006 (H1N1)-like virus (a recent antigenic variant of A/New Caledonia/20/1999-like)
- an A/Wisconsin/67/2005 (H3N2)-like virus<sup>a</sup>
- a B/Malaysia/2506/2004-like virus

<sup>a</sup> Vaccine viruses include:	
A/Wisconsin/67/2005 (H3N2)	and A/Hiroshima/52/2005

More information on national surveillance can be found at http://www.cdc.gov/flu/weekly/.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

This information is collected by the Infectious Disease Epidemiology Bureau, Epidemiology Response Division, NMDOH. For questions, please call 505-827-0006. For more information on influenza go to the NMDOH web page: <a href="http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/">http://www.health.state.nm.us/flu/</a> or the CDC web page: <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/fluvirus.htm">http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/diseases/flu/fluvirus.htm</a>

<b>Activity Level</b>	ILI activity*/Outbreaks		Laboratory data		
No activity	Low	And	No lab confirmed cases <sup>†</sup>		
	Not increased	And	Isolated lab-confirmed cases		
Sporadic	OR				
	Not increased	And	Lab confirmed outbreak in one institution <sup>‡</sup>		
	Increased ILI in 1		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab		
	region**; ILI activity in	And	evidence of influenza in region with		
	other regions is not	7	increased ILI		
	increased				
Local	OR				
200	2 or more institutional		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab		
	outbreaks (ILI or lab		evidence of influenza in region with the		
	confirmed) in 1 region; ILI	And	outbreaks; virus activity is no greater than		
	activity in other regions is		sporadic in other regions		
	not increased		December (with in the amount Quantum) lab		
	Increased ILI in ≥2 but	A so al	Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab		
Deglenel	less than half of the	And	confirmed influenza in the affected regions		
Regional (doesn't apply	regions		OR		
to states with	Institutional outbreaks (ILI		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab		
≤4 regions)	or lab confirmed) in ≥2		confirmed influenza in the affected regions		
	and less than half of the	And	committed influenza in the affected regions		
	regions				
	Increased ILI and/or		Recent (within the past 3 weeks) lab		
Widespread	institutional outbreaks (ILI		confirmed influenza in the state.		
	or lab confirmed) in at	And			
	least half of the regions				

<sup>\*</sup>Influenza-like illness: Fever (≥ 100°F [37.8°C], oral or equivalent) and cough and/or sore throat (in the absence of a known cause other than influenza)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Lab confirmed case = case confirmed by rapid diagnostic test, antigen detection, culture, or PCR. Care should be given when relying on results of point of care rapid diagnostic test kits during times when influenza is not circulating widely. The sensitivity and specificity of these tests vary and the predicative value positive may be low outside the time of peak influenza activity. Therefore, a state may wish to obtain laboratory confirmation of influenza by testing methods other than point of care rapid tests for reporting the first laboratory confirmed case of influenza of the season.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Institution includes nursing home, hospital, prison, school, etc.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Region: population under surveillance in a defined geographical subdivision of a state. A region could be comprised of 1 or more counties and would be based on each state's specific circumstances. Depending on the size of the state, the number of regions could range from 2 to approximately 12. The definition of regions would be left to the state but existing state health districts could be used in many states. Allowing states to define regions would avoid somewhat arbitrary county lines and allow states to make divisions that make sense based on geographic population clusters. Focusing on regions larger than counties would also improve the likelihood that data needed for estimating activity would be available.

## Influenza Surveillance Graphs:



