Key Performance Indicator	Data Sources	Case Management Measures (point in time, i.e. the site visit)	Examples for Using Measures for Quality Improvement
Percentage of individuals whose Individual	Case Management Monthly Site Visit Form	ISP implementation is defined by:	Visits on caseload for the month= 45
Support Plans (ISP) are implemented as	(home site, CCS site, community)	Presence of current ISP;	Number of "yes" implementations
written.		<ol><li>DSP being able to describe essential elements of the ISP;</li></ol>	for the month=23
		3. DSP awareness of and ability to describe his/her role in implementing the	Number of "partial
		ISP; AND	implementations" for the month=10
		4. Work on Desired Outcomes is documented and demonstrated.	Number of "unable to determine" for the month=5
		There are four different implementation levels based on the site visit forms (yes,	Number of "no" for the month=7
		partial, no, unable to determine). Thus, there are four different calculations for each	
		period:	Yes: 23/45 =
			51%
		Number of site visits where ISP implementation has a "Yes" level	Partial implementations: 10/45=
		Number of site visits conducted	22%
			Unable to determine: 5/45=
		Number of site visits where ISP implementation has a "Partial" level	11%
		Number of site visits conducted	No: 7/45=
		Number of site visits where ISP implementation has a "No" level	16%
		Number of site visits where is implementation has a No level	
		Number of site visits conducted	Total =
		Number of site visits where ISP implementation has an "Unable to Determine" level	100%
		Number of site visits conducted	
			Remediation: Remediation for 22
		Reporting Measure for DDSD	out of 45 (49%). Closely analyze
		Number of site visits where ISP implementation has a "Yes" level	ISP's that are partially or NOT
		Number of Site Visits Conducted	implemented to determine
			impediments and root causes. Also
			analyze site visits where ISP
			implementation is "unable to
			determine" to explore opportunities
			for improving the ISP review
			process.

Customized Community Supports (CCS) in a non-disability specific setting  Approved budget; provider reports  This information needs to be assessed by answering the question, "Are individuals accessing CCS in a non-disability specific setting?"  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting;" no", not receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting;"  [home site, CCS site, community)  Approved budget; provider reports  [following is what is observed.  50 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26%  26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26%  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting;  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting;  24 visits resulted in the participants not receive CCS services = 24%	Key Performance Indicator	Data Sources	Case Management Measures (point in time, i.e. the site visit)	Examples for Using Measures for Quality Improvement
Customized Community Supports (CCS) in a non-disability specific setting  Approved budget; provider reports  This information needs to be assessed by answering the question, "Are individuals accessing CCS in a non-disability specific setting?"  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; "no", not receive CCS"):  Number of Site Visits resulting in "Yes" Number of Site Visits conducted  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Following is what is observed.  50 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 50% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specifi				
through interviews, observations, and review of documentation.  Approved budget; provider reports  through interviews, observations, and review of documentation.  Approved budget; provider reports  through interviews, observations, and review of documentation.  This information needs to be assessed by answering the question, "Are individuals accessing CCS in a non-disability specific setting?"  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; "no", not receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; and "does not receive CCS"):  Number of site visits resulting in "Yes" Number of site visits conducted  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  through interviews, observations, and review of documentation.  50 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were neceiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were neceiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 27 visits identified CCS participants were neceiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 28 visits identified CCS participants were neceiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were neceiving the service in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 27 visits identified CCS participants were	Percentage of individuals accessing	Case Management Monthly Site Visit Tool	During the site visit, the overall performance for people	A case manager has 100 site visits during a month. The
This information needs to be assessed by answering the question, "Are individuals accessing CCS in a non-disability specific setting?"  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; "no", not receive CCS"):  Number of site visits resulting in "Yes"  Number of Site Visits conducted  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Service in a non-disability specific setting 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26%  24 visits resulted in the participants not receive CCS services = 24%  Total = 100%  Remediation example: For individuals receiving CCS NOT in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to improve the process of Informed Choice.	Customized Community Supports (CCS) in a non-disability specific setting	(home site, CCS site, community)	, ,	following is what is observed.
question, "Are individuals accessing CCS in a non-disability specific setting?"  There are three different measures calculated through the site visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; "no", not receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; and "does not receive CCS"):  Number of site visits resulting in "Yes" Number of site visits conducted  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Setting =26% 26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the service NOT in a non-disability specific setting = 26% 24 visits resulted in the participants not receive CCS services = 24%  Total =100%  Remediation example: For individuals receiving CCS NOT in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to improve the process of Informed Choice.		Approved budget; provider reports	documentation.	service in a non-disability specific setting =50%
visit form data ("yes", receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; "no", not receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; and "does not receive CCS"):  Number of site visits resulting in "Yes" Number of Site Visits conducted Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Remediation example: For individuals receiving CCS NOT in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to improve the process of Informed Choice.			question, "Are individuals accessing CCS in a non-disability	26 visits identified CCS participants were receiving the
setting; "no", not receiving CCS in non-disability specific setting; and "does not receive CCS"):  Number of site visits resulting in "Yes" Number of Site Visits conducted Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Total  Remediation example: For individuals receiving CCS NOT in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to improve the process of Informed Choice.				1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
And "does not receive CCS"):  Number of site visits resulting in "Yes" Number of Site Visits conducted Number of site visits resulting in "No"  Remediation example: For individuals receiving CCS NOT in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to improve the process of Informed Choice.				-24/0
Number of Site Visits conducted in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to improve the process of Informed Choice.				Total =100%
Number of site visits resulting in "No" improve the process of Informed Choice.				in a non-disability specific setting, look at barriers to community integration and look at opportunities to
Number of site visits resulting in "Does not receive CCS"  Number of Site Visits conducted				
Reporting measure to DDSD:				
Number of visits resulting in "Yes"  (Number of site visits resulting in "Yes") + (the Number of site				
(Number of site visits resulting in "Yes") + (the Number of site visits resulting in "No")			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DDSD\_CM\_QI \_Key Performance\_Indicator\_TA form\_5-13-2021